



## Italian Emission Inventory 1990 - 2016

## **Informative Inventory Report 2018**







# Italian Emission Inventory 1990 - 2016

Informative Inventory Report 2018

## **Legal Disclaimer**

The Institute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA), together with the 21 Regional Agencies (ARPA) and Provincial Agencies (APPA) for the protection of the environment, as of 14 January 2017 is part of the National Network System for the Protection of the Environment (SNPA), established by the Law June 28, 2016, n.132.

The Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, or persons acting on its behalf, are not responsible for the use that may be made of the information contained in this report.

**ISPRA -** Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale Via Vitaliano Brancati, 48 – 00144 Roma <a href="https://www.isprambiente.gov.it">www.isprambiente.gov.it</a>

ISPRA, Rapporti 284/2018 ISBN 978-88-448-0891-4

Extracts from this document may be reproduced on the condition that the source is acknowledged

## Graphic design:

Cover design: Alessia Marinelli Cover drawing: Chiara Arcarese ISPRA – Communications Area

## **Coordination of the online publication:**

Daria Mazzella ISPRA – Communications Area Annual Report for submission under the UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution

#### **Authors**

Ernesto Taurino, Antonella Bernetti, Riccardo De Lauretis, Ilaria D'Elia (ENEA), Eleonora Di Cristofaro, Marco Cordella, Andrea Gagna, Barbara Gonella, Francesca Lena, Monica Pantaleoni, Emanuele Peschi, Daniela Romano, Marina Vitullo

Contact: Riccardo De Lauretis

telephone +39 0650072543

e-mail riccardo.delauretis@isprambiente.it

ISPRA- Institute for Environmental Protection and Research Environmental Assessment, Control and Sustainability Department Emissions, Prevention of Atmospheric Impacts and Climate Change Area Air Emission Inventory Unit Via V. Brancati, 48 00144 Rome ITALY

Text available on ISPRA website at http://www.isprambiente.gov.it

## **CONTENTS**

$\mathbf{E}$	XECUT	IVE SUMMARY	7
1	INTRO	DDUCTION	8
	1.1 BA	ACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE CONVENTION ON LONG-RANGE TRANSBOUNDARY AIR	
	Po	DLLUTION	8
	1.2 NA	ATIONAL INVENTORY	9
	1.3 IN	STITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS	11
	1.4 IN	VENTORY PREPARATION PROCESS	12
	1.5 M	ETHODS AND DATA SOURCES	13
	1.6 Ki	EY CATEGORIES	15
	1.7 Q	A/QC AND VERIFICATION METHODS	19
	1.8 Gi	ENERAL UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION	37
	1.9 Gi	ENERAL ASSESSMENT OF COMPLETENESS	37
2	ANAL	YSIS OF KEY TRENDS BY POLLUTANT	39
	2.1 M	AIN POLLUTANTS	39
	2.1.1		
	2.1.2	•	
	2.1.3		
	2.1.4	·	
	2.1.5	2 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		ARTICULATE MATTER	
	2.2.1		
	2.2.2		
	2.2.3		
		EAVY METALS (PB, CD, HG).	
	2.3.1		
	2.3.2	• •	
	2.3.3		
		ERSISTENT ORGANIC POLLUTANTS (POPS)	
		Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)	
	2.4.2		
	2.4.3		
	2.4.4		
3		GY (NFR SECTOR 1)	72
		VERVIEW OF THE SECTOR	
		ETHODOLOGICAL ISSUES	
		ME SERIES AND KEY CATEGORIES	
	-	A/QC AND VERIFICATION	
		ECALCULATIONS	
		ANNED IMPROVEMENTS	
		VIATION (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.A)	
	3.7.1		
	3.7.2	Methodological issues	ŏ <i>3</i>

Ĵ	3.7.3	Time series and key categories	85
Ĵ	3.7.4	QA/QC and Uncertainty	86
Ĵ	3.7.5	Recalculations	87
3	3.7.6	Planned improvements	87
3.8	ROA	D TRANSPORT (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.B)	88
3	3.8.1	Overview	88
3	3.8.2	Methodological issues	88
	3.8.	2.1 Exhaust emissions	89
	3.8.	2.2 Evaporative emissions	90
	3.8.	2.3 Emissions from automobile tyre and brake wear	90
	3.8.	2.4 Emissions from automobile road abrasion	90
Ĵ	3.8.3	Activity data	91
Ĵ	3.8.4	Time series and key categories	95
Ĵ	3.8.5	QA/QC and Uncertainty	99
Ĵ	3.8.6	Recalculation	100
	3.8.7	Planned improvements	
3.9	RAII	LWAYS (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.C)	100
		VIGATION (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.D)	
		Overview	
Ĵ	3.10.2	Methodological issues	
	3.10.3	Time series and key categories	
		QA/QC and Uncertainty	
		Recalculations	
		Planned improvements	
		LINE COMPRESSORS (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.E)	
		L SECTOR: SMALL COMBUSTION AND OFF-ROAD VEHICLES (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.4 -	
		Overview	
		Activity data	
3		Methodological issues	
		$2.3.1NO_X$ emissions from gas powered plants in the civil sector	
		2.3.2Emissions from wood combustion in the civil sector	
		Time series and key categories	
		QA/QC and Uncertainty	
		Recalculation	
		Planned improvements	
		ITIVE EMISSIONS (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.B)	
		Overview	
3	3.13.2	Fugitive emissions from natural gas distribution (1.B.2b)	115
4 IP	PU - I	NDUSTRIAL PROCESSES (NFR SECTOR 2)	117
4.1		RVIEW OF THE SECTOR	
4.2	MET	THODOLOGICAL ISSUES	117
4.3		E SERIES AND KEY CATEGORIES	
4.4	QA/	QC AND VERIFICATION	125
4.5		ALCULATIONS	
4.6	PLA	NNED IMPROVEMENTS	127
5 IPI	PU - S	OLVENT AND OTHER PRODUCT USE (NFR SECTOR 2)	128

	5.1	OVERVIEW OF THE SECTOR	128
	5.2	METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES	129
	5.3	TIME SERIES AND KEY CATEGORIES	133
	5.4	QA/QC AND VERIFICATION	137
	5.5	RECALCULATIONS	139
	5.6	PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS	139
6	AG	GRICULTURE (NFR SECTOR 3)	140
	6.1	OVERVIEW OF THE SECTOR	-
	6.2		
	6.3		
		QA/QC AND VERIFICATION	
		RECALCULATIONS	
	6.6	PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS	157
7	WA	ASTE (NFR SECTOR 5)	158
	7.1		
	7.2	METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES	
	7.3		
		RECALCULATIONS	
	7.5	PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS	174
8	RE	CCALCULATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS	175
	8.1	RECALCULATIONS	175
	8.2	PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS	177
9	PR	OJECTIONS	178
	9.1	THE NATIONAL FRAMEWORK	178
	9.2	INPUT SCENARIOS	
	9.3	THE EMISSION SCENARIO	180
10	) RE	EFERENCES	184
		1 Introduction	
	10.2	2 Analysis of key trends by pollutant	185
		3 Energy (NRF sector 1)	
		4 IPPU - INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES (NRF SECTOR 2)	
		5 IPPU - SOLVENT AND OTHER PRODUCT USE (NRF SECTOR 2)	
		6 AGRICULTURE (NRF SECTOR 3)	
		7 WASTE (NRF SECTOR 5)	
		8 RECALCULATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS	
	10.9	9 Projections	195
	20.7		

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The *Italian Informative Inventory Report* (IIR) is edited in the framework of the *United Nations Economic Commission for Europe* (UNECE) *Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollution* (CLRTAP). It contains information on the Italian inventory up to the year 2016, including an explanation of methodologies, data sources, QA/QC activities and verification processes carried out during the inventory compilation, with an analysis of emission trends and a description of key categories.

The aim of the document is to facilitate understanding of the calculation of the Italian air pollutant emission data, hence providing a common mean for comparing the relative contribution of different emission sources and supporting the identification of reduction policies.

The Institute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA) has the overall responsibility for the emission inventory submission to CLRTAP, as well as to the *United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change* (UNFCCC), and is in charge of all the work related to inventory compilation.

In particular, in compliance with the LRTAP Convention, Italy has to submit annually data on national emissions of SO<sub>X</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub>, NMVOC, CO and NH<sub>3</sub>, and various heavy metals and POPs. The submission consists of the national emission inventory, communicated through compilation of the Nomenclature Reporting Format (NRF), and the informative inventory report (IIR) to ensure the properties of transparency, consistency, comparability, completeness and accuracy.

In the period 1990-2016, emissions from almost all the pollutants described in this report show a downward trend. Reductions are especially relevant for the main pollutants ( $SO_X$  -93%;  $NO_X$  -63%; CO -68%; NMVOC -55%) and lead (-94%). The major drivers for the trend are reductions in the industrial and road transport sectors, due to the implementation of various European Directives which introduced new technologies, plant emission limits, the limitation of sulphur content in liquid fuels and the shift to cleaner fuels. Emissions have also decreased for the improvement of energy efficiency as well as the promotion of renewable energy.

The energy sector is the main source of emissions in Italy with a share of more than 80%, including fugitive emissions, for many pollutants ( $SO_X$  89%;  $NO_X$  92%; CO 94%; PM2.5 88%; BC 92%; Cd 83%). The industrial processes sector is an important source of emissions specifically related to the iron and steel production, at least for particulate matter, heavy metals and POPs, whereas significant emissions of  $SO_X$  derive from cement production; on the other hand, the solvent and other product use sector is characterized by NMVOC emissions. The agriculture sector is the main source of  $NH_3$  emissions in Italy with a share of 94% in national total. Finally, the waste sector, specifically waste incineration, is a relevant source for HCB and PAH emissions (62% and 9%, respectively).

Emission figures of the Italian emission inventory and other related documents are publicly available at <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/serie-storiche-emissioni">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/serie-storiche-emissioni</a>.

### 1 INTRODUCTION

# 1.1 Background information on the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution

The 1979 Geneva *Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution*, contributing to the development of international environmental law, is one of the fundamental international means for the protection of the human health and the environment through the intergovernmental cooperation.

The fact that air pollutants could travel several thousands of kilometres before deposition and damage occurred outlined the need for international cooperation.

In November 1979, in Geneva, 34 Governments and the European Community (EC) signed the Convention. The *Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution* was ratified by Italy in the year 1982 and entered into force in 1983. It has been extended by the following eight specific protocols:

- The 1984 Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP); 42 Parties. Entered into force on 28<sup>th</sup> January 1988.
- The 1985 Protocol on the Reduction of Sulphur Emissions or their Transboundary Fluxes by at least 30 per cent; 23 Parties. Entered into force on 2<sup>nd</sup> September 1987.
- The 1988 Protocol concerning the Control of Nitrogen Oxides or their Transboundary Fluxes; 31 Parties. Entered into force on 14<sup>th</sup> February 1991.
- The 1991 Protocol concerning the Control of Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds or their Transboundary Fluxes; 22 Parties. Entered into force on 29<sup>th</sup> September 1997.
- The 1994 Protocol on Further Reduction of Sulphur Emissions; 27 Parties. Entered into force on 5<sup>th</sup> August 1998.
- The 1998 Protocol on Heavy Metals; 28 Parties. Entered into force on 29 December 2003.
- The 1998 Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs); 28 Parties. Entered into force on 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2003.
- The 1999 Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone; 23 Parties. Entered into force on 17<sup>th</sup> May 2005. (Guidance documents to Protocol adopted by decision 1999/1).

The following table shows the dates of signature and ratification of Convention and Protocols for Italy.

**Table 1.1** Dates of signature and ratification of the UNECE Convention and Protocols

	SIGNATURE	RATIFICATION
1979 Convention	14/11/1979	15/07/1982
1984 EMEP Protocol	28/09/1984	12/01/1989
1985 Sulphur Protocol	09/07/1985	05/02/1990
1988 NO <sub>X</sub> Protocol	01/11/1988	19/05/1992
1991 VOC Protocol	19/11/1991	30/06/1995
1994 Sulphur Protocol	14/06/1994	14/09/1998
1998 Heavy Metals Protocol	24/06/1998	
1998 POPs Protocol	24/06/1998	20/06/2006
1999 Multi-effect Protocol (reviewed in 2012)	01/12/1999	

The following classes of pollutants should be included in the emission inventory:

#### Main Pollutants

- Sulphur oxides (SO<sub>X</sub>), in mass of SO<sub>2</sub>;
- Nitrous oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>), in mass of NO<sub>2</sub>;
- Non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC);
- Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>);
- Carbon monoxide (CO).

#### Particulate matter

- TSP, total suspended particulate;
- PM10, particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter;
- PM2.5, particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter;
- Black carbon.

### Heavy Metals

- Priority Metals: Lead (Pb), Cadmium (Cd) and Mercury (Hg);
- Other metals: Arsenic (As), Chrome (Cr), Copper (Cu), Nickel (Ni), Selenium (Se) and Zinc (Zn).

#### Persistent organic pollutants (POPs)

- As specified in Annex II of the POPs Protocol, including Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs);
- As specified in Annex III of the POPs Protocol: Dioxins (Diox), Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), Hexachlorobenzene (HCB).

## **1.2** National Inventory

As a Party to the *United Nations Economic Commission for Europe* (UNECE) *Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollution* (CLRTAP), Italy has to submit annually data on emissions of air pollutants in order to fulfil obligations, in compliance with the implementation of Protocols under the Convention. Parties are required to report on annual national emissions of SO<sub>X</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub>, NMVOC, CO and NH<sub>3</sub>, and various heavy metals and POPs according to the *Guidelines for Reporting Emission Data under the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution* (UNECE, 2008). The same data are submitted also in the framework of the National Emission Ceiling Directive of the European Union (UE, 2016).

Specifically, the submission consists of the national LRTAP emission inventory, communicated through compilation of the *Nomenclature Reporting Format* (NRF), and the *Informative Inventory Report* (IIR).

The Italian informative inventory report contains information on the national inventory for the year 2016, including descriptions of methods, data sources, QA/QC activities carried out and a trend analysis. The inventory accounts for anthropogenic emissions of the following substances: sulphur oxides ( $SO_X$ ), nitrogen oxides ( $SO_X$ ), ammonia ( $SO_X$ ), non-methane volatile organic compounds ( $SO_X$ ), carbon monoxide ( $SO_X$ ), total suspended particulate ( $SO_X$ ), particulate matter, particles of size <10  $\mu$ m, ( $SO_X$ ), particulate matter, particles of size <2.5  $\mu$ m, ( $SO_X$ ), black carbon ( $SO_X$ ), lead ( $SO_X$ ), cadmium ( $SO_X$ ), mercury ( $SO_X$ ), arsenic ( $SO_X$ ), chromium ( $SO_X$ ), copper ( $SO_X$ ), nickel ( $SO_X$ ), selenium ( $SO_X$ ), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons ( $SO_X$ ), dioxins ( $SO_X$ ), hexachlorobenzene ( $SO_X$ ). Other pollutants are reported as not estimated; more in details polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons have not been estimates for each compound and further investigation is planned for the reporting of these emissions.

Detailed information on emission figures of primary pollutants, particulate matter, heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants as well as estimation procedures are provided in order to improve the transparency, consistency, comparability, accuracy and completeness of the inventory provided.

The national inventory is updated annually in order to reflect revisions and improvements in the methodology and the availability of new information. Changes are applied retrospectively to earlier years, which accounts for any difference in previously published data.

Total emissions by pollutant from 1990 to 2016 are reported in Table 1.2.

**Table 1.2** Emission time series by pollutant

		1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
SO <sub>X</sub>	Gg	1,784	1,322	756	409	218	196	178	146	131	124	116
NO <sub>X</sub>	Gg	2,068	1,944	1,489	1,281	972	934	876	818	804	783	761
NMVOC	Gg	1,996	2,028	1,590	1,339	1,117	1,027	1,019	992	927	918	904
NH <sub>3</sub>	Gg	472	452	455	424	387	387	396	378	367	368	38
СО	Gg	7,210	7,257	4,855	3,448	3,075	2,435	2,670	2,502	2,268	2,378	2,310
As	Mg	37	27	46	40	45	46	45	44	45	46	3
Cd	Мд	10	9	9	8	7	7	7	7	7	6	,
Cr	Mg	87	69	44	49	43	43	42	39	38	38	3!
Cu	Mg	190	214	215	222	192	193	183	175	182	177	17
Hg	Mg	15	14	14	12	10	10	11	10	10	9	
Ni	Mg	115	111	106	111	40	38	36	32	31	31	30
Pb	Mg	4,285	2,000	956	287	268	266	268	259	262	259	27
Se	Mg	10	11	12	12	11	11	11	11	10	12	1:
Zn	Mg	940	927	889	960	887	944	913	856	849	834	86
TSP	Gg	342	337	291	263	277	222	252	246	226	238	23
PM10	Gg	291	286	245	218	231	183	209	204	187	197	19:
PM2.5	Gg	229	226	195	173	196	150	177	172	155	166	16
BC	Gg	47	46	42	39	33	27	28	26	24	24	2
РАН	Mg	99	101	68	73	95	73	91	86	76	82	8
Dioxin	$g$ $ITe_q$	503	484	404	327	309	268	287	282	269	281	27
НСВ	kg	43	38	25	21	17	22	27	22	22	22	2
РСВ	kg	289	302	266	279	211	219	226	206	200	195	19

The NRF files and other related documents can be found on website at the following address:

http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/serie-storiche-emissioni.

## 1.3 Institutional arrangements

The Institute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA) has the overall responsibility for the compilation of the national emission inventory and submissions to CLRTAP. The institute is also responsible for the communication of pollutants under the NEC directive as well as, jointly with the Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development (ENEA), the development of emission scenarios, as established by the Legislative Decree n. 171 of 21<sup>st</sup> May 2004. Every five years, from 2012 with reference to 2010 emissions, ISPRA shall provide the disaggregation of the national inventory at provincial level as instituted by the Legislative Decree n. 155 of 13<sup>th</sup> August 2010. Moreover, ISPRA is the single entity in charge of the development and compilation of the national greenhouse gas emission inventory as indicated by the Legislative Decree n. 51 of 7<sup>th</sup> March 2008. The Ministry for the Environment, Land and Sea is responsible for the endorsement and for the communication of the inventory to the Secretariat of the different conventions.

The *Italian National System* currently in place is fully described in the document '*National Greenhouse Gas Inventory System in Italy*' (ISPRA, 2016).

A specific unit of the Institute is responsible for the compilation of the *Italian Atmospheric Emission Inventory* and the *Italian Greenhouse Gas Inventory* in the framework of both the *Convention on Climate Change* and the *Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollution*. The whole inventory is compiled by the institute; scientific and technical institutions and consultants may help in improving information both on activity data and emission factors of specific activities. All the measures to guarantee and improve the transparency, consistency, comparability, accuracy and completeness of the inventory are undertaken.

ISPRA bears the responsibility for the general administration of the inventory, co-ordinates participation in review processes, publishes and archives the inventory results.

Specifically, ISPRA is responsible for all aspects of national inventory preparation, reporting and quality management. Activities include the collection and processing of data from different data sources, the selection of appropriate emissions factors and estimation methods consistent with the EMEP/EEA guidebook, the *IPCC 1996 Revised Guidelines*, the *IPCC Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty management* and the *IPCC Good Practice Guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry*, and the *IPCC 2006 Guidelines*, the compilation of the inventory following the QA/QC procedures, the preparation of the *Informative Inventory Report* and the reporting through the *Nomenclature Reporting Format*, the response to review checks, the updating and data storage.

Different institutions are responsible for statistical basic data and data publication, which are primary to ISPRA for carrying out estimates. These institutions are part of the *National Statistical System* (Sistan), which provides national official statistics, and therefore are asked periodically to update statistics; moreover, the *National Statistical System* ensures the homogeneity of the methods used for official statistics data through a coordination plan, involving the entire public administration at central, regional and local levels.

The main Sistan products, which are primarily necessary for the inventory compilation, are:

- National Statistical Yearbooks, Monthly Statistical Bulletins, by ISTAT (National Institute of Statistics);
- Annual Report on the Energy and Environment, by ENEA (Agency for New Technologies, Energy and the Environment);
- National Energy Balance (annual), Petrochemical Bulletin (quarterly publication), by MSE (Ministry of Economic Development);
- Transport Statistics Yearbooks, by MIT (Ministry of Transportation);
- Annual Statistics on Electrical Energy in Italy, by TERNA (National Independent System Operator);
- Annual Report on Waste, by ISPRA;
- National Forestry Inventory, by MIPAAF (Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forest Policies).

The national emission inventory itself is a Sistan product (ISPRA).

Other information and data sources are used to carry out emission estimates, which are generally referred to in Table 1.3 in the following section 1.5.

## 1.4 Inventory preparation process

ISPRA has established fruitful cooperation with a number of governmental and research institutions as well as industrial associations, which helps improving information about some leading categories of the inventory. Specifically, these activities aim at the improvement of provision and collection of basic data and emission factors, through plant-specific data, and exchange of information on scientific researches and new sources. Moreover, when in depth investigation is needed and estimates are affected by a high uncertainty, sectoral studies are committed to ad hoc research teams or consultants.

ISPRA also coordinates with different national and regional authorities and private institutions for the cross-checking of parameters and estimates, as well as with ad hoc expert panels, in order to improve the completeness and transparency of the inventory.

The main basic data needed for the preparation of the national emission inventory are energy statistics, published by the Ministry of Economic Development (MSE) in the National Energy Balance (BEN), statistics on industrial and agricultural production, published by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT), statistics on transportation, provided by the Ministry of Transportation (MIT), and data supplied directly by the relevant professional associations.

Emission factors and methodologies used in the estimation process are consistent with the EMEP/EEA Guidebook, the IPCC Guidelines and Good Practice Guidance as well as supported by national experiences and circumstances.

For the industrial sector, emission data collected through the National Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR), the Large Combustion Plant (LCP) Directive and in the framework of the European Emissions Trading Scheme have yielded considerable developments in the inventory of the relevant sectors. In fact, these data, even if not always directly used, are taken into account as a verification of emission estimates and improve national emissions factors as well as activity data figures.

In addition, final estimates are checked and verified also in view of annual environmental reports by industries.

For large industrial point sources, emissions are registered individually, when communicated, based upon detailed information such as fuel consumption.

Other small plants communicate their emissions which are also considered individually.

Emission estimates are drawn up for each sector. Final data are communicated to the UNECE Secretariat filling in the NRF files.

The process of the inventory preparation is carried out annually. In addition to a new year, the entire time series is checked and revised during the annual compilation of the inventory. In particular, recalculations are elaborated on account of changes in the methodologies used to carry out emission estimates, changes due to different allocation of emissions as compared to previous submissions and changes due to error corrections. The inventory may also be expanded by including categories not previously estimated if sufficient information on activity data and suitable emission factors have been identified and collected. Information on the major recalculations is provided in the sectoral chapter of the report.

All the reference material, estimates and calculation sheets, as well as the documentation on scientific papers and the basic data needed for the inventory compilation, are stored and archived at the Institute. After each reporting cycle, all database files, spreadsheets and electronic documents are archived as 'read-only-files' so that the documentation and estimates could be traced back during the new year inventory compilation or a review process.

Technical reports and emission figures are publicly accessible on the web at the address <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/serie-storiche-emissioni">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/serie-storiche-emissioni</a>.

### 1.5 Methods and data sources

An outline of methodologies and data sources used in the preparation of the emission inventory for each sector is provided in the following. In Table 1.3 a summary of the activity data and sources used in the inventory compilation is reported.

**Table 1.3** *Main activity data and sources for the Italian Emission Inventory* 

SECTOR	ACTIVITY DATA	SOURCE
1 Energy 1A1 Energy Industries	Fuel use	Energy Balance - Ministry of Economic Development Major national electricity producers European Emissions Trading Scheme
1A2 Manufacturing Industries and Construction	Fuel use	Energy Balance - Ministry of Economic Development Major National Industry Corporation European Emissions Trading Scheme
1A3 Transport	Fuel use Number of vehicles Aircraft landing and take-off cycles and maritime activities	Energy Balance - Ministry of Economic Development Statistical Yearbooks - National Statistical System Statistical Yearbooks - Ministry of Transportation Statistical Yearbooks - Italian Civil Aviation Authority (ENAC) Maritime and Airport local authorities
1A4 Residential-public-commercial sector	Fuel use	Energy Balance - Ministry of Economic Development
1B Fugitive Emissions from Fuel	Amount of fuel treated, stored, distributed	Energy Balance - Ministry of Economic Development Statistical Yearbooks - Ministry of Transportation Major National Industry Corporation
2 Industrial Processes	Production data	National Statistical Yearbooks- National Institute of Statistics International Statistical Yearbooks-UN European Emissions Trading Scheme European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register Sectoral Industrial Associations
3 Solvent and Other Product Use	Amount of solvent use	National Environmental Publications - Sectoral Industrial Associations International Statistical Yearbooks - UN
4 Agriculture	Agricultural surfaces Production data Number of animals Fertilizer consumption	Agriculture Statistical Yearbooks - National Institute of Statistics Sectoral Agriculture Associations
5 Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry	Forest and soil surfaces Amount of biomass Biomass burnt Biomass growth	Statistical Yearbooks - National Institute of Statistics State Forestry Corps National and Regional Forestry Inventory Universities and Research Institutes
6 Waste	Amount of waste	National Waste Cadastre - Institute for Environmental Protection and Research , National Waste Observatory

Methodologies are consistent with the *EMEP/EEA Emission Inventory Guidebook*, *Revised 1996* and 2006 IPCC Guidelines, and IPCC Good Practice Guidance (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007; EMEP/EEA, 2009; EMEP/EEA, 2013; EMEP/EEA, 2016; IPCC, 1997; IPCC, 2000; IPCC, 2006); national emission factors are used as well as default emission factors from international guidebooks, when national data are not available. The development of national methodologies is supported by background documents.

The most complete document describing national methodologies used in the emission inventory

compilation is the *National Inventory Report*, submitted in the framework of the UN *Convention on Climate Change* and the *Kyoto Protocol* (ISPRA, 2018 [a]).

Activity data used in emission calculations and their sources are briefly described here below.

In general, for the energy sector, basic statistics for estimating emissions are fuel consumption published in the national Energy Balance by the Ministry of Economic Development. Additional information for electricity production is provided by the major national electricity producers and by the major national industry corporation. On the other hand, basic information for road transport, maritime and aviation, such as the number of vehicles, harbour statistics and aircraft landing and take-off cycles are provided in statistical yearbooks published both by the National Institute of Statistics and the Ministry of Transportation. Other data are communicated by different category associations.

Data from the Italian Emissions Trading Scheme database (ETS) are incorporated into the national inventory whenever the sectoral coverage is complete; in fact, these figures do not always entirely cover the energy categories whereas national statistics, such as the national energy balance and the energy production and consumption statistics, provide the complete basic data needed for the Italian emission inventory. However, the analysis of data from ETS is used to develop country-specific emission factors and check activity data levels. In this context, ISPRA is also responsible for developing, operating and maintaining the national registry under Directive 2003/87/CE as instituted by the Legislative Decree 51 of March 7<sup>th</sup> 2008; the Institute performs this tasks under the supervision of the national Competent Authority for the implementation of directive 2003/87/CE, amended by Directive 2009/29/EC, jointly established by the Ministry for Environment, Land and Sea and the Ministry for Economic Development.

For the industrial sector, the annual production data are provided by national and international statistical yearbooks. Emission data collected through the National Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (Italian PRTR) are also used in the development of emission estimates or taken into account as a verification of emission estimates for some specific categories. Italian PRTR data are reported by operators to national and local competent authorities for quality assessment and validation. ISPRA collects facilities' reports and supports the validation activities at national and at local level. ISPRA communicates to the Ministry for the Environment, Land and Sea and to the European Commission within 31st March of the current year for data referring to two years earlier. These data are used for the compilation of the inventory whenever they are complete in terms of sectoral information; in fact, industries communicate figures only if they exceed specific thresholds; furthermore, basic data such as fuel consumption are not supplied and production data are not split by product but reported as an overall value. Anyway, the national PRTR is a good basis for data checks and a way to facilitate contacts with industries which supply, under request, additional information as necessary for carrying out sectoral emission estimates.

In addition, final emissions are checked and verified also taking into account figures reported by industries in their annual environmental reports.

Both for energy and industrial processes, emissions of large industrial point sources are registered individually; communication also takes place in the framework of the European Directive on Large Combustion Plants, based upon detailed information such as fuel consumption. Other small plants communicate their emissions which are also considered individually.

For the other sectors, i.e. for solvents, the amount of solvent use is provided by environmental publications of sector industries and specific associations as well as international statistics.

For agriculture, annual production data and number of animals are provided by the National Institute of Statistics and other sectoral associations.

For waste, the main activity data are provided by the Institute for Environmental Protection and Research and the Waste Observatory.

In case basic data are not available proxy variables are considered; unpublished data are used only if supported by personal communication and confidentiality of data is respected.

All the material and documents used for the inventory emission estimates are stored at the Institute for Environmental Protection and Research. The inventory is composed by spreadsheets to calculate emission estimates; activity data and emission factors as well as methodologies are referenced to their data sources.

A 'reference' database has also been developed to increase the transparency of the inventory; at the moment, it is complete as far as references to greenhouse gas emissions are concerned.

## 1.6 Key categories

A key category analysis of the Italian inventory is carried out according to the Tier 1 method described in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). According to these guidelines, a key category is defined as an emission category that has a significant influence on a country's inventory in terms of the absolute level in emissions. Key categories are those which, when summed together in descending order of magnitude, add up to over 80% of the total emissions.

National emissions have been disaggregated into the categories reported in the National Format Report; details vary according to different pollutants in order to reflect specific national circumstances. Results are reported in the following tables for the year 1990 (Table 1.4) and 2016 (Table 1.5) by pollutant.

The trend analysis has also been applied considering 1990 and 2016. The results are reported in Table 1.6.

 Table 1.4 Key categories for the Italian Emission Inventory in 1990

						Key catego	ories in 199	0						Total (%)
SO <sub>x</sub>	1A1a (43.1%)	1A1b (10.8%)	1A2g viii (5.9%)	1A2c (5.3%)	1A3d ii (4.4%)	1A4b i (4.1%)	1B2a iv (3.8%)	1A3b i (3.4%)						80.7
$NO_x$	1A3b i (25.2%)	1A1a (19.8%)	1A3b iii (16.5%)	1A2f (5.8%)	1A4c ii (4.9%)	1A3d ii (4.6%)	1A3b ii (3.2%)	1A4b i (2.5%)						82.5
$NH_3$	3Da2a (21.7%)	3B1a (20.8%)	3B1b (17.9%)	3Da1 (14.9%)	3B3 (7.7%)									83.0
NMVOC	1A3b i (23.4%)	2D3d (13.6%)	1A3b iv (7.5%)	2D3a (5.8%)	1A3b v (5.8%)	1A4b i (5.0%)	2D3g (3.9%)	1A4c ii (3.4%)	2D3i (3.3%)	1B2a v (3.0%)	2D3e (2.4%)	3B1a (2.4%)	1A3d ii (2.3%)	81.8
CO	1A3b i (62.7%)	1A4b i (10.9%)	1A3b iv (6.9%)											80.5
PM10	1A4b i (23.1%)	1A1a (13.0%)	1A3b i (6.4%)	1A4c ii (5.5%)	1A3b iii (4.7%)	3Dc (4.3%)	1A2f (3.5%)	1A3b ii (3.4%)	1A3d ii (3.2%)	3B4g ii (2.8%)	1A3b vi (2.6%)	2C1 (2.5%)	1A2a (2.2%)	81.1
	1A1b (1.9%)	2A1 (1.9%)												
PM2.5	1A4b i (29.1%)	1A1a (11.0%)	1A3b i (8.2%)	1A4c ii (7.0%)	1A3b iii (6.0%)	1A3b ii (4.4%)	1A3d ii (4.1%)	1A2f (3.4%)	2C1 (2.6%)	2A1 (2.4%)	1A2a (2.2%)			80.3
ВС	1A3b i (21.3%)	1A4c ii (19.1%)	1A3b iii (14.7%)	1A3b ii (11.7%)	1A4b i (11.1%)	1A2g vii (5.0%)								82.9
Pb	1A3b i (77.5%)	1A3b iv (5.3%)												82.8
Cd	1A2b (28.7%)	1A2a (21.1%)	2C1 (13.2%)	1A4b i (10.1%)	1A2f (5.7%)	1A4a i (3.8%)								82.6
Hg	1B2d (22.3%)	2B10a (18.5%)	2C1 (15.1%)	1A2b (10.7%)	1A2f (8.4%)	1A1a (6.4%)								81.4
РАН	2C1 (45.5%)	1A4b i (32.2%)	1A1c (8.4%)											86.1
Dioxin	1A4a i (20.6%)	1A2a (16.2%)	1A4b i (13.9%)	2C1 (13.4%)	5C1a (8.5%)	5C1b i (6.2%)	1A2b (5.4%)							84.1
НСВ	3Df (54.2)	5C1b iv (23.9%)	1A2a (10.1%)	, ,										88.3
PCB	1A1a (38.9%)	2C1 (31.7%)	1A2a (13.7%)											84.3

1 Energy 2 IPPU - Industry 2 IPPU - Solvent and product use 5 Waste 3 Agriculture

 Table 1.5 Key categories for the Italian Emission Inventory in 2016

		Key categories in 2016									Total (%)			
SO <sub>x</sub>	1A3d ii (17.9%)	1A2f (16.7%)	1B2a iv (14.2%)	1A1a (10.4%)	1A1b (6.4%)	1A4b i (5.0%)	2A1 (5.0%)	2B10a (4.6%)						80.2
NO <sub>x</sub>	1A3b iii (21.3%)	1A3b i (20.0%)	1A3d ii (9.1%)	1A3b ii (6.8%)	1A2f (5.4%)	1A4b i (5.4%)	1A4a i (4.6%)	1A1a (4.4%)	1A4c ii (4.4%)					81.4
NH <sub>3</sub>	3Da2a (17.3%)	3B1b (16.8%)	3Da1 (16.6%)	3B1a (16.3%)	3B3 (7.7%)	3B4g ii (4.2%)	3B4a (2.9%)							81.9
NMVOC	1A4b i (18.5%)	2D3d (14.5%)	2D3a (8.0%)	2D3g (5.6%)	1A3b iv (5.4%)	1A3b v (4.3%)	3B1b (4.0%)	3B1a (3.6%)	1A4a i (3.1%)	2D3 i (2.8%)	1A3b i (2.7%)	1B2b (2.7%)	2H2 (2.6%)	81.7
	3B4g iv (2.0%)	1A3d ii (1.8%)												
СО	1A4b i (59.9%)	1A3b i (11.4%)	1A3b iv (6.2%)	1A2a (3.1%)										80.6
PM10	1A4b i (54.7%)	3Dc (5.3%)	1A3b vi (4.3%)	1A3d ii (2.8%)	1A3b i (2.6%)	2C1 (2.6%)	1A3b vii (2.5%)	1A2f (2.5%)	5E (2.0%)	1A3b iii (1.9%)				81.2
PM2.5	1A4b i (64.6%)	1A3d ii (3.4%)	1A3b i (3.1%)	1A3b vi (2.8%)	1A2f (2.6%)	2C1 (2.5%)	5E (2.4%)							81.4
ВС	1A4b i (38.3%)	1A3b i (17.4%)	1A3b iii (10.1%)	1A3b ii (8.9%)	1A4c ii (6.0%)									80.7
Pb	1A4a i (27.8%)	2C1 (24.2%)	1A2f (23.0%)	1A2a (10.9%)										86.0
Cd	1A4a i (35.6%)	1A2a (21.4%)	2C1 (15.1%)	1A2f (7.7%)	1A4b i (6.5%)									86.2
Hg	2C1 (30.0%)	1A4a i (28.8%)	1A2a (8.1%)	1A2b (7.0%)	1A2f (6.5%)									80.5
РАН	1A4b i (69.4%)	2C1 (11.5%)												80.9
Dioxin	1A4b i (40.0%)	2C1 (28.3%)	1A2b (19.3%)											87.6
НСВ	5C1b iv (58.1%)	1A2a (10.4%)	3Df (7.8%)	1A4b i (7.0%)										83.3
РСВ	2C1 (44.3%)	1A1a (28.9%)	1A4ai (8.6%)	,										81.8

1 Energy 2 IPPU - Solvent and product use 2 IPPU - Industry 3 Agriculture 5 Waste

**Table 1.6** Key categories for the Italian Emission Inventory in trend 1990-2016

		Key categories in trend								Total (%)				
$SO_X$	1A1a (29.0%)	1A2f (12.7%)	1A3d ii (12.0%)	1B2a iv (9.2%)	1A2g viii (4.7%)	1A1b (3.9%)	1A2c (3.7%)	2A1 (3.4%)	1A4a i (3.1%)					81.7
NO <sub>X</sub>	1A1a (27.8%)	1A3b i (9.4%)	1A3b iii (8.7%)	1A3d ii (8.1%)	1A4a i (7.3%)	1A3b ii (6.4%)	1A4b i (5.2%)	1A2g viii (3.2%)	1A2c (2.9%)	3Da1 (2.7%)				81.8
NH <sub>3</sub>	3B1a (18.7%)	3Da2a (18.3%)	3B4a (9.5%)	3Da1 (6.9%)	3B4g ii (6.7%)	5B2 (5.3%)	1A3b i (5.2%)	3B4g i (4.6%)	3B1b (4.5%)	3Da2c (4.1%)				83.9
NMVOC	1A3b i (31.0%)	1A4b i (19.9%)	1A4a i (4.4%)	1A4c ii (3.9%)	2D3a (3.2%)	1A3b iv (3.1%)	3B1b (2.6%)	2D3g (2.6%)	1A3b v (2.2%)	1B2b (2.2%)	1B2a v (2.1%)	3B4g iv (1.9%)	3B1a (1.8%)	80.3
СО	1A3b i (45.1%)	1A4b i (43.0%)												88.1
PM10	1A4b i (39.8%)	1A1a (15.9%)	1A4c ii (5.3%)	1A3b i (4.8%)	1A3b iii (3.6%)	1A3b ii (2.6%)	1A2a (2.6%)	1A1b (2.2%)	1A3b vi (2.1%)	1A2c (2.0%)				80.9
PM2.5	1A4b i (43.0%)	1A1a (12.9%)	1A4c ii (6.6%)	1A3b i (6.1%)	1A3b iii (4.6%)	1A3b ii (3.4%)	1A2a (2.5%)	1A1b (1.9%)						81.0
ВС	1A4b i (39.2%)	1A4c ii (18.9%)	1A3b iii (6.6%)	1A2g vii (5.8%)	1A3b i (5.7%)	1A3b ii (4.0%)								80.3
Pb	1A3b i (42.4%)	1A4a i (15.2%)	2C1 (12.6%)	1A2f (11.7%)										81.9
Cd	1A4a i (41.3%)	1A2b (34.1%)	1A4b i (4.7%)											80.1
Hg	1A4a i (28.9%)	2B10a (20.0%)	1B2d (19.4%)	2C1 (16.2%)										84.5
РАН	1A4b i (42.3%)	2C1 (38.6%)												80.9
Dioxin	1A4b i (22.2%)	1A4a i (16.6%)	1A2a (13.4%)	2C1 (12.7%)	1A2b (11.9%)	5C1a (9.4%)								84.0
НСВ	3Df (48.0%)	5C1b iv (35.3%)												83.3
РСВ	2C1 (24.8%)	1A1a (19.8%)	1A2a (16.8%)	1A4a i (13.6%)	1A4b i (9.6%)									84.6

1 Energy 2 IPPU - Solvent and product use 2 IPPU - Industry 3 Agriculture 5 Waste

## 1.7 QA/QC and Verification methods

ISPRA has elaborated an inventory QA/QC procedures manual which describes specific QC procedures to be implemented during the inventory development process, facilitates the overall QA procedures to be conducted, as far as possible, on the entire inventory and establishes quality objectives (ISPRA, 2014). Specific QA/QC procedures and different verification activities implemented thoroughly in the current inventory compilation are figured out in the annual QA/QC plans (ISPRA, 2018 [b]).

Quality control checks and quality assurance procedures together with some verification activities are applied both to the national inventory as a whole and at sectoral level. Future planned improvements are prepared for each sector by the relevant inventory compiler; each expert identifies areas for sectoral improvement based on his own knowledge and in response to different inventory review processes.

In addition to *routine* general checks, source specific quality control procedures are applied on a case by case basis, focusing on key categories and on categories where significant methodological and data revision have taken place or new sources.

Checklists are compiled annually by the inventory experts and collected by the QA/QC coordinator. These lists are also registered in the 'reference' database.

General QC procedures also include data and documentation gathering. Specifically, the inventory analyst for a source category maintains a complete and separate project archive for that source category; the archive includes all the materials needed to develop the inventory for that year and is kept in a transparent manner.

Quality assurance procedures regard different verification activities of the inventory.

Feedbacks for the Italian inventory derive from communication of data to different institutions and/or at local level. Emission figures are also subjected to a process of re-examination once the inventory, the inventory related publications and the national inventory reports are posted on website, specifically <a href="https://www.isprambiente.gov.it">www.isprambiente.gov.it</a>.

The preparation of environmental reports where data are needed at different aggregation levels or refer to different contexts, such as environmental and economic accountings, is also a check for emission trends. At national level, for instance, emission time series are reported in the Environmental Data Yearbooks published by the Institute, in the Reports on the State of the Environment by the Ministry for the Environment, Land and Sea and, moreover, figures are communicated to the National Institute of Statistics to be published in the relevant Environmental Statistics Yearbooks as well as used in the framework of the EUROSTAT NAMEA Project.

Technical reviews of emission data submitted under the CLRTAP convention are undertaken periodically for each Party. Specifically, an in depth review of the Italian inventory was carried out in 2010 and 2013 (UNECE, 2010; UNECE, 2013). A summary of the main findings of the last review can be found in the relevant technical report at the address

http://www.ceip.at/fileadmin/inhalte/emep/pdf/2013\_s3/ITALY-Stage3ReviewReport-2013.pdf.

Moreover, under the European National Emission Ceiling Directive (NECD), an in depth review of the pollutants with a national ceiling for 2030, NO<sub>X</sub>, SO<sub>X</sub>, NMVOC, NH<sub>3</sub> and PM2.5) has been conducted in 2017 (EU, 2017). The main findings and how the recommendations were addressed are reported in the following table.

#### Recommendations from TERT, considering revised estimates (RE) and technical corrections (TC)

Observation	Key Category	NFR, Pollutant(s), Year(s)	Recommendation	RE or TC	Implementation
IT-1A1- 2017-0001	No	1A1 Energy industries, NH <sub>3</sub> , 2000-2015	For categories 1A1b Petroleum Refining and 1A1c Manufacture of Solid Fuels and Other Energy Industries and NH <sub>3</sub> , the TERT noted that the NFR tables indicate the notation key 'NA' whereas the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook mentions 'NE'. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that it assumes that there are no NH <sub>3</sub> emissions from these activities. The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or under-estimate and recommends	no	Notation key has been changed

			that Italy corrects the NFR tables for the next submission for $NH_3$ in categories 1A1b and 1A1c by changing 'NA' to 'NE'.		
IT-1A1- 2017-0002	Yes	1A1Energy Industries, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2000-2015	For all stationary energy sectors (1A1, 1A2, 1A4) and NECD pollutants, the TERT noted that it is stated in the IIR that a detailed description of the methods of the energy sector is documented in the national inventory report of the Italian greenhouse gas inventory. In the in-depth LRTAP review in 2013 Italy was recommended to improve the transparency of the IIR: "the ERT is of the opinion that some parts of the IIR (sectoral chapters in particular) include only short descriptions of the methodologies used, with no EFs included, and no consideration of AD trends". In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that Italy has been implementing the in-depth review recommendation giving priority to key categories. The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or under-estimate, but that transparency is lacking. The TERT recommends to improve the transparency in the IIR by describing the section energy (1A1, 1A2 and 1A4) in more detail the methodology used for the NECD pollutants including the EFs used and the references.	no	Not implemented although additional information has been added in the paragraph 3.2
IT-1A1- 2017-0003	No	1A1 Energy industries, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2000-2015	For the stationary energy sector and pollutants PM <sub>2.5</sub> for the entire time series the TERT noted that the IIR refers to an ISPRA website to collect EF (EF combustion.xlsx) which doesn't provide EFs for PM <sub>2.5</sub> . In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that PM <sub>2.5</sub> emission factors have been calculated starting from PM <sub>10</sub> emission factors and applying the speciation reported in the Tier 2 tables of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook at fuel level calculated as PM <sub>2.5</sub> divided by PM <sub>10</sub> EF and Italy will update the file EF combustion.xlsx. The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or under-estimate. The TERT recommends Italy to add the EFs for the pollutant PM <sub>2.5</sub> in the file relative to EFs for combustion (EF combustion.xls) available on the ISPRA website in order to have a complete database and a more transparent inventory and IIR. As described in Italy's reply, the TERT also recommends to state in the IIR the reference used for PM <sub>2.5</sub> EFs.	no	PM2.5 emission factors have been added in the relevant Tables.
IT-1A1- 2017-0004	Yes	1A Combustion, SO <sub>2</sub> , 2000-2015	For the energy sector and pollutant SO <sub>2</sub> for the entire time series, the TERT noted that the SO <sub>2</sub> EF for LPG is equal to 0. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that according to the LPG distributors SO <sub>2</sub> emission factor should be close to 0. The TERT acknowledges the explanation provided by Italy. The TERT notes that this issue is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends Italy to be more transparent in the IIR and to add the value of SO <sub>2</sub> EF for LPG referencing to data provided by distributors. For the category 1A4 and pollutant SO <sub>2</sub> for the entire time series, the TERT noted that the SO <sub>2</sub> EF for natural gas is equal to 0 for NFR code 1A4. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that Italy will update SO <sub>2</sub> EF for natural gas used in 1A4 in the next submission. Italy provided an estimate for year 2015. The TERT noted that the issue is below the threshold of significance for a	no	SO <sub>x</sub> emission factors for LPG, natural gas and refinery gas have been revised for the relevant sectors according with the recommendations.

IT-1A1- 2017-0006	Yes	1A1 Energy Industries, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2000-2015	technical correction. The TERT recommends Italy to correct in the next inventory and in the IIR the value of SO <sub>2</sub> EF for natural gas for category 1A4. For the category 1A1b Petroleum Refining and SO <sub>2</sub> , the SO <sub>2</sub> EF for refinery gas is 6 g/GJ, but the range in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook for Tier 1 method is between 0.169 and 0.393 g/GJ. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that for category 1A1b, a Tier 3 method is used and SO <sub>2</sub> emissions are estimated on the basis of emission and consumption data provided by the refineries in the framework of LCP (Large Combustion Plant) and ETS (Emissions Trading System) European Directives and E-PRTR (European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register) Regulation. The average implied emission factors at fuel level result from the analysis of the information provided and available at plant level, including technologies for energy production and emissions abatement. This IEF refer both to the production of energy and heat and to the other combustion activities in refineries. The EF for refinery gas used is from the 2007 EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook, which provides a value equal to 8 g/m3 for this fuel and stationary combustion plants. The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or under-estimate. The TERT recommends Italy to verify the unit used in the file "EF combustion" and to correct if it's necessary.  For the energy sector and for waste incineration with energy recovery, the TERT noted that these sectors are estimating NECD emissions using annual emissions reported by operators on the basis of stack measurements. When continuous monitoring systems from the nineties. The analysis of time series of average emission factors at plant level for the main emitting plants (e.g. expressed in kg NO <sub>x</sub> /GWh) has not shown specific trends, for the last years, which could be related to changes in the collection must not be applied in the context of reporting annual emissions. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained	no	The survey among operators is in progress.
			provided are calculated without these "adjustments". In the opinion of the TERT, bottom-up data based on the "validated average values" defined in the IED cannot be used by the inventory team without		

			The TERT recommends Italy to organise a survey among operators to identify which one are reporting emissions on the basis of the validated average values and try to derive a methodology to adjust the national emissions over the time series in order to compensate the fact that national emissions are estimated on the basis of data reported by operators using validated average values.		
IT-1A1a- 2017-0001	Yes	1A1 Energy industries, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> , 2000-2015	For category 1A1 Energy Industries and pollutants SO <sub>2</sub> and NO <sub>x</sub> , the TERT noted a lack of transparency about the versions of the Guidebook used. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that for 1A1, a Tier 3 method is used and SO <sub>x</sub> and NO <sub>x</sub> emissions are estimated on the basis of emission and consumption data provided by the relevant plants in the framework of the Large Combustion Plant Directive, the EU Emission Trading Scheme and the E-PRTR Regulation. The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or under-estimate. The TERT recommends Italy to add a specific section in the IIR for category 1A1 and specify that for the category 1A1 and for SO <sub>2</sub> and NO <sub>x</sub> pollutants, the methodology used is a Tier 3 method.	no	Not implemented although additional information has been added in the paragraph 3.2
IT-1A2- 2017-0001	Yes	1A2 Stationary combustion in manufacturing industries and construction, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2000-2015	For category 1A2f Stationary Combustion in Manufacturing Industries and Construction: Non-metallic Minerals and NECD pollutants for the entire time series, the TERT noted that all emissions are counted in the category 1A2f and not disaggregated by NFR categories (1A2a to 1A2g) whereas consumption data are available by NFR categories. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that some emissions included in this category are estimated on the basis of the production data and not on fuel consumption. Italy also indicated that the 2018 reporting would be disaggregated. The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or underestimate because all emissions are taken into account in Italy's inventory. The TERT recommends that Italy carries out estimates for category 1A2 Stationary Combustion in Manufacturing Industries and Construction at sub category level as already done for greenhouse gases and report these in the next submission.	no	The recommendation has been implemented.
IT-1A3ai(i)- 2017-0001	No	1A3a Aviation LTO (civil), NH <sub>3</sub> , 1990-2015	For ammonia from categories 1A3ai(i) International Aviation LTO (Civil) and 1A3aii(i) Domestic Aviation LTO (Civil) the TERT noted that within the NFR tables, the notation key 'not applicable' ('NA') is provided, further acknowledging that this might be correct for jet kerosene with the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook not providing sufficient explanatory information. However, as aviation gasoline is used too (see IIR chapter 3.7.2) and as in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook the notation key 'NE' ('not estimated') is proposed for this type of fuel, the TERT recommended using this notation key with the next annual submission. In response to this recommendation, Italy confirmed the plan to use the same emission factor as currently applied to gasoline in off-road machinery. Here, as the emission behaviour is likely to be rather different, the TERT recommends checking the applicability of this emission factor.	no	Notation key has been changed

IT-1A3aii(i)- 2017-0001	No	1A3aii(i) Domestic aviation LTO (civil), SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 1990-2015	For category 1A3aii(i) Domestic Aviation LTO (Civil), the TERT noted that no activity data were provided in the NFR tables. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy confirmed this erroneous reporting, further providing the requested data to the TERT. The TERT acknowledged the data supplied, recommending Italy to report the activity data in the next submission and to implement suitable QC procedures to ensure the completeness of the NFR tables.	no	The error has been corrected
IT-1A3b- 2017-0001	Yes	1A3b Road Transport, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2005, 2010, 2015	For category 1A3b Road Transport for all years, the TERT noted with reference to the NFR tables and IIR page 71 that there is a lack of transparency regarding the lubricant consumptions calculation (2 and 4 stroke engines) and the associated reporting. The 2 stroke engines lubricant consumption has to be reported in 1A3b (in general associated with 2 wheels) but 4 stroke engines lubricant consumption has to be reported in 2D3 Non-Energy Products from Fuels and Solvent Use. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that Italy has reported in 1A3b only lubricant consumption due to 2 stroke engines. All the other national lubricants consumption, including 4 stoke engines, and relevant emissions are reported in 2D3 category. The TERT agreed with the explanation provided by Italy. The TERT recommends that Italy includes the explanations in its next submission.	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.8.2
IT-1A3bi- 2017-0001	Yes	1A3bi Road transport: Passenger cars, NO <sub>X</sub> , 2005,2006	For category 1A3bi Road Transport: Passenger Cars and NO <sub>X</sub> for years 2005-2006, the TERT noted that there is a lack of transparency regarding the emissions and activity data trends. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that emission and consumption data in the detail of passenger cars vehicle category are estimated by the model COPERT 4, version 11.4, implementing the methodology reported in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Emission trends regarding passenger cars are explained by the variations estimated for the years 2005 and 2006 in mileages time series data (Italian Ministry of Transport, several years) correlated to the variations registered in fuel consumptions (total national data are taken from the Joint Questionnaire OECD/EUROSTAT/IEA Energy Balance for Italy), subject to the fuel balance constraints imposed by COPERT model. Moreover, the trend of liquid fuel is driven by a gradual decrease in the last ten years of gasoline fuel consumption balanced by an increase of diesel fuel which is the main driver for NO <sub>X</sub> emissions. The TERT agreed with the explanation provided by Italy. The TERT recommends that Italy includes such explanations in its next submission.	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.8.4
IT-1A3bii- 2017-0001	Yes	1A3bii Road transport: Light duty vehicles, NO <sub>x</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2001, 2006, 2012, 2013, 2015	For category 1A3bii Road Transport: Light Duty Vehicles and pollutants NO <sub>X</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC and PM <sub>2.5</sub> for years 2001, 2006, 2012, 2013, 2015, the TERT noted that there is a lack of transparency regarding the emissions and activity data trends. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that emission and consumption data in the detail of vehicle category are estimated by the model COPERT 4, version 11.4, implementing the methodology reported in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook, as explained in the	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.8.4

			IIR. Emissions trends regarding light commercial vehicles are explained by the annual variations estimated in mileages time series data (Italian Ministry of Transport, several years) correlated to the variations registered in fuel consumptions, subject to the fuel balance constraints imposed by COPERT model. At pollutant level, emission trends are driven not only by fuel but also by changes in technologies, which is reflected in the COPERT model by the annual vehicle fleet. Due to the penetration of new vehicles with more stringent pollutant limits, some pollutant emissions decreased faster than other. An important role has been played also by the distribution between diesel and gasoline fuel consumptions. In the requested years, an increase of diesel fuelled vehicles and a decrease of gasoline ones has been registered and diesel fuel new technologies resulted in a slower decrease of NOx emission than expected. The TERT agreed with the explanation provided by Italy. The TERT recommends that Italy includes such explanations in its next submission.		
IT-1A3biii- 2017-0002	Yes	1A3biii Road transport: Heavy duty vehicles and buses, NO <sub>X</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , 2006	For category 1A3biii Road Transport: Heavy Duty Vehicles and Buses and pollutants NO <sub>X</sub> and NH <sub>3</sub> for year 2006, the TERT noted that there is a lack of transparency regarding the emissions and activity data trends. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that regarding heavy duty vehicles and buses, emission and consumption data in the detail of vehicle category are estimated by the model COPERT 4, version 11.4, implementing the methodology reported in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Emissions trends regarding heavy duty vehicles and buses are explained by the variations estimated in mileages time series data (Italian Ministry of Transport, several years) correlated to the variations registered in fuel consumptions, for the years 2005 and 2006, subject to the fuel balance constraints imposed by COPERT model. The TERT agreed with the explanation provided by Italy. The TERT recommends that Italy includes such explanation in its next submission.	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.8.4
IT-1A3biv- 2017-0001	Yes	1A3biv Road transport: Mopeds & motorcycles, NO <sub>X</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2000-2015	For category 1A3biv Road Transport: Mopeds & Motorcycles and pollutants NO <sub>X</sub> , NMVOC and PM <sub>2.5</sub> for years 2000-2010, the TERT noted that there is a lack of transparency regarding the emissions and activity data trends. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that emission and consumption data in the detail of vehicle categories are estimated by the model COPERT 4, version 11.4, implementing the methodology reported in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Emission trends regarding mopeds and motorcycles are explained by the variations in estimated mileages (Italian Ministry of Transport, several years) correlated to the variations registered in gasoline consumptions between 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007, subject to the fuel balance constraints imposed by COPERT model. As regards biomass activity data: regarding gasoline, bioethanol consumption is attributed only to E85 passenger cars; as regards the other mentioned NFR codes (1A3bi-iii), the reported biomass activity data is related to biodiesel. The annual penetration of new technologies (EURO 2 mopeds and EURO3 moto) explains annual trends. A	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.8.4

			discontinuity in the database of motorcycles occur in 2005 when more detailed information is available in particular the number of EURO 2 and EURO 3 motorcycles and the number distributed by EURO of two stroke motorcycles not available till 2004. It affected most of the dips observed. For the next submission, Italy indicated that efforts will be made to update the time series including for the years before 2005 and estimation of EURO2 and 3 motorcycles. The TERT agreed with the explanation provided by Italy. The TERT recommends that Italy includes these explanations in its next submission.		
IT-1A3bv-2017-0001	Yes	1A3bv Road transport: Gasoline evaporation, NMVOC, 2000-2015	For category 1A3bv Road Transport: Gasoline Evaporation and pollutant NMVOC for all years, the TERT noted that there is a lack of transparency regarding the emissions and activity data trends. For NMVOC, the emissions jump by 7% between 2002 and 2003 and dip by 28% between 2003 and 2004. The IEF is not in the trend of all member states IEF. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that evaporative emissions, are calculated by the model COPERT 4, version 11.4, implementing the methodology reported in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Emission Guidebook. Evaporative emissions are calculated for gasoline passenger cars, light commercial vehicles, mopeds and motorcycles. Fluctuations depend on the temperature (in 2003 in Italy monthly max temperatures are higher compared to 2002 and 2004, in particular in the summer) and vapour pressure of fuel, according to the processes of diurnal emission, running losses and hot soak emissions. In addition, variations are also explained by the mileage trend estimated for motorcycles (regarding the jump between 2002 and 2003) and for gasoline passenger cars, light commercial vehicles and mopeds (regarding the dip from 2003 to 2004). The TERT agreed with the explanations about fluctuations, but was not totally convinced about the high IEF compared to all other member states. The TERT asked for input data of the COPERT model (population, vapour pressure, etc.) in order to detect a potential error. The TERT detected an error in summer vapour pressure (RVP) with a too high value and asked Italy to provide revised estimates for years 2005, 2010 and 2015. These new estimates did not completely explain the high Italian IEF. The TERT thinks that the high implied emission factor comes from the high proportion of pre-euro gasoline vehicles population (15% of PC, 21% of LCV and 30% of 2W in 2015) in the fleet. The TERT agreed with the revised estimates provided by Italy. The TERT recommends that Italy includes the revised estimate and explain in the IIR that the mean age of the f	RE	Emission estimates have been revised accordingly with the review
IT-1A3bvii- 2017-0001	No	1A3bvii Road Transport: Automobile Road Abrasion, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2005, 2010, 2015	For category 1A3bvii Road Transport: Automobile Road Abrasion and pollutants PM <sub>2.5</sub> for all years, the TERT noted with reference to the NFR tables and IIR pages 69 that there may be an under-estimate of PM emissions as the emissions are not estimated. In response to a question raised during the	no	PM emissions from road abrasion have been estimated and included in the inventory

			review, Italy explained that although emission factors are available in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook, they are not included in the COPERT model, by the developers and not Italy, because the emission factors are not considered sufficiently reliable. The TERT disagreed with the explanation provided by Italy. The TERT decided to calculate emissions with activity data provided by Italy and emission factors from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook, following this calculation, the TERT noted that the issue is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that Italy estimates and reports emissions from road abrasion in its next submission.		
IT-1A3c- 2017-0001	No	1A3c Railways, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 1990-2015	For category 1A3c Railways and solid fuels, the TERT noted that activity data and, hence, resulting emissions are reported as 'not occurring' ('NO'), with information on a least one steam engine still operating in Italy available online. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that this historic train is used only for few days per year and is probably fuelled with biomass nowadays instead of coal. The TERT acknowledges the answer provided by Italy. The TERT further agrees, that this activity is of very minor importance. The TERT recommends that Italy investigates whether the amount of fuel used in these trains is already included in the energy balance in a different category and apply the appropriate notation key. Furthermore, the TERT recommends that Italy includes a brief statement in the IIR on the likely insignificance of this source.	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.9
IT-1A3ei- 2017-0001	No	1A3ei Pipeline transport, NH <sub>3</sub> , 1990-2015	For category 1A3eI Pipeline Transport and NH <sub>3</sub> , the TERT noted that emissions are reported as 'not applicable' ('NA') with the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook not providing separate information for this category but referring to the "Small Combustion" chapter, where the notation key 'NE' is provided for several related combustion activities using natural gas. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy proposed to revise the notation key in the next annual submission. The TERT agrees and recommends Italy to implement this change in the next submission.	no	Notation key has been revised
IT-1A4ai- 2017-0001	Yes	1A4ai Commercial/institutio nal: Stationary, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2000-2015	For category 1A4ai Commercial/Institutional: Stationary and NECD pollutants, the TERT noted that emissions from waste incineration facilities with energy recovery are reported under category 1A4ai Commercial/Institutional: Stationary. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that waste management with incinerators is a commercial activity with recovery of the energy auto-produced and emissions from these plants are allocated to the commercial/institutional category because of the final use of heat and electricity production. Referring to the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook, chapter 1A1 Energy industries part Overview (page 4) states that "if there is heat recovery or power generation in the incineration process, the emission should be reported under the appropriate 1.A.1 activity" and not in the category 1A4. The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or under-estimate but the TERT recommends that emissions from waste	no	Not implemented

			incineration facilities with energy recovery are reported under category 1A1a Public Electricity and Heat Production.		
IT-1A4ai- 2017-0002	Yes	1A4ai Commercial/institutio nal Combustion, NMVOC, 2000-2015	For category 1A4a Commercial/institutional Combustion and pollutants NMVOC and PM25, the TERT noted a lack of transparency about the versions of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook used. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that for fuels other than wood and waste, the default EF of 2007 EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook have been used. Italy also informed the TERT that wood and waste account for more than 80 per cent of the emission. The TERT recommends that Italy states more precisely in the IIR the reference of the Guidebook version used for the category 1A4a. The TERT also recommends that Italy updates this methodology in line with 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook in the next submission.	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.12.3
IT-1A4ai- 2017-0003	No	1A4a Commercial/institutio nal Combustion, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2000- 2015	For the energy sector and NECD pollutants for the entire time series the TERT noted that the IIR doesn't describe the methodology for waste incineration with energy recovery (chapter 1A4 in the IIR). In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that the methodology used to estimate emissions for this category is reported in the waste sector in the relevant paragraph on waste incineration of the IIR and it suggests to report in the next submission the relevant information also in the energy sector. In order to improve the transparency of the IIR, the TERT recommends that Italy adds in the energy sector (rather in category 1A1 than in category 1A4 as it is described in the recommendation IT-1A4ai-2017-0001) a sentence which refers to the methodological description of waste incineration with energy recovery in the waste of the IIR.	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.12.3
IT-1A4aii- 2017-0001	No	1A4aii Commercial/institutio nal: Mobile, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 1990-2015	For category 1A4aii Commercial/Institutional: Mobile, the TERT noted that activity data and emissions are reported as 'not occurring' ('NO'). In response to a question raised during the review, Italy proposed to revise the notation key 'included elsewhere' ('IE') with the next annual submission. The TERT agreed with the planned revision, nonetheless recommending putting additional effort into making data available that allows for a separate reporting of this NFR category. For the time being, the TERT further recommends including sufficient explanatory information on the allocation of fuels consumed in this category in the national energy statistics and the emission inventory.	no	Notation key has been revised
IT-1A4bi- 2017-0001	Yes	1A4bi Residential: Stationary, NO <sub>X</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2000-2015	For category 1A4bi Residential: Stationary and NECD pollutants, the TERT noted a lack of transparency about the versions of the Guidebook used. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that in the case of NO <sub>x</sub> for example, country specific EFs are used and updated for natural gas and wood, while for liquid fuels (gasoil, kerosene and LPG), a default equal to 50 g/GJ is used versus the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook Tier 1 default for liquid fuel equal to 51 g/GJ. The TERT notes that this issue is below the threshold for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that Italy states more precisely in the IIR in the chapter relative to category	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.12.3

IT-1A4ci- 2017-0002	No	1A4ci Agriculture/Forestry/F ishing: Stationary, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2000-2015	1A4b the methodology used: for example, explain that country specific EFs are used for wood and natural gas for SO <sub>2</sub> and NO <sub>X</sub> and for other fuels and other pollutants default emission factors are applied. The TERT also recommends that Italy updates the methodology, where relevant, in line with the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook in the next submission.  For category 1A4ci Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Stationary for the entire time series the TERT noted that no biomass consumption is provided in the NFR tables. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that an error occurred for the reporting of activity data in the NFR tables. Emissions for this sector are correct. The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or underestimate. The TERT recommends that Italy corrects in the NFR tables the fuel biomass	no	The error has been correct
IT-1B1b- 2017-0001	No	1B1b Fugitive Emission from Solid Fuels: Solid Fuel Transformation, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , 1990-2015	consumption for category 1A4ci in its next submission.  For category 1B1b Fugitive Emission from Solid Fuels: Solid Fuel Transformation and pollutants NO <sub>X</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> and NH <sub>3</sub> , the TERT noted that emissions had been reported as not applicable (NA), whilst the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook identifies potential emissions of these pollutants. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that emissions from coke production were estimated on the basis of the data reported by the plants under E-PRTR and these had been allocated within the category 1A1c Manufacture of Solid Fuels and Other Energy Industries. Italy had not estimated NH <sub>3</sub> emissions for this sector, however Italy noted that these emissions were considered below the threshold of significance because on the basis of data collected, they were estimated to be below 1 ton per year. The TERT noted that the issue for NH <sub>3</sub> is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that Italy include an estimate of NH <sub>3</sub> emissions from coke production in the next submission, and document the methodology used in the IIR. Furthermore, the TERT recommends that Italy corrects the notation keys for NO <sub>X</sub> and SO <sub>2</sub> , and include information on emission allocation in the IIR in the next submission.	no	Notation key has been corrected for the relevant pollutants
IT-1B2aiv- 2017-0001	Yes	1B2aiv Fugitive emissions oil: Refining / storage, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 1990-2015	For category 1B2aiv Fugitive Emissions Oil: Refining/Storage the TERT noted a lack of transparency regarding the methodology to estimate emissions from refining, the sources included, and the split between combustion (category 1A1b Petroleum Refining) and process (category 1B2aiv). In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained the procedure for estimating the combustion and process emissions based on the data reported by plants under E-PRTR (European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register), ETS (Emissions Trading System) and LCP (Large Combustion Plant). Italy acknowledged that NH <sub>3</sub> emissions from refineries were not estimated, which is quantified at an amount below 50 tonnes per year. The TERT notes that the impact of this under-estimate is lower than the threshold of significance for a technical correction, but recommends that Italy includes the estimation of NH <sub>3</sub> emissions from refineries in the next submission.	no	Notation key for NH3 has been revised. Emission estimates will be included in the next submission

IT-1B2aiv- 2017-0002	No	1B2aiv Fugitive emissions oil: Refining / storage, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2015	For category 1B2aiv Fugitive Emissions Oil: Refining/Storage and pollutant PM <sub>2.5</sub> for year 2015 the TERT noted a potential error of reporting or estimation as activity data changes did not explain the peak in PM <sub>2.5</sub> emissions and the equal value in that year for the PM <sub>10</sub> emissions and the PM <sub>2.5</sub> emissions. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that there was a reporting error and that emissions for 2015 were lower than reported. The TERT notes that this is below the threshold for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that Italy corrects the error and includes an updated estimate in its next submission.	no	The error has been corrected
IT-1B2av- 2017-0001	No	1B2aiv Fugitive Emissions Oil: Refining / Storage, NH <sub>3</sub> , 1990-2015	For category NFR 1B2aiv Fugitive Emissions Oil: Refining/Storage and NH <sub>3</sub> , the TERT noted that emissions had been reported as not applicable ('NA'), whilst the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook identifies potential emissions of this pollutant from catalytic cracking unit regenerators (partial burn without CO boiler). In response to a question raised during the review, Italy provided a rough estimation of 50 tonnes per year based on the data collected and stated that these emissions will be included in the next submission. The TERT noted that the issue is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that Italy estimates and reports emissions in the next submission.	no	Notation key for NH3 has been revised. Emission estimates will be included in the next submission
IT-1B2b- 2017-0001	No	1B2b Fugitive emissions from natural gas (exploration, production, processing, transmission, storage, distribution and other), NMVOC, 1990-2015	For category 1B2b Fugitive Emissions from Natural Gas and 1B2c Venting and Flaring as well, the TERT noted a certain lack of transparency about some potential emitting sources. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that all emitting sources had been estimated in this category (i.e. production, transport, distribution and storage and distribution, including housing). Italy also explained the methodology applied based on estimates of methane emissions and volume of gas flared as activity data and country-specific emission factors. Emissions from flaring in refineries was reported in that category and its estimation was based on country-specific emission factors from the relevant industrial association and on the amount of gas flared reported by plants under the EU ETS (from 2005 onwards). The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or underestimate of emissions and recommends that Italy includes a section in the IIR with the methodology applied for categories 1B2b and 1B2c for the next submission.	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 3.13.1 and 3.13.2
IT-1B2d- 2017-0001	No	1B2d Other fugitive emissions from energy production, NH <sub>3</sub> , 1990-2015	For category 1B2d and pollutant NH <sub>3</sub> the TERT noted that the no occurrence of the emission source had been reported even though electricity is being generated from geothermal energy in the country. Based on the exchange of information during the review, Italy provided a revised estimate. The TERT agreed with the revised estimate provided by Italy and attached to the annex of the review report. The TERT recommends that Italy include the revised estimate in its next submission.	RE	Emission estimates have been included in the inventory
IT-2A5a- 2017-0001	No	2A5a Quarrying and mining of minerals other than coal, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 1990-2015	For category 2A5a Quarrying and Mining of Minerals Other Than Coal and PM <sub>2.5</sub> , the TERT noted that NE notation key had been reported. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that there was no evidence of active mines of bauxite, copper, manganese and zinc and that no data	no	Emission estimates not implemented. Additional information have been added in the IIR in paragraph 4.2.

			on other mineral quarrying were available. The TERT noted that the issue is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that Italy estimates emissions from this category for the next submission. When no national statistics are available at all, international statistics on quarrying such as USGS Minerals Yearbook could be examined and considered as the basis for emissions reporting.		
IT-2A5b- 2017-0001	No	2A5b Construction and demolition, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 1990-2015	For category NFR 2A5b Construction and Demolition and PM <sub>2.5</sub> , the TERT has noted that emissions have not been estimated ('NE' notation key). In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that statistics on activity data, except expressed in terms of economic units, are not available. The TERT has not been able to assess whether this issue is below or above the threshold of significance for a technical correction, due to the lack of information on activity data provided by the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook and the high variability among countries of the implied emission factors based on socioeconomic variables (e.g. GDP). The TERT strongly recommends that Italy collects the base information for estimating the emissions for this category and include the estimate in its next submission.	no	Emission estimates not implemented. Additional information have been added in the IIR in paragraph 4.2.
IT-2A5c- 2017-0001	No	2A5c Storage, handling and transport of mineral products, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 1990-2015	For the category 2A5c Storage, Handling and Transport of Mineral Products and PM <sub>2.5</sub> , the TERT noted that emissions had been reported as not estimated ('NE'). In response to a question raised during the review, Italy confirmed that a Tier 1 methodology had been applied for this category i.e. the PM <sub>2.5</sub> emissions from storage, handling and transport had been estimated and reported in the sectoral categories 2A1 Cement Production and 2A2 Lime Production. Italy stated that the notation key would be changed accordingly in the next submission. The TERT notes that emissions from storage, handling and transport for other minerals than the aforementioned ones might not be included in the inventory. This potential underestimate is likely to be below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that Italy improves the transparency of the IIR by including information on allocation of emissions and potential emissions that are not estimated for this category in the next submission.	no	Notation key has been changed. Additional information has been added in the IIR in paragraph 4.2.
IT-2C-2017- 0001	No	2C Metal Industry, NO <sub>X</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2005- 2015	For 2C Metal Industry lead, zinc and copper production for PM <sub>2.5</sub> , the TERT noted that in response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that all the emissions are currently reported in the energy sector, because up to now there was no information available to distinguish between energy and process emissions, but in the last year, thanks to EU ETS data, it has been possible to separate CO2 emissions. The extension to other pollutants is planned for the next submission. Italy provided an overview of the pollutants reported for each source and a comparison of estimated national emission factors for PM2,5 (and SO <sub>2</sub> ) with emission factors of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. The TERT recommends that Italy includes the process emissions of lead, zinc and copper production under category 2C5 Lead Production, 2C6 Zinc Production and 2C7a	no	Not implemented. Additional information has been added in the IIR in paragraph 4.2 to explain the reasons of emissions allocation.

			Copper Production in its next submission and provides information on the estimate and split in its next IIR.		
IT-2D3d- 2017-0001	Yes	2D3d Coating applications, NMVOC, 2005, 2010, 2015	For category 2D3d Coating Applications and NMVOC for all years, the TERT noted that on the top of page 109 of the IIR, Italy states that with regard to car repairing the emission cut from 2007 onwards is mainly due to the maximum contents of VOC set by the EU Directive 2004/42/EC. In the Table 5.3 the EF for car repairing for 2005 is 605.5 g/Mg paint, for 2010 is 497.81 g/Mg paint, where you might see the fruits of implementing the EU Directive, but then in 2015 the EF is 770.892 g/Mg Paint. The same trend can be seen for the paint application in construction and building and domestic use of paint. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that the Italian implied emission factor is the weighted average of the different products used in this activity where data are collected at detailed level and communicated within the European Directive. The trend is driven by the increase, in the last years, of the use of primers and special finishes which represent, in 2015, around 60% of the total products used in comparison to around 20% in 2010. Similar trend is noted for the construction and building and domestic paints where the variability is mainly due to the percentage of solvent based paint product used out of the total paints. The TERT agreed with the explanation provided by Italy. The TERT recommends that Italy includes this explanation and in general provides more information on the trends in its next submission.	no	Additional information has been added in the IIR in paragraph 5.2.
IT-2D3e- 2017-0001	No	2D3e Degreasing, NMVOC, 2005, 2010, 2015	For category 2D3e Degreasing and NMVOC for all year, the TERT noted that there was a lack of transparency in the IIR regarding the description of the used methodology for the NFR category 2D3e. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that the activity data were provided by the industrial association and that the emission factor referred to the CORINAIR 1992 Default Emission Factors Handbook. The TERT recommends that Italy includes information on the methodology, including the activity data and emission factors including references in its next submission. Furthermore, the TERT recommends that Italy updates the emission factor used in line with either the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook or well-documented national values.	no	Additional information has been added in the IIR in paragraph 5.2.
IT-2G-2017- 0001	No	2G Other product use, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2005, 2010, 2015	For category 2G Other Product Use, use of tobacco and fireworks and all pollutants for all years, the TERT noted that Italy does not estimate and report emissions from these activities, even though default emission factors are available in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that activity data are not readily available and that very preliminary estimates did not have a quality to allow inclusion in the emission inventory. The TERT partly agreed with the explanation provided by Italy. The TERT recommends that Italy, estimates and reports emissions from use of tobacco and fireworks using either the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook or national data, if available, in its next submission.	no	Not implemented. Notation key NE has been included. Additional information has been added in the IIR in paragraph 4.2.

IT-2H1- 2017-0001	No	2H1 Pulp and paper industry, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> , NMVOC, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , 2005, 2010, 2015	For category 2H1 Pulp and Paper Industry and pollutants SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> , NMVOC and PM <sub>2.5</sub> for all years, the TERT noted that there is no description of the used methodology (activity data, EFs) in the IIR. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy provided information on the different processes used and the emission factors and references. The TERT recommends that Italy provides information on the processes, methodologies, activity data and emission factors including the references used, in the next submission.	no	Additional information has been added in the IIR in paragraph 4.2.
IT-3B1a- 2017-0001	Yes	3B1a Manure management - Dairy cattle, NH <sub>3</sub> , 2003-2015	For category 3B1a Manure Management - Dairy Cattle and pollutant NH <sub>3</sub> for year 1990-2015 the TERT noted that the IEF is amongst the highest in the EU. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy sent information on AWMS (animal waste management system) distribution and grazing time that explains this high IEF. The TERT recommends that Italy enhances the transparency of its next submission by including the most relevant parameters/factors that affect the estimates: such as livestock numbers, N excretion rates, use of MMS (manure management system) and a detailed justification of any reduction in emissions (EFs) caused by mitigation measures/national policies. All country specific EFs should also be included with references and any assumptions made accompanied by a clear justification.	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 6.2
IT-3B-2017- 0003	No	3B Manure management, NMVOC, 1990-2015	For category 3B4gi, 3B4gii, 3B4giii, 3B4giv, 3B4h and pollutant NMVOC for years 1990-2015 the TERT noted that emissions are reported as 'NA'. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that on the basis of the emission factors provided by the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook Tier 1, the weight of emission estimates for these categories on the total NMVOC emission would be on average equal to 1.8% in 1990 and 4.6% in 2015. The TERT recommends Italy to apply the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook methodology to estimate these emissions in the next submission, and use the Tier 2 approach if this proves to be a key category.	no	NMVOC emissions have been estimated or updated.
IT-3B-2017- 0006	No	3B Manure management, 1990-2015	For category 3B4gi Manure Management - Laying Hens and pollutant NH3 for years 1990-2015 the TERT noted that IEF is amongst the lowest of EU and lower than the Tier 1 EF in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy provided information and references on the N excretion rates, AWMS distribution and reduction factors for the abatement techniques. The TERT agreed with the explanation provided by Italy. However, the TERT noted a lack of transparency in the IIR and recommends that for category 3B Manure Management and categories related (3Da2a Animal Manure Applied to Soils and 3Da3 Urine and Dung Deposited by Grazing Animals) Italy enhances the transparency of its next submission by including the most relevant parameters/factors that affect the estimates: such as consistent livestock numbers, N excretion rates and use of MMS, and a detailed justification of any reduction in emissions (EFs) caused by mitigation measures/national policies. All country specific EFs should also be documented including references and all assumptions	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 6.2

			should be accompanied by a clear justification of the applicability.		
IT-3B3- 2017-0002	Yes	3B3 Manure management - Swine, NOX, NH3, 1990- 2015	For category 3B Manure management: 3B1a Manure management - Dairy cattle, 3B1b Manure management - Non-dairy cattle and 3B3 Manure management - Swine and pollutants NH3 and NOX and years 1990-2015, the TERT noted that there may be an inconsistency due to the use of Tier 2 for the emissions of NH3 and a tier 1 to estimate the emissions of NOX. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that basic parameters used to estimate NOX emissions, as N excreted in the different part of the process (recovery, storage and spreading), livestock, recovery systems, are the same as those used for deriving NH3 and N2O estimates. The TERT disagree with the explanation provided by Italy because the tier 1 approach only requires the use livestock numbers and solid/liquid split. The TERT noted the same issue in 3B Poultry. The TERT recommends that the tier 2 massflow approach methodology in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook is applied for NOX emissions in the next submission.	no	NO <sub>X</sub> emissions have been updated with the Tier 2 according to the review recommendation.
IT-3B3- 2017-0004	No	3B3 Manure management - Swine, PM2.5, 2004-2010	For category 3B3 Manure Management - Swine and pollutant PM2.5 for years 1990-2004 the TERT noted that there has been a significant decrease in IEF from 2004 to 2010 (0.08 vs 0.008 kg/head). In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that from 2010 PM2.5 emission estimates are based on emission factors provided by the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. These emission factors are based on studies conducted between 2006 and 2016 which include scientific works conducted in Italy. These studies have suggested that Takai's emission factors suggested in the 2006 EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook are too high and do not represent current particulate emission levels. A gradual transition to the new factors has been assumed for the intermediate years (2004-2010) taking in account the gradual penetration of the abatement technologies. The TERT noted that the issue is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT disagree with the explanation of Italy and recommends that the EFs in 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook are used in its next submissions, unless more appropriate country specific EF are available. In this case the TERT recommends that Italy includes information on the gradual penetration of the abatement technologies, all country specific EFs are documented (including references) and all assumptions are accompanied by a clear justification of the applicability.	no	Additional information has been included in paragraph 6.2
IT-3Da1- 2017-0001	Yes	3Da1 Inorganic N- fertilizers (includes also urea application), NH3, 1990-2015	For category 3D1a Inorganic N-fertilizers (includes also urea application) and pollutant NH3, years 1990-2015 EFs presented in 2002 EMEP/CORINAIR were used. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained the methodology used and provided revised estimates for the years. The TERT agreed with the revised estimates provided by Italy and it is attached to the annex of the review report. The TERT recommends that Italy revises NH3 estimates according to the availability of country specific data of soil pH in the next submission.	RE	NH3 emissions have been updated according to the review recommendation.

IT-3Da1- 2017-0002	No	3Da1 Inorganic N-fertilizers (includes also urea application), NOX, 1990-2015	For category 3Da1 Inorganic N-fertilizers (includes also urea application) and pollutant NOX for years 1990-2015 the TERT noted that emissions are not reported using the methodology from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook, but instead using the methodology from the 2007 EMEP/Corinair Guidebook. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy indicated that the methodology and EF of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook for the estimates of NOX emissions of 3Da1 Inorganic N-fertilizers will be provided in the next submission. The TERT recommends that the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook is used to estimate and report emissions in the next submission.	no	NO <sub>X</sub> emissions have been updated according to the review recommendation.
IT-3Da2b- 2017-0001	No	3Da2b Sewage Sludge Applied to Soils, NH3, 1990-2015	For categories 3Da2b Sewage Sludge Applied to Soils and 3Da2c Other Organic Fertilisers Applied to Soils (Including Compost) and pollutants NH3 and NOX for years 1990-2015, the TERT noted that Italy uses the volatilization factor included in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for NH3-N and NOX-N losses. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy indicated that it will use the methodology and EF of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook for these estimates in the next submission and results will be compared with the current estimates. The TERT noted that the issue is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends Italy to implement the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook methodology in the next submission.	no	NH3 and NO <sub>X</sub> emissions have been updated according to the review recommendation.
IT-3Dc- 2017-0001	No	3Dc Farm-Level Agricultural Operations Including Storage, Handling and Transport of Agricultural Products, PM2.5, 1990-2015	For category 3Dc Farm-level Agricultural Operations Including Storage, Handling and Transport of Agricultural Products and pollutant PM2.5 for years 1990-2015, the TERT noted that emissions are reported as 'NA'. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that "The required estimate was already planned for the next submission. On the basis of the emission factors provided by the Guidebook for the Tier 1 and the cultivated arable area the weight of emissions estimates for these categories on the total PM2.5 is on average equal to 0.2% for the period 1990-2015. Current information for applying the Tier 2 method is unavailable". The TERT noted that the issue is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that PM2.5 emissions for 3Dc are estimated and reported in the next submission.	no	PM emissions have been estimated.
IT-3De- 2017-0001	No	3De Cultivated crops, NMVOC, 1990-2015	For category 3De Cultivated Crops and NMVOC for years 1990-2015 the TERT noted that emissions are reported as 'NA'. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that using Tier 1, the weight of emission estimates for these categories on the total NMVOC would be on average equal to 0.6% for the period 1990-2015 and that this estimate has been already planned for inclusion in future submissions. The TERT recommends that Italy applies the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook methodology for estimating NMVOC emissions from cultivated crops in the next submission.	no	NMVOC emissions have been estimated.
IT-3F-2017- 0001	No	3F Field burning of agricultural residues, SO2, NH3, 1990-2015	For category 3F Field Burning of Agricultural Residues and pollutants SOX and NH3 for years 1990-2015, the TERT noted that emissions are reported as 'NA'. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that on the basis of	no	SO <sub>X</sub> and NH3 emissions have been estimated.

			the emission factors provided by the Guidebook for the Tier 1 the weight of emissions estimates for this category on the total is on average equal to 0.12% for the period 1990-2015 for NH3 and 0.004% in 1990 and 0.067% in 2015 for SOX. The TERT noted that this issue is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that Italy applies the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook methodology to estimate and report emissions of 3F in the next submission.		
IT-5A-2017- 0001	No	5A Biological treatment of waste - Solid waste disposal on land, SO2, NOX, NMVOC, PM2.5, 2005, 2010, 2015	For category 5A Biological treatment of waste - Solid waste disposal on land, Landfill gas, years 2005, 2010, 2015 the TERT noted that in the IIR and NFR tables no clear explanation is provided about uses of landfill gas. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy provided information on the use of landfill gas for energy production and for flaring. The TERT notes that this issue does not relate to an over- or under-estimate and recommends that Italy includes a clear description in the next IIR on the recovery and uses of landfill gas and the allocation of associated emissions.	no	Additional information has been added in paragraph 7.2
IT-5D-2017- 0001	No	5D Wastewater handling, NMVOC, 2005, 2010, 2015	For category 5D Wastewater handling and pollutant NMVOC the TERT noted that Italy reported the emission as not applicable (NA). The TERT noted that a default emission factor is available in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. In response to a question raised during the review, Italy explained that to calculate NMVOC emissions from wastewater handling they are looking for an appropriate EF. Italy provided a rough estimate using the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook default EF and showed that NMVOC emissions from 5D is far below of threshold of significance for a technical correction. The TERT recommends that Italy calculates these emissions and reports them in the next submission.	no	Not implemented. Notation key NE has been included
IT-5E-2017- 0001	No	5E Other waste, SO2, NOX, NH3, NMVOC, PM2.5, 2005, 2010, 2015	For category 5E and pollutant PM2.5 for all years the TERT noted that Italy reported emissions as not occurring (NO). The TERT noted that in response to a question raised during the review Italy provided emissions estimations regarding PM2.5 from sector 5E. The TERT accepted Italy provided calculation for years 2005, 2010, 2015. The estimates demonstrate that the issue is above the threshold of significance in year 2015. The TERT recommends that Italy include a revised estimate in its next submission for all years.	RE	Emission estimates have been included in the inventory

A bilateral independent review between Italy and Spain was undertaken in the year 2012, with a focus on the revision of emission inventories and projections of both the Parties. With regard to the emission inventory the Italian team revised part of the energy sector of Spain, specifically the public power plants, petroleum refining plants, road transport and off-road categories, whereas the Spanish team revised the Industrial processes and solvent and other product use, and the LULUCF sectors of Italy. Results of these analyses are reported in a technical report. Aim of the review was to carry out a general quality assurance analysis of the inventories in terms of methodologies, EFs and references used, as well as analysing critical cross cutting issues such as the details of the national energy balances and comparison with international data (EUROSTAT and IEA) and use of plant specific information.

In addition, an official independent review of the entire Italian inventory was undertaken by the Aether consultants in 2013. Main findings and recommendations are reported in a final document, and regard mostly

the transparency in the NIR, the improvement of QA/QC documentation and some pending issues in the LULUCF sector. These suggestions were considered in the implementation of the following inventories.

Comparisons between national activity data and data from international databases are usually carried out in order to find out the main differences and an explanation to them. Emission intensity indicators among countries (e.g. emissions per capita, industrial emissions per unit of added value, road transport emissions per passenger car, emissions from power generation per kWh of electricity produced, emissions from dairy cows per tonne of milk produced) can also be useful to provide a preliminary check and verification of the order of magnitude of the emissions. Additional comparisons between emission estimates from industrial sectors and those published by the industry itself in the Environmental reports are carried out annually in order to assess the quality and the uncertainty of the estimates.

The quality of the inventory has also improved by the organization and participation in sector specific workshops.

A specific procedure undertaken for improving the inventory regards the establishment of national expert panels (in particular, in road transport, land use change and forestry and energy sectors) which involve, on a voluntary basis, different institutions, local agencies and industrial associations cooperating for improving activity data and emission factors accuracy.

Furthermore, activities in the framework of the improvement of local inventories are carried out together with local authorities concentrating on the comparison between top down and bottom up approaches and identifying the main critical issues. In 2018, ISPRA has finalised the provincial inventory at local scale for the years 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010 and 2015 applying a top down approach. Methodologies and results were checked out by regional and local environmental agencies and authorities, and figures are available at ISPRA web address <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/inventaria">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/inventaria</a>. Methodologies used for a previous reporting cycle are described in a related publication (ISPRA, 2009).

This work is also relevant to carry out regional scenarios, for the main pollutants, within the Gains Italy project implemented by ENEA and supported by ISPRA and the regional authorities.

In addition to these expert panels, ISPRA participates in technical working groups within the National Statistical System. These groups, named *Circoli di qualità* ("Quality Panels"), coordinated by the National Institute of Statistics, are constituted by both producers and users of statistical information with the aim of improving and monitoring statistical information in specific sectors such as transport, industry, agriculture, forest and fishing. These activities should improve the quality and details of basic data, as well as enable a more organized and timely communication.

Other specific activities relating to improvements of the inventory and QA/QC practices regard the progress on management of information collected in the framework of different European obligations, Large Combustion Plant, E-PRTR and Emissions Trading, which is gathered together in an informative system thus highlighting the main discrepancies among data, detecting potential errors and improving the time series consistency. ISPRA collects these data from the industrial facilities and the inventory team manages the information and makes use of it in the preparation of the national inventory. The informative system is based on identification codes to trace back individual point sources in different databases and all the figures are considered in an overall approach and used in the compilation of the inventory.

A proper archiving and reporting of the documentation related to the inventory compilation process is also part of the national QA/QC programme.

All the material and documents used for the inventory preparation are stored at the Institute for Environmental Protection and Research.

Information relating to the planning, preparation, and management of inventory activities are documented and archived. The archive is organised so that any skilled analyst could obtain relevant data sources and spreadsheets, reproduce the inventory and review all decisions about assumptions and methodologies undertaken. A master documentation catalogue is generated for each inventory year and it is possible to track changes in data and methodologies over time. Specifically, the documentation includes:

- electronic copies of each of the draft and final inventory report, electronic copies of the draft and final NFR tables;
- electronic copies of all the final, linked source category spreadsheets for the inventory estimates

(including all spreadsheets that feed the emission spreadsheets);

• results of the reviews and, in general, all documentation related to the corresponding inventory year submission.

After each reporting cycle, all database files, spreadsheets and electronic documents are archived as 'read-only' mode.

A 'reference' database is also compiled every year to increase the transparency of the inventory. This database consists of a number of records that references all documentation used during the inventory compilation, for each sector and submission year, the link to the electronically available documents and the place where they are stored as well as internal documentation on QA/QC procedures.

# 1.8 General uncertainty evaluation

An overall uncertainty analysis for the Italian inventory related to the pollutants described in this report has not been assessed yet. Nevertheless, different studies on uncertainty have been carried out (Romano et al., 2004) and a quantitative assessment of the Italian GHG inventory is performed by the Tier 1 method defined in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006) which provides a calculation based on the error propagation equations. Details on the results of the GHG inventory uncertainty figures can be found in the *National Inventory Report* 2018 (ISPRA, 2018 [a]).

It should be noted that different levels of uncertainty pertain to different pollutants. Estimates of the main pollutants are generally of high level, but PM emissions, especially those of small particle sizes, heavy metal and POP estimates are more uncertain. For this reason, even though not quantified in terms of uncertainty, improvements are planned especially for the specified pollutants.

Nevertheless, since quantitative uncertainty assessments constitute a mean to either provide the inventory users with a quantitative assessment of the inventory quality or to direct the inventory preparation team to priority areas, a planned improvement for next submissions is the completion of such analysis.

# 1.9 General Assessment of Completeness

The inventory covers all major sources, as well as all main pollutants, included in the UNECE reporting guidelines (UNECE, 2014). NFR sheets are complete as far as the details of basic information are available.

Allocation of emissions is not consistent with the guidelines only where there are no sufficient data available to split the information. For instance, emissions from category 1.A.5.a other stationary are reported and included under category 1.A.4.a i commercial and institutional emission estimates. Emissions from 3.B.4.g iii turkeys are included in 3.B.4.g iv other poultry. PM emissions from 2.A.3 glass production are included in the relevant 1.A.2 combustion category source.

There are a few emission sources not assessed yet: Black carbon and HMs non exhaust emissions from 1.A.3.b vii, road abrasion, NH3 emissions from some energy combustion activities, NO<sub>X</sub>, SO<sub>X</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> from 1.B.1.b, fugitive emissions from solid fuel transformation, NO<sub>X</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> from 3.D.a iv, crop residues applied to soils, and 3.D.b, indirect emissions from managed soils, NMVOC from 5.D.1 and 5.D.2, domestic and industrial wastewater activities. Emission factors for these categories, when available in the Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016), need further assessment for the applicability to the national circumstances. PAH emissions are not detailed in the four indicator compounds but accounted for as a total because for many categories emission factors are not available by compound. Black carbon emissions from 1.A.3.b vi automobile tyre and brake wear, have not been estimated and will be included in the next submission.

Emissions from the categories reported in the NFR under 2.A.5, quarrying and mining of minerals other than coal, construction and demolition and storage, handling and transport of mineral products, are not estimated because no information on activity data is still available.

HMs and POPs emissions from field burning of agriculture waste (4F category) seem not to occur: further assessment will be carried out analysing in depth the studies providing emission factors in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

Further investigation will be carried out about these source categories and pollutants in order to calculate and improve figures.

# 2 ANALYSIS OF KEY TRENDS BY POLLUTANT


# 2.1 Main pollutants

In the following sections, Italian emission series of sulphur oxides, nitrogen oxides, non-methane volatile organic compounds, carbon monoxide and ammonia are presented.

# 2.1.1 Sulphur dioxide $(SO_X)$

The national atmospheric emissions of sulphur oxides have significantly decreased in recent years, as occurred in almost all countries of the UNECE.

Figure 2.1 and Table 2.1 show the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.1 also illustrates the share of  $SO_X$  emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.



**Figure 2.1**  $SO_X$  emissions trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.1**  $SO_X$  emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Gg)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
					Gg						
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	1,001	776	467	187	77	65	64	45	31	30	22
Non industrial combustion plants	82	33	25	23	12	10	10	10	9	10	10
Combustion - Industry	303	220	107	75	46	42	37	33	32	27	28
Production processes	157	126	51	61	46	46	36	32	33	31	29
Road transport	129	72	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other mobile sources and machinery	98	84	84	51	29	27	24	23	23	22	22
Waste treatment and disposal	13	12	10	11	7	5	5	2	3	5	4
Agriculture	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total	1,784	1,322	756	409	218	196	178	146	131	124	116

Figures show a general decline of  $SO_X$  emissions during the period, from about 1,800 Gg in 1990 to 116 Gg in 2016. The national target of  $SO_X$  emissions, set by the National Emission Ceilings Directive at 475 Gg for 2010 (EC, 2001) was reached and continues to be respected after this year revision of the time series. The new targets established for 2020 in the framework of the UNECE/CLRTAP Convention and for 2030 in the framework of the revised National Emission Ceiling Directive (EU, 2016), equal for Italy respectively to 65% and 29% of 2005 emissions, has been already reached.

The decreasing trend is determined mainly by the reduction in emissions from *combustion in energy* (-98%) and in *industry* (-91%), representing in 2016 about 19%, and 24% of the total, respectively. Emissions deriving from *non industrial combustion plants* and *road transport* show a strong decrease too (-88% and -99.7%, respectively), but these emissions represent only about 9% and 0.3% of the total in 2016. *Production processes* and *other mobile sources and machinery* also present a significant decreasing trend, showing an influence on the total of 25% and 19% and dropping by about -81% and -78%, respectively. SO<sub>X</sub> emissions from agriculture have been introduced but their contribute is irrelevant.

An explanation of the sectoral decreasing trend is outlined more in details in the following.

#### Combustion in energy and transformation industries

The trend of emissions of this sector shows a reduction in the early eighties mainly due to the use of natural gas in place of coal in the energy production and to the implementation of the Directive EEC 75/716 (EC, 1975) which introduces more restrictive constraints in the sulphur content of liquid fuels.

During the years 1985-1990, there was an increase of energy consumption that, not sufficiently hampered by additional measures, led to an increase in the emissions of the sector and consequently of total  $SO_X$  levels.

However in the nineties, there was an inverse trend due to the introduction of two regulatory instruments: the DPR 203/88 (Decree of President of the Republic of 24<sup>th</sup> May 1988), laying down rules concerning the

authorisation of plants, and the Ministerial Decree of 12<sup>th</sup> July 1990, which introduced plant emission limits. Also the European Directive 88/609/EEC (EC, 1988) concerning the limitation of specific pollutants originated from large combustion plants, transposed in Italy by the DM 8<sup>th</sup> May 1989 (Ministerial Decree of 8<sup>th</sup> May 1989) gave a contribution to the reduction of emissions in the sector.

Finally, in recent years, a further shift to natural gas in place of fuel oil has contributed to a decrease in emissions.

### Non industrial combustion plants

The declining of the emissions occurred mainly as a result of the increase in natural gas and LPG as alternative fuel to coal, diesel and fuel oil for heating; furthermore, a number of European Directives on the sulphur content in fuels were adopted. In accordance with national legislation, the sulphur content allowed in diesel fuel has decreased from 0.8% in 1980 to 0.2% in 1995 and 0.1% in 2008, while in fuel oil for heating from 3% in 1980 to 0.3% in 1998. Moreover coal is not more allowed for residential and commercial heating.

### Combustion in industry

Emissions from this sector show the same trend of reduction as the category previously analyzed, as both in the scope of the same rules.

#### **Production processes**

Emissions from refineries have been reduced as a result of compliance with the DM 12<sup>th</sup> July 1990 (Ministerial Decree of 12<sup>th</sup> July 1990), which introduces limit values. The reduction of emissions from chemical industry is due to the drop off of the sulphuric acid production and to the decrease of emissions in the production of carbon black. Furthermore, there was a reduction in emissions in the production of cement with regard to the type of fuel used in the process and the respective sulphur content.

## Road transport


The reduction of emissions is mainly due to the introduction of Directives regulating the sulphur content in liquid fuels.

#### Other mobile sources and machinery

As regards off roads, emissions mainly derive from maritime transport, which show a decrease due the introduction of Directives regulating the sulphur content in fuels.

# 2.1.2 Nitrogen oxides $(NO_X)$

The national atmospheric emissions of nitrogen oxides show a decreasing trend in the period 1990-2016, from 2,068 Gg to 761 Gg. Figure 2.2 and Table 2.2 show emission figures from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.2 also illustrates the share of  $NO_X$  emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.2 NO<sub>X</sub> emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.2**  $NO_X$  emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Gg)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
					Gg						
Combustion in energy and transformati on industries	457	344	173	118	81	75	73	61	52	52	48
Non industrial combustion plants	65	66	69	79	88	80	85	90	83	87	87
Combustion - Industry	249	180	152	153	100	98	82	73	70	65	65
Production processes	30	31	9	16	11	11	10	9	10	10	8
Road transport	934	991	753	614	454	446	414	390	400	387	371
Other mobile sources and machinery	261	258	260	233	183	166	149	141	137	130	126
Waste treatment and disposal	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
Agriculture	69	70	70	65	54	56	60	52	50	50	53
Total	2,068	1,944	1,489	1,281	972	934	876	818	804	783	761

Total emissions show a reduction of about 63% from 1990 to 2016, with a marked decrease between 1995 and 2000, especially in the road transport and energy combustion sectors. The target value of emissions, fixed for 2010 by the National Emission Ceilings Directive (EC, 2001) at 990 Gg has been reached and continues to be respected. In 2015, in the framework of the UNECE/CLRTAP Convention, and in particular the Multieffects Protocol, a new target has been established for Italy equal to 60% of 2005 emissions in 2020 and it has been already reached. Moreover the revised National Emission Ceiling Directive (EU, 2016), established a target for Italy equal to 35% of 2005 emissions in 2030.

The main source of emissions is *road transport* (about 49% in 2016), which shows a reduction of 60% between 1990 and 2016; *other mobile sources and machinery* in 2016 contributes to the total emissions for 17% and have reduced by 52% from 1990. Combustion in energy and in industry shows a decrease of about 89% and 74%, respectively, having a share on the total of about 6% and 9% in 2016, respectively. Among the sectors concerned, the only one which highlights an increase in emissions is *non industrial combustion plants* showing an increase by 35%, accounting for 11% of the total.

Details on the sectoral emission trend and respective variation are outlined in the following sections, starting from the early eighties.

#### Combustion in energy and transformation industries

Emissions from this sector show an upward trend until 1988 due to an increase in energy consumption, not prevented by reduction measures. From 1988 onwards, emissions present a gradual reduction due, mainly, to the introduction of the two regulatory instruments already mentioned for sulphur dioxide: the DPR 203/88 (Decree of President of the Republic of 24<sup>th</sup> May 1988), laying down rules for the authorization of facilities and the Ministerial Decree of 12<sup>th</sup> July 1990, which introduces plant emission limits. The adoption of these regulations, as the Ministerial Decree of 8<sup>th</sup> May 1989 on large combustion plants, has led to a shift

in energy consumption from oil with high sulphur content to oil with lower sulphur content and to natural gas.

In recent years, the conversion to the use of natural gas to replace fuel oil has intensified, thanks to incentives granted for the improvement of energy efficiency. These measures, together with those of promoting renewable energy and energy saving, have led to a further reduction of emissions in the sector.

In addition, in the last years, more stringent emission limits to the new plants have been established during the authorisation process with the aim to prevent air quality issues at local level.

#### Non industrial combustion plants

The increase in emissions is explained by the growing trend of energy consumption during the period considered. This is due to the fact that in the last twenty years all the new buildings are equipped with heating system and old buildings have been modernized.

A national survey on energy consumption of households, conducted by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT, 2014), has supplied the amount of biomass burned to heating. Estimated values of biomass burnt are about 80% higher than previous estimates reported in the National Energy Balance (MSE, several years) and derived from regional or incomplete surveys. From 2013 this new biomass figures are reported in the National Energy Balance. In 2015 the reconstruction backwards of the time series has been finalised, with the collaboration of ISTAT and GSE (Energy Services Manager), and official data have been communicated to Eurostat.

#### Combustion in industry

Emissions from this sector show a decreasing trend, motivated by the same reasons as the energy industry, having undergone the same legislation.

# Road transport

The decrease is the result of two opposing trends: an increase in emissions in the early years of the historical series, with a peak in 1992, due to the increase in the fleet and in the total mileage of both passengers and goods transported by road, and a subsequent reduction in emissions. This decrease is, once more, the result of two opposing trends: on one hand, the growth of both the fleet and the mileage, on the other hand the introduction of technologies to reduce vehicle emissions, as the catalytic converter, provided by European Directives, in particular the Directives 91/441/EC (EC, 1991), 94/12/EC (EC, 1994) and 98/69/EC (EC, 1998) on light vehicles.

To encourage the reduction of emissions, different policies have also been implemented, including incentives to renew the public and private fleet and for the purchase of electric vehicles, promotion for the integrated expansion of rail, maritime and urban transport system, and programmes of sustainable mobility.

#### Other mobile sources and machinery


From 1980 emissions have a slightly rising trend until 1998 and then decrease slightly until arriving in 2016 at lower levels. Emissions in the sector are characterized predominantly by maritime transport, by machinery used in agriculture and industry.

Regarding mobile machinery used in agriculture and industry, these sectors were not governed by any legislation until the Directive 97/68/EC (EC, 1997 [a]), which provides for a reduction in NO<sub>X</sub> limits from 1<sup>st</sup> January 1999, and Directive 2004/26/EC (EC, 2004) which provide further reduction stages with substantial effects from 2011, with a following decreasing trend particularly in recent years.

## 2.1.3 *Ammonia* (*NH*<sub>3</sub>)

The national atmospheric emissions of ammonia show a slight decline in the period 1990-2016, from 472 Gg to 382 Gg. Figure 2.3 and Table 2.3 report the emission figures from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.3 also illustrates the share of  $NH_3$  emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.

According to the National Emission Ceilings Directive, the target value of emissions for 2010 amounts to 419 Gg which was achieved. The new target established for 2020 in the framework of the UNECE/CLRTAP Convention and relevant protocol is equal for Italy to 95% of 2005 emissions and has been reached. Moreover the revised national emission Ceiling Directive (EU, 2016) introduced a ceiling equal to 84% of 2005 emissions for 2030.






Figure 2.3 NH<sub>3</sub> emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.3**  $NH_3$  emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Gg)


	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
				$G_{\mathcal{S}}$							
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Non industrial combustion plants	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.8	1.1	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.6
Combustion - Industry	0.1	0.1	0.1	3.5	1.2	1.3	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.9
Production processes	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5
Geothermal production	8.4	9.0	12.3	13.3	6.0	5.9	3.9	5.0	3.6	4.1	4.2
Road transport	0.8	6.9	19.9	14.7	9.1	8.4	6.9	6.6	6.3	6.0	5.6
Other mobile sources and machinery	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Waste treatment and disposal	5.2	6.6	7.5	8.1	8.0	9.0	9.1	10.6	11.1	11.0	10.9
Agriculture	456	428	414	383	360	361	372	352	343	344	358
Total	472	452	455	424	387	387	396	378	367	368	382

In 2016 agriculture is the main source of emissions, with a 94% contribution out of the total NH<sub>3</sub> emissions; from 1990 to 2016 emissions from this sector show a decrease of about 21%. Emissions from road transport show a strong increase, but the share on the total is less than 2%. Emissions from waste treatment and disposal, accounting also only for 3% of the total, show an increase of about 108% because of the increase of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from anaerobic digestion at biogas facilities. Emissions from non industrial combustion plants show a relevant increase, but in 2016 the contribution to total emissions is 0.4%. Emissions from combustion in energy and transformation industries as emissions from combustion in industry show a significant increase, but their contribution to total emissions is not relevant. Emissions from production processes show a reduction of about 41%, but also this contribution is irrelevant. Finally, following the results of the 2017 review in the framework of the NEC Directive, emissions from geothermal production have been estimated, contributing in 2016 for 4.2% of total national emissions.

Specifically, emissions from *agriculture* have decreased because of the reduction in the number of animals and the trend in agricultural production, and the introduction of abatement technologies due to the implementation of the EU IPPC Directive (EC, 1996). In the last years further emissions reduction result from the implementation of the European Union Rural Development Programs which provide incentives to the introduction of good practice and technologies for the environmental protection and mitigation of GHG and ammonia emissions. Emissions from *road transport* have increased as a result of the introduction of catalytic converter but during the last years a decrease is observed due to the introduction of more stringent limits in the new vehicles. Emissions from *geothermal production* have decreased because of the introduction of control and abatement systems in the production plants. *Waste* sector trend is driven by the increase of biogas facilities due to the incentives for energy production by renewable sources.

### 2.1.4 Non methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC)

The national atmospheric emissions of NMVOC show a decreasing trend in the period 1990-2016. Figure 2.4 and Table 2.4 illustrate the emissions values from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.4 also illustrates the share of NMVOC emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.4 NMVOC emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

The global emission trend shows a reduction of about 55% between 1990 and 2016, from 1,996 Gg to 904 Gg.

In the framework of the National Emission Ceilings Directive (EC, 2001), the target value of NMVOC for 2010 fixed at 1,159 Gg was reached. The new target established in the framework of the UNECE/CLRTAP Convention for 2020 is equal to 65% of 2005 emission level and has been reached. In the framework of the European National Emission Ceiling Directive (EU, 2016) a target has been established for Italy equal to 54% of 2005 emissions in 2030.

Solvent and other product use is the main source of emissions, contributing to the total with 34% and showing a decrease of about 49%. The main reductions relate to the *road transport* sector (-84%), accounting for 13% of the total and to the sector of *extraction and distribution of fossil fuels/geothermal energy* (-56%), accounting only for 4%. Emissions from *agriculture* decrease of about 11%, accounting for 14% of the national total. Emissions from *other mobile sources and machinery*, accounting for 3% of the total, decrease of about 79%. Emissions from *non industrial combustion plants* show the largest increase (91%), accounting for 22%. Emissions from *waste treatment and disposal* and *combustion in industry* show a decrease of about 4% and 9%, respectively, but both these sources account only for about 1%.

Details on the sectoral emission trend and respective variation are outlined in the following sections.

**Table 2.4** NMVOC emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Gg)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Gg											
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	8	7	6	6	5	5	4	4	3	4	4
Non industrial combustion plants	103	113	115	125	220	147	202	204	180	200	197
Combustion - Industry	7	8	8	8	6	7	6	7	7	7	7
Production processes	114	103	89	92	74	71	63	58	57	57	55
Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels	91	104	57	54	49	44	45	41	39	38	40
Solvent and other product use	604	555	492	477	390	400	375	366	337	314	311
Road transport	778	859	574	358	179	168	149	139	134	130	122
Other mobile sources and machinery	133	122	98	74	51	44	34	33	32	30	28
Waste treatment and disposal	11	13	13	13	12	12	12	11	11	11	11
Agriculture	147	143	139	132	130	130	128	129	127	128	131
Total	1,996	2,028	1,590	1,339	1,117	1,027	1,019	992	927	918	904

#### Solvent and other product use

Emissions from this sector stem from numerous activities such as painting (both domestic and industrial), degreasing and dry cleaning, manufacturing and processing of chemicals, other use of solvents and related activities including the use of household products that contain solvents, such as cosmetics, household products and toiletries.

Significant reductions occurred in the nineties by the introduction in the market of products with low solvent content in paints, and the reduction of the total amount of organic solvent used for metal degreasing and in glues and adhesives; furthermore, in many cases, local authorities have imposed abatement equipments in the industrial painting sector and forced the replacement of open loop with closed loop laundry machines even before the EU Directive 99/13/EC (EC, 1999) came into force.

#### Road transport

The trend of emissions in this sector is characterized by a first stage of reduction in the early eighties, which occurred despite the increase of consumption and mileage because of the gradual adjustment of the national fleet to the European legislation, ECE Regulation 15 and subsequent amendments, introducing stricter emission limits for passenger cars. Subsequently, in the early nineties, an increase in emissions is observed, with a peak in 1992, due to a high increase in gasoline consumption not efficiently opposed by the replacement of the fleet. With the introduction of Directive 91/441/EC (EC, 1991) and following legislation, which provide the use of catalytic device to reduce exhaust and evaporative emissions from cars, NMVOC emissions gradually reduced.

A different explanation of the emission trend pertains to the nineties. In fact, in this period an increase of the fleet and the mileage is observed in Italy, especially for the emergent use of mopeds for urban mobility, which, until 1999, were not subject to any national emission regulation. Thereafter, various measures were introduced in order to facilitate the reduction of NMVOC emissions, including incentives for replacement of both the fleet of passenger cars and of mopeds and motorcycles with low-emission vehicles; incentives were also provided for the use of fuels different from gasoline, such as LPG and natural gas. In addition, funds were allocated for the implementation of urban traffic plans, for the establishment of restricted traffic areas and car-free days, for checks on exhaust pipes of cars, for the implementation of voluntary agreements with manufacturers of mopeds and motorcycles in order to anticipate the timing provided by the European Directive 97/24/EC (EC, 1997 [b]) as regards the placing on the market of mopeds with low emissions.

#### Non industrial combustion plants

The increasing emission trend is driven by the increase of wood biomass fuel consumption for residential heating. The 2013 consumption value reported in the national energy balance results from a detailed survey conducted by the national institute of statistics in 2014 (ISTAT, 2014) and is much higher than the previous estimates. In 2015 the reconstruction backwards of the time series of wood combustion has been finalised, with the collaboration of ISTAT and GSE (Energy Services Manager), and official data have been communicated to Eurostat.

### Other mobile sources and machinery

The reduction in emissions is explained by the reduction of gasoline consumption in the sector, largely for two-stroke engines used in agriculture and in maritime activities.

## Agriculture


NMVOC emissions from agriculture, in particular depend on activity data about different livestock categories. These emissions, irrelevant up the last submission, became significant because of the implementation of the 2016 Guidebook EMEP/EEA emission factors. For the compliance with the established targets these emissions could be subtracted by the total according to the National emission Ceiling Directive (EU, 2016).

As regards the other sectors, a decrease in emissions from production processes is observed, mainly in the food industries, in the chemical sector and in the processes in the refineries. The emissions concerning the extraction and distribution of fuels, even in the presence of an increase in quantity treated, have been reduced as a result of the application of the DM 16<sup>th</sup> May 1996 (Ministerial Decree 16 May 1996), concerning the adoption of devices for the recovery of vapours and of the applications of measures on deposits of gasoline provided by the DM 21<sup>st</sup> January 2000 (Ministerial Decree 21 January 2000).

Emissions from the other sectors are not subject to specific regulations.

# 2.1.5 Carbon monoxide (CO)

The national CO emissions show a decreasing trend in the period 1990-2016, from 7,210 Gg to 2,310 Gg. The emission figures from 1990 to 2016 are shown in Figure 2.5 and Table 2.5. Figure 2.5 also illustrates the share of CO emissions by category in 1990 and 2016, as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.5 CO emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.5** *CO emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Gg)* 

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
					Gg						
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	59	54	56	54	33	33	50	36	37	40	49
Non industrial combustion plants	795	895	914	931	1,666	1,086	1,512	1,515	1,309	1,468	1,423
Combustion - Industry	306	411	312	326	233	265	191	115	119	93	101
Production processes	224	140	129	144	105	118	108	76	72	64	69
Road transport	5,292	5,296	3,083	1,666	785	703	616	565	535	517	473
Other mobile sources and machinery	480	403	303	263	194	172	131	137	143	137	134
Waste treatment and disposal	41	47	45	50	47	47	48	45	42	47	49
Agriculture	12	12	12	13	12	12	13	12	12	13	14
Total	7,210	7,257	4,855	3,448	3,075	2,435	2,670	2,502	2,268	2,378	2,310


The decrease in emissions (-68%) is mostly due to the trend observed for the transport sector (including road, railways, air and maritime transport) which shows a total reduction from 1990 to 2016 of about 89%. Specifically by sector, emissions from *road transport* and *other mobile sources and machinery*, accounting in 2016 respectively for 20% and 6% of the total, show a decrease from 1990 to 2016 of about 91% and 72% respectively. On the other hand, emissions from *non industrial combustion plants*, representing about 62 % of the total in 2016, show a strong increase between 1990 and 2016, equal to 79% due to the increase of wood combustion for residential heating.

Figures show an increase in emissions from *waste treatment and disposal* too (20%), whose share is 2% of the total and no variations for *agriculture* which accounts for less than 1% of the total.

# 2.2 Particulate matter

## 2.2.1 PM10

The national atmospheric emissions of PM10 show a decreasing trend in the period 1990-2016, from 291 Gg to 193 Gg. Figure 2.6 and Table 2.6 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.6 also illustrates the share of PM10 emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.6 PM10 emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.6** *PM10 emission trend from 1990 to 2016(Gg)* 

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
				Gg							
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	45	40	18	6	3	2	2	1	1	1	1
Non industrial combustion plants	68	71	70	69	124	80	115	115	99	112	108
Combustion - Industry	29	26	17	14	9	8	7	6	7	7	7
Production processes	22	21	19	20	16	16	14	13	12	11	11
Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Solvent and other product use	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Road transport	57	57	52	47	34	33	29	27	28	26	25
Other mobile sources and machinery	32	32	30	25	16	14	12	11	11	10	9
Waste treatment and disposal	5	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	7
Agriculture	33	33	32	30	23	23	23	23	23	23	24
Total	291	286	245	218	231	183	209	204	187	197	193


From 1990 to 2016 the trend shows a reduction of about 34%. A considerable amount of emissions is mostly to be attributed to *non industrial combustion plant* (56% in 2016) which is the only sector increasing its emissions, about 60%, due to the increase of wood combustion for residential heating.

*Road transport* accounts for 13% of total emissions in 2016 and decrease by 56% due to the introduction of the relevant European Directives controlling and limiting PM emissions at the car exhaust pipe.

In 2016 other mobile sources and machinery, accounting for 5% of the total, shows a reduction of about 70% in consideration of the implementation of the relevant European Directives on machinery. Emissions from combustion in industry account for about 4% of the total and decrease by about 76%. Emissions from production processes accounting for 6% of the total in 2016 decrease of about 49% between 1990 and 2016. The largest decrease (-98%) is observed in emissions deriving from combustion in energy and transformation industries, whose contribution to total emissions is almost irrelevant in 2016 and lower than 1%. The reduction in the energy and industrial sectors is mainly due to the introduction of two regulatory instruments, already mentioned for other pollutants, the DPR 203/88 (Decree of President of the Republic of 24<sup>th</sup> May 1988), laying down rules for the authorization of facilities and the Ministerial Decree of 12<sup>th</sup> July 1990, which introduces plant emission limits.

## 2.2.2 PM2.5

The trend of the national atmospheric emissions of PM2.5 is decreasing between 1990 and 2016, with a variation from 229 Gg to 162 Gg. Figure 2.7 and Table 2.7 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.7 also illustrates the share of PM2.5 emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.7 PM2.5 emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.7** *PM2.5 emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Gg)* 

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
				Gg							
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	30	28	13	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Non industrial combustion plants	67	71	69	69	123	79	114	114	98	111	107
Combustion - Industry	21	19	13	11	7	7	6	5	5	6	6
Production processes	15	14	12	13	11	11	10	8	8	7	7
Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05
Solvent and other product use	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Road transport	52	51	45	40	28	27	24	21	22	20	19
Other mobile sources and machinery	32	32	30	25	16	14	12	11	11	10	9
Waste treatment and disposal	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6
Agriculture	7	7	7	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	6
Total	229	226	195	173	196	150	177	172	155	166	162


In 2016, in the framework of the revision of the Multieffect protocol of the UNECE/CLRTAP Convention, a target has been established for this pollutant. Italy should reduce in 2020 their PM2.5 emissions by 10% with respect the 2005 emission level. Moreover in the national emission Ceiling Directive a target has been established for 2030 equal to 60% of 2005 emissions.

Total emissions show a global reduction from 1990 to 2016 of about 29%. Specifically, emissions from *road transport*, accounting for 12% of total emissions, decrease of about 63%. Emissions from *other mobile sources and machinery* show a reduction of 70%, accounting in 2016 for 6% of total emissions. Emissions from *non industrial combustion plants* and from *combustion in industry* account for 66% and 4% of the total respectively, but while the former shows an increase of about 60%, the latter decreases by about 73%. Emissions from *waste treatment and disposal*, accounting for 4% of the total in 2016, show an increase of about 21%. The largest decrease is observed for *combustion in energy* and *transformation industries* (-98%), being the influence on the total in 2016 lower than 1%.

For the explanation of the trends see what already reported for PM10.

# 2.2.3 Black Carbon (BC)

Black Carbon emissions have been estimated as a fraction of PM2.5. National BC atmospheric emissions are decreasing between 1990 and 2016, with a variation from 47 Gg to 23 Gg. Figure 2.7 and Table 2.7 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.7 also illustrates the share of BC emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.8 BC emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.8** BC emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Gg)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
				Gg							
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	2	2	1	0.3	0.3	2	2	2	0.04	0.04	0.04
Non industrial combustion plants	5	6	6	6	10	7	10	10	8	9	9
Combustion - Industry	1	1	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Production processes	1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05
Road transport	23	22	20	19	14	13	12	10	10	9	9
Other mobile sources and machinery	14	14	13	11	6	5	5	4	4	3	3
Waste treatment and disposal	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
Agriculture	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12
Total	47	46	42	39	33	27	28	26	24	24	23

Total emissions show a global reduction from 1990 to 2016 of about 51%. Specifically, emissions from *road transport*, accounting for 37% of total emissions, decrease of about 63%. Emissions from *other mobile sources and machinery* show a reduction of 77%, accounting in 2016 for 14% of total emissions. Emissions from *non industrial combustion plants* and from *combustion in industry* account for 39% and 1% of the total respectively, but while the former shows an increase of about 68%, the latter decreases by about 69%. *Industrial processes*, accounting for less than 1% in 2016, decrease of 72%. Emissions from *waste treatment and disposal*, accounting for 7% of the total in 2016, show an increase of about 21%. The largest decrease is observed for *combustion in energy* and *transformation industries* (-98%), being the influence on the total in 2016 less than 1%.


For the explanation of the trends refer to previous paragraph.

# 2.3 Heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Hg)

This section provides an illustration of the most significant developments between 1990 and 2016 of lead, cadmium and mercury emissions.

# 2.3.1 Lead (Pb)

The national atmospheric emissions of lead show a strong decreasing trend (-94%) between 1990 and 2016, varying from 4,285 Mg to 272 Mg. Figure 2.9 and Table 2.9 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.9 also illustrates the share of Pb emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.9 Pb emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016


**Table 2.9** *Pb emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Mg)* 

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
				N	1g						
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
Non-industrial combustion plants	14	17	22	46	74	57	68	74	75	79	85
Combustion - industry	263	235	153	142	104	111	107	98	101	95	101
Production processes	64	68	67	74	70	77	73	68	68	66	69
Road transport	3,791	1,627	693	16	14	14	13	13	14	13	13
Other mobile sources and machinery	142	44	13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Waste treatment and disposal	6	5	3	4	2	2	3	3	1	1	1
Total	4,285	2,000	956	287	268	266	268	259	262	259	272

In 2016 emissions from *combustion in industry* have the most significant impact on the total (37%) and show a reduction of about 62%; this reduction is to be attributed primarily to *processes with contact*, which contribute with 56% to the sectoral reduction and account for almost the total share of the sector. Emissions from *production processes* and, in particular, from processes in iron and steel industries and collieries, increased by about 8%, and represent 25% of the total. Emissions from *non industrial combustion plants* show a strong increase and represent, in 2016, 31% of the total. As to emissions from *transport* activities, because of changes occurred in the legislation regarding fuels, trends show a sharp reduction in emissions from 2002 onwards.

# 2.3.2 *Cadmium* (*Cd*)

The national atmospheric emissions of cadmium show a slight decreasing trend. Figure 2.10 and Table 2.10 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.10 also illustrates the share of Cd emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.10 Cd emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016


**Table 2.10** *Cd emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Mg)* 

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
				Mg							
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Non-industrial combustion plants	1.5	1.2	1.7	2.6	2.6	1.9	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.9
Combustion - industry	5.6	5.6	5.0	3.3	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.2
Production processes	2.0	1.8	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2
Road transport	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Other mobile sources and machinery	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Waste treatment and disposal	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total	10.0	9.5	9.0	8.3	7.1	6.8	7.0	6.6	6.6	6.4	6.8

Emissions show a global reduction of 32% between 1990 and 2016, from 10.0 Mg to 6.8 Mg, mainly driven by the reduction of emissions in the non ferrous metal industry, with the installation of the relevant abatement technologies and the drop of production. Among the most significant variations, emissions from *combustion in industry* and from *non industrial combustion plants* represent 32% and 42% of the total respectively, showing the former a decrease (-62%) and the latter an increase (91%). Emissions from *production processes* decrease by about 41% and represent 18% of the total. Emissions from *waste treatment and disposal* (i.e. waste incineration), accounting for 1% of the total, register a reduction of about 81%. A sector which show an increase in emissions is *road transport* (+6%) accounting for 6% of the total levels.

# 2.3.3 Mercury (Hg)

The national atmospheric emissions of mercury show a quite stable trend in the period 1990-2016. Figure 2.11 and Table 2.11 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.11 also illustrates the share of Hg emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.11 Hg emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.11** *Hg emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Mg)* 

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
				Mg							
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
Non-industrial combustion plants	0.6	0.7	1.0	2.0	2.4	1.9	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.8
Combustion - industry	4.2	4.0	3.4	3.4	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.0
Production processes	8.9	4.4	3.6	3.4	2.9	3.2	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
Geothermal production	3.4	3.6	5.0	2.1	1.3	1.2	2.4	1.3	2.1	1.0	0.4
Waste treatment and disposal	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total	15.2	14.3	14.4	12.3	10.2	10.0	11.0	9.7	10.4	9.3	8.9


Emission trend shows a global reduction of about 41% from 1990 to 2016, varying from 15.2 Mg to 8.9 Mg. The general trend is driven by reduction of emissions in lead and zinc production industry as well as in cement production industry, with the installation of the relevant abatement technologies. The main variations concern: emissions from *combustion in industry - processes with contact*, accounting for 20% and decreasing by 50%; emissions from *production process - processes in iron and steel industries and collieries*, representing 31% of the total and increasing by 10%; emissions from *non industrial combustion plants* which represent 32% of the total and showing the strongest increase (365%). Emissions deriving from *combustion in energy and transformation industries*, accounting for 7%, show a 40% reduction. Emissions from *production process - processes in inorganic chemical industries*, contributing to the total less than 1%, show a large reduction, more than 99% totally due to the technological changes for the production of chlorine. Emissions from *waste treatment and disposal*, contributing to the total only for 1%, show a large reduction, equal to 77%. On the basis of the results of the 2017 review in the framework of the NEC Directive, emissions from *geothermal production* have been estimated; this new category account for 4% of the national total and shows a reduction of 88% with respect to 1990 due to the introduction of control and abatement system at the production plants.

# 2.4 Persistent organic pollutants (POPs)

In this section, the most significant peculiarities of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and dioxins, occurred between 1990 and 2016, will be presented.

# 2.4.1 Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)

The national atmospheric emissions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons decreased from 99 Mg to 82 Mg between 1990 and 2016. Figure 2.12 and Table 2.12 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.12 also illustrates the share of PAH emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.12 PAH emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.12** PAH emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Mg)


	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
					Mg						
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	9	8	7	6	5	6	6	4	3	3	3
Non-industrial combustion plants	32	35	36	39	68	44	62	62	54	61	59
Combustion - industry	5	5	2	2	0.4	1	1	1	1	1	1
Production processes	45	45	14	15	12	14	13	10	10	8	9
Solvent and other product use	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Road transport	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Other mobile sources and machinery	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
Waste treatment and disposal	6	7	6	7	7	7	7	6	6	7	7
Total	99	101	68	73	95	73	91	86	76	82	82

Between 1990 and 2016, total emissions show a decrease of about 17%. Among the most significant changes, *non industrial combustion plants*, prevalently *residential plants*, account for 72% of the total in 2016 and show a strong increase (about 85%) due to the increase in wood consumption for heating.

Emissions from *production processes*, mainly *processes in iron* and *steel industries*, account for 12% of the total and show a decrease of 79% due to the adoption of best abatement technologies for the coke production; emissions from *waste treatment and disposal*, mainly open burning of agricultural wastes except stubble burning, account for 9% of the total and show an increase of 17%. Emissions from *combustion in energy and transformation industries*, which are driven by the combustion in iron and steel integrated plants, account for 4% of the total and show a decrease of 66%. Emissions from *road transport*, accounting for 3% in 2016, show an increase of about 35%. The share of other subsectors is about 1%.

# 2.4.2 Dioxins

The national atmospheric emissions of dioxins show a decreasing trend between 1990 and 2016, with values varying from 503 g I Teq to 279 g I Teq. Figure 2.13 and Table 2.13 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.13 also illustrates the share of dioxin emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.13 Dioxin emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.13** Dioxin emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (g I Teq)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
	g I Teq											
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	25	28	22	15	8	8	8	7	7	7	6	
Non-industrial combustion plants	174	165	151	87	135	87	122	123	106	119	115	
Combustion - industry	117	121	111	116	63	63	52	53	57	57	58	
Production processes	67	72	71	79	76	84	80	77	76	77	79	
Road transport	16	18	21	22	19	18	16	15	15	14	13	
Waste treatment and disposal	103	80	29	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	8	
Total	503	484	404	327	309	268	287	282	269	281	279	

The general trend shows a decrease from 1990 to 2016 equal to 45%, with a noticeable decline between 1995 and 2004 and between 2008 and 2011 because of the implementation of abatement system in the largest Italian plant (steel production > 80% with respect to national production from integrated plants):


- Double filtering system ESP (ElectroStatic Precipitator) + MEEP (Moving Electrode Electrostatic Precipitator);
- Reduction of the chlorine amount in the charge;
- Injections of urea (able to form stable compounds with metals that catalyze the formation of dioxins).

The most considerable reductions, between 1990 and 2016, are observed in *waste treatment and disposal*, *combustion in energy and transformation industries* and *combustion in industry*, (-92%, -76% and -51%, respectively). Specifically, the reduction is principally due to the cut of emissions from the combustion of municipal waste both with energy recovery, reported under the non industrial sector, and without recovery, reported under the waste sector due to the introduction of regulations establishing more stringent limits of dioxin emissions from stacks.

In 2016, the subsectors which have contributed most to total emissions are *production processes*, *combustion in industry* and *non-industrial combustion plants* accounting for 28%, 21% and 41% of the total respectively. In particular emissions from production processes show an increase of 17% in the period 1990-2016 due to the increase of the iron and steel production in electric arc furnaces.

## 2.4.3 Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)

The national atmospheric emissions of hexachlorobenzene show a decreasing trend in the period 1990-2016, varying from 43 kg to 22 kg due to the decrease of the use of pesticide in agriculture. Figure 2.14 and Table 2.14 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.14 also illustrates the share of HCB emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.14 HCB emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.14** HCB emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Mg)


	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Mg											
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Non-industrial combustion plants	0.002	0.003	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Combustion - industry	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Waste treatment and disposal	0.013	0.014	0.010	0.008	0.003	0.009	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.014
Agriculture	0.023	0.016	0.005	0.002	0.006	0.006	0.005	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
Total	0.043	0.038	0.025	0.021	0.017	0.022	0.027	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.022

The sector contributing most to the general trend is *waste treatment and disposal*, in particular waste incineration - sludge incineration. Specifically, the considerable increase of the amount of sludge burnt at a specific incinerator is the reason of the peaks observed in 2001-2003 (incineration with energy recovery) and in 2012-2016 (incineration without energy recovery). The other relevant sectors are *combustion in industry* and *non industrial combustion plants* accounting for 13% and 14% respectively. Emissions from combustion in energy *and transformation industry* and emissions from *non industrial combustion plants* show an increase of 261% and 61% respectively between 1990 and 2016. In the same years for emissions from *waste treatment and disposal* an increase of 6% has to be noted while emissions from combustion in industry show a decrease of 43%. The use of pesticide in *agriculture* category is the main driver for the decreasing trend of the HCB national emissions, emissions from this category show 93% decrease between 1990 and 2016.

# 2.4.4 Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)

The national atmospheric emissions of polychlorinated biphenyl show a decreasing trend in the period 1990-2016, about -33%, from 289 kg to 190 kg.

Figure 2.15 and Table 2.15 illustrate the emission trend from 1990 to 2016. Figure 2.15 also illustrates the share of PCB emissions by category in 1990 and 2016 as well as the total and sectoral variation from 1990 to 2016.






Figure 2.15 PCB emission trend, percentage share by sector and variation 1990-2016

**Table 2.15** *PCB emission trend from 1990 to 2016 (Mg)* 

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Mg											
Combustion in energy and transformation industries	0.121	0.126	0.103	0.096	0.069	0.074	0.080	0.072	0.069	0.069	0.058
Non-industrial combustion plants	0.015	0.018	0.025	0.036	0.033	0.023	0.029	0.030	0.028	0.031	0.032
Combustion - industry	0.056	0.053	0.039	0.040	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.016	0.016	0.014	0.015
Production processes	0.092	0.100	0.096	0.106	0.093	0.103	0.098	0.087	0.085	0.079	0.084
Waste treatment and disposal	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001
Total	0.289	0.302	0.266	0.279	0.211	0.219	0.226	0.206	0.200	0.195	0.190

The subsectors contributing most to the general trend are the *production processes* sector and the *combustion in energy and transformation industries* sector, accounting for 44% and 31% of the total emissions respectively, the former showing a decrease of 8%, the latter a reduction of 52%. The other relevant subsectors are *non industrial combustion plants* accounting for 17% and relevantly increasing (117%) and *combustion in industry* which accounts for 8% and decreases between 1990 and 2016 by 74%. The noticeable decline between between 2008 and 2009 has been due to the implementation of abatement system in the largest steel Italian plant.

# 3 ENERGY (NFR SECTOR 1)

### 3.1 Overview of the sector

For the pollutants and sources discussed in this section, emissions result from the combustion of fuel. All the pollutants reported under the UNECE/CLRTAP are estimated. Stationary and mobile categories are covered for:

- Electricity (power plants and Industrial producers);
- Refineries (Combustion);
- Iron and steel industries (Combustion)
- Chemical and petrochemical industries (Combustion);
- Construction industries (roof tiles, bricks);
- Other industries (metal works factories, food, textiles, others);
- Road Transport;
- Coastal Shipping;
- Railways;
- Aircraft:
- Domestic:
- Commercial:
- Public Service:
- Fishing and Agriculture.

Fugitive emissions are also reported under the energy sector.

The national emission inventory is prepared using energy consumption information available from national statistics and an estimate of the actual use of the fuels. The latter information is available at sectoral level in a different number of publications and different details, such as fuel consumption, distance travelled or some other statistical data related to emissions. For most of the combustion source categories, emissions are estimated from fuel consumption data reported in the National Energy Balance (BEN) as supplied by the Ministry for the Economic Development (MSE, several years (a)) and reported to the international energy organization, and from emission factors appropriate to the type of combustion and the pollutant.

The estimate from fuel consumption emission factors refers to stationary combustion in boilers and heaters. The other categories are estimated by more complex methods discussed in the relevant sections. The fuel consumption of "Other industries" is estimated so that the total fuel consumption of these sources is consistent with the national energy balance.

Electricity generation by companies primarily for their own use is auto-generation, and the relevant emissions should be reported under the industry concerned. However, national energy statistics report emissions from electricity generation as a separate category. The Italian inventory makes an overall calculation and then attempts to report as far as possible according to the methodology:

- auto-generators are reported in the relevant industrial sectors of section "1.A.2 Manufacturing Industries and Construction";
- refineries auto-generation is included in section 1.A.1.b;
- iron and steel auto-generation is included in section 1.A.1.c.

These reports are based on estimates of fuel used for steam generation connected with electricity production supplied by the National Independent System Operator (TERNA, several years).

Emissions from the energy production plants in integrated iron and steel plants and emissions from coke

ovens are included in 1.A.1c category. Emissions from waste incineration facilities with energy recovery are reported under category 1.A.4a i (Combustion activity, commercial/institutional sector), whereas emissions from other types of waste incineration facilities are reported under category 5.C (Waste incineration). In fact, energy recovered by these plants is mainly used for district heating of commercial buildings. In particular, for 2015, more than 98% of the total amount of waste incinerated is treated in plants with energy recovery system. Different emission factors for municipal, industrial and oils, hospital waste, and sewage sludge are applied, as reported in the waste chapter. Waste amount is then converted in energy content applying a factor equal to 9.2 GJ/t of waste.

Emissions from landfill gas recovered are used for heating and power in commercial facilities and reported under 1.A.4.a. Biogas recovered from the anaerobic digester of animal waste is used for utilities in the agriculture sector and relative emissions are reported under 1.A.4.c. In consideration of the increasing of the share of waste used to produce electricity, we plan to revise the allocation of these emissions under category 1.A.1.a.

Under 1.A.2 g vii industrial off road machinery are reported; the methodology used to estimate emissions from a range of portable or mobile equipment powered by reciprocating diesel engines is summarized. Industrial off-road include construction equipment such as bulldozers, loaders, graders, scrapers, rollers and excavators and other industrial machines as portable generators, compressors and cement mixers. Estimates are calculated taking in account especially the population of the different classes, annual usage, average power rating, load factor and technology distribution (EURO) according to the Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). COPERT II has been used for years 1994 and 1995 to estimate emissions and average emission factors for vehicles and diesel fuel consumption. Population data have been estimated on the basis on a survey of machinery sales. Machinery lifetime was estimated on the European averages reported in EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007, the annual usage data were taken either from industry or published data by EEA. The emission factors used came from EMEP/EEA and COPERT. The load factors were taken from COPERT. It was possible to calculate fuel consumptions for each class based on fuel consumption factors given in EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007. Comparison with known fuel consumption for certain groups of classes suggested that the population method overestimated fuel consumption by factors of 1.2-1.5 for industrial vehicles. Time series is reconstructed in relation to the diesel fuel use in industry reported in the national energy balance as gasoil final consumption. Emission factors for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, NMVOC and PM have been updated taking in account the reduction factors established in the European Directive 97/68/EC, the timing of application of the new limits and the tax of penetration of the new industrial vehicles in the total fleet. Emission reduction factor reported in the European Directive 2004/26/EC Directive have been applied and introduced in the emission estimates.

The energy sector account in 2016 for more than 50% of total emissions for all the pollutants estimated, except for NMVOC which accounts for 44.6%, HCB which accounts for 30.2% and ammonia for 3.3%. In particular, emissions from the energy sector are 94% of CO, 92% of  $NO_X$  and BC, 89% of  $SO_X$  and 88% of PM2.5 national total emissions.

In 2016, the following categories are key categories for different pollutants: public electricity and heat production (1A1a), petroleum refining (1A1b), stationary combustion in iron and steel industries (1A2a), stationary combustion in non ferrous metal industries (1A2b), stationary combustion in non metallic mineral industries (1A2f), road transport categories (1A3b), national navigation (1A3d ii), stationary combustion plants in commercial/institutional (1A4a i) and residential (1A4b i), off-road vehicles in agriculture, forestry and fishing (1A4c ii), fugitive emissions from refining and storage (1B2a iv) and fugitive emissions from natural gas (1B2b).

The same categories are key categories for 1990, except (1B2b), and for the trend analysis. In addition, for 1990, manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries (1A1c) for PAH, stationary combustion in chemical industries (1A2c) for SO<sub>X</sub>, mobile combustion in manufacturing industries and construction (1A2g vii) for BC, stationary combustion in other industries (1A2g viii) for SO<sub>X</sub>, fugitive emissions from distribution of oil products (1B2a v) for NMVOC emissions, and fugitive emissions from geothermal production (1B2d) are also key categories while for trend Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-road vehicles and other machinery mobile combustion (1A4c ii) is also key category.

# 3.2 Methodological issues

Methodologies used for estimating emissions from this sector are based on and conform to the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007; EMEP/EEA, 2013; EMEP/EEA, 2016), the IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 1997; IPCC, 2006) and the Good Practice Guidance (IPCC, 2000).

Specifically for road transport, the most recent version of COPERT 5 programme, version 5.1, has been used to calculate emissions (EMISIA SA, 2017); the updated version of the model has been applied for the whole time series. In paragraph 3.8 more detailed information is supplied on these figures.

A detailed description on the methods and national specific circumstances as well as reference material of the energy sector is documented in the national inventory report of the Italian greenhouse gas inventory (ISPRA, 2018[a]). At national level, trends of the CLRTAP pollutants are described in the environmental data yearbook published by ISPRA (ISPRA, 2017).

The National Energy Balance, published by the Ministry of Economic Development, is the main source of information to estimate emissions from the energy sector as it reports fuel consumption for different sectors at national level. Additional information for electricity production is provided by the major national electricity producers and by the major national industry corporation. On the other hand, basic activity data for road transport, maritime and aviation, such as the number of vehicles, harbour statistics and aircraft landing and take-off cycles are provided in statistical yearbooks published both by the National Institute of Statistics and the Ministry of Transportation. Other data are communicated by different category associations.

Emission factors used are based on national sources, or else on values specified in the EMEP/EEA guidebook and/or IPCC guidelines which are appropriate for Italy. Emission factors used for energy and manufacturing industries and non industrial combustion, specifically categories 1A1, 1A2, 1A4 are available on the ISPRA website at <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/serie-storiche-emissioni/fattori-di-emissione-per-le-sorgenti-di-combustione-stazionarie-in-italia/view">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/serie-storiche-emissioni/fattori-di-emissione-per-le-sorgenti-di-combustione-stazionarie-in-italia/view</a> as well as emission factors for road transport (1A3b) are available at <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/fetransp/">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/fetransp/</a>.

For 1A1 categories, a Tier 3 is used and SO<sub>X</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub> and PM10 emissions are estimated on the basis of emission and consumption data provided by the relevant plants in the framework of LCP and ETS European Directives and EPRTR Regulation. The average implied emission factors at fuel level result from the analysis of the information provided and available at plant level, including technologies for energy production and emissions abatement. These IEF at fuel level have been used to estimate emissions for those plants where some pollutant have not been declared and to verify emissions declared. PM 2.5 is estimated applying the ratio between PM2.5 and PM10 reported in the Tier 2 tables of the EMEP/EEA 2016 Guidebook at fuel level. In particular for 1A1b category, the implied emission factor refer both to the production of energy and heat and to the other combustion activities in refineries.

Notation key NO for activity is used in particular indicating that a fuel is not consumed at all while NA is reported in the column where is requested to specify a different indicator than fuel consumption.

For 1A2 categories, estimates for chemical, food processing, and other sectors (as textile, mechanics, extraction,...) are based on fuel consumption where EMEP/CORINAIR 2007 emission factors at fuel level have been used except for SOX, NOX and PM10 which are estimated on the basis of emission and consumption data provided by the relevant plants in the framework of LCP and ETS European Directives and EPRTR Regulation. PM 2.5 is estimated applying the ratio between PM2.5 and PM10 reported in the Tier 2 tables of the EMEP/EEA 2016 Guidebook at fuel level. For the iron and steel, non ferrous metal, pulp and paper and non metallic minerals sectors emission estimates are based on production data. SOX, NOX and PM10 emission factors time series are estimated on the basis of the communication from operators in the framework of LCP Directive and EPRTR Regulation and industrial association at SNAP activity code level. For NMVOC, default EFs of EMEP/CORINAIR 2007 Guidebook are prevalently used except for glass and lead production where country specific emission factors are used; emission factors provided in the EMEP/EEA 2016 Guidebook are not appropriate because of are calculated for small combustion boilers while emissions in this category refer prevalently to boilers >20 MWt for auto-production of energy and heat in the industrial sectors.

For 1A2f category, stationary combustion in non-metallic mineral industry, and in particular cement production, emissions from kilns are reported in this category where emissions from mills are reported in

IPPU (emission factor from USEPA 1991 EF handbook) while for lime production an EF referring to the complete process is used (from USEPA 1996 EF handbook) and emissions are distributed between energy and IPPU.

The Institute, specifically the same unit responsible for the inventory, also collects data in the context of the European Emissions Trading Scheme, the National Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (Italian PRTR) and the Large Combustion Plants (LCP) Directives. All these data are managed and used to compile the inventory. Figures are cross checked to develop country-specific emission factors and input activity data; whenever data cannot be straight used for the inventory compilation, they are considered as verification. EPER/EPRTR data are yearly available from 2002 while ETS data from 2005 and LCP data from 1990 all on yearly basis. In the EPRTR registry total emissions divided by category are reported by plants if they are over the relevant ceiling for each pollutant. LCP data refer only to SO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and PM emissions that are collected in stacks over 50 MWth and could result in figures lower those reported in the EPRTR. In the ETS only CO<sub>2</sub> and fuel consumption data are reported. QA/QC checks at plants level are directed to check the submissions of data in the different context and evaluate the differences if any. For example, if emissions submitted by a plant under LCP are higher than those submitted under the EPRTR we come back to the plant for an explanation and the verification of data submitted. In addition, on the basis of fuel consumption supplied under the ETS and average emission factor by fuel we estimates emissions at plant level and compare them with those submitted in the EPRTR and LCP. Also in this case we ask for clarification to the plant.

# 3.3 Time series and key categories

The following sections present an outline of the main key categories in the energy sector. Table 3.1 highlights the key categories identified in the sector.

The energy sector is the main source of emissions in Italy with a share of more than 80% for different pollutants under the UNECE convention; specifically, for the main pollutants, in 2016 the sector accounts for:

- 94% in national total CO emissions;
- 92% in national total NO<sub>X</sub> emissions;
- 92% in national total BC emissions;
- 89% in national total SO<sub>X</sub> emissions;
- 88% in national total PM2.5 emissions.

Moreover, the sector is also an important source for heavy metals; specifically in 2016, energy sector is responsible for 83% of total Cd emissions and accounts for a high share of other heavy metals, As (99.6%), Cu (96%), Ni (87%), Se (82%).

There are no differences as compared to the sectoral share in 1990, except for lead whose contribution in 1990 was 98% of total emissions, 23% higher than in 2016.

One of the most important source of emissions in the sector, in 2016, is represented by *road transport* (1A3b), at least for the main pollutants: NO<sub>X</sub> (48.8%), BC (37.1%), CO (20.5%), NMVOC (13.5%), and particulate matter (PM10 13.1%, PM2.5 12.0%). There has been a strong reduction in lead emissions from 1990 to 2016 in *road transport* due to replacement of lead gasoline. An in depth analysis of the road transport category and its emission trends is reported in paragraph 3.8.

Manufacturing industries and construction (1A2) is a main source of heavy metals and POPs, accounting for about 37% of lead total emissions, 32% for cadmium, 23% for mercury, 21% for dioxin and 13% for HCB. The sector is key category also for PM10 and PM2.5, (4% both) as well as  $SO_X$ ,  $NO_X$ , and CO about 24%, 9% and 4% of total emissions. The main sectors are iron and steel sector, which is key for CO, CO,

Public electricity and heat production (1A1a) is a key source of SO<sub>X</sub> emissions in 2016 with a share of 10.4%, PCB (28.9%) and NO<sub>X</sub> emissions (4.4%). A strong reduction of SO<sub>X</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub> and PM10 emissions is observed for this category (as well as for 1A2 sector). The introduction of two regulatory instruments: the DPR 203/88 (Decree of President of the Republic of 24th May 1988), laying down rules concerning the authorisation of plants, and the Ministerial Decree of 12th July 1990, which introduced plant emission limits for new plants of emissions for PM10, NO<sub>X</sub> and SO<sub>X</sub>, and required old plants to conform to the limit by 1997, explained the emission reduction in the ninety years. The shift from fuel oil to natural gas combined with the increase of energy efficiency of the plants and the introduction of PM10 abatement technologies have been implemented to comply with the emission limit values. From 2000 lower limits to the stacks have been introduced, in the framework of environmental integrated authorisations, for the authorisation of new plants and the implementation of the old ones, especially for those collocated in areas with air quality critical values. For this reason plants have increase the use of natural gas heat and power combined technology. In 2016 in Italy there are still 10 coal plants and 1 fuel oil plants out of around 150 power plants included in this source category. With exception of few biomass plants and some gasoil stationary engines in the small islands the other plants are natural gas combined cycle thermoelectric power plant.

Petroleum refining (1A1b) is a key category for  $SO_X$  emissions in 2015 with a share of 6.4%. Emissions are estimated on the basis of emission and consumption data provided by refineries in the framework of LCP and ETS European Directives and EPRTR Regulation and refer both to the production of energy and heat and to the other combustion activities in the plants. Emission trends are driven by the same legislation quoted for 1A1a category, where specific rules and ceiling were set up for refineries.

National *navigation* (1A3d ii) is key category for  $SO_X$  (17.9%),  $NO_X$  (9.1%), NMVOC (1.8%), PM10 (2.8%) and PM2.5 (3.4%). The weight of this category on the total emissions has increased for  $SO_X$  and  $NO_X$  during the period because of a sectoral delay in the introduction of relevant normative to reduce air emissions.

A sector increasing its level of emissions is the *non-industrial combustion* (1A4):  $NO_X$  and NMVOC, emissions of this category account in 2016 for 16.7% and 22.7% of national total, respectively;  $SO_X$  emission account for 8.7%; CO emissions account for 63.3%; PM10 and PM2.5 emissions account for 57.7% and 68.2% respectively while BC emissions account for 47.0%; dioxin is 41.3%, PAH is 72.5%, PCB is 16.7% and HCB is 13.9% of national totals. These emissions are prevalently due to biomass combustion, in winter, and they are also becoming critical for air quality issues. *Non-industrial combustion* is also a key category for heavy metals due to the increase of combustion of waste with energy recovery reported under the sector; Pb, Cd, and Hg account for 31.3%, 42,3% and 31.8% of national total emissions respectively. An in depth analysis of this category is reported in the paragraph 3.12.

Fugitive emissions in refinery from fossil fuel distribution and storage (1B2a iv) is key category in 2016 for  $SO_X$  emissions (14.2%). Total  $SO_X$  fugitive emissions from distribution of fossil fuels account for 17.8% of the total. Fugitive emission from natural gas (1B2b) is key category for NMVOC emissions accounting for 2.7% of national total emissions.

 Table 3.1
 Key categories in the energy sector in 2016

	1A1 a	1A1 b	1A1 c	1A2	1A2 g vii	1A3 a i	1A3 a ii	1A3 b i	1A3 b ii	1A3 b iii	1A3 b iv	1A3 b v	1A3 b vi	1A3 b vii	1A3 c	1A3 d ii	1A3 e i	1A4 a i	1A4 bi	1A4 bii	1A4 c	1A5 b	1B1 a	1B1 b	1B2
SO <sub>x</sub>	10.4	6.4	2.1	24.4	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0				0.0	17.9	0.0	3.7	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.1			17.8
NO <sub>x</sub>	4.4	1.5	0.4	8.5	0.7	0.6	0.3	20.0	6.8	21.3	0.7				0.1	9.1	0.1	4.6	5.4	0.0	6.7	0.4			0.5
NH <sub>3</sub>	0.0			0.2	0.0			1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0			1.1
NMVOC	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.7	0.3	0.7	5.4	4.3			0.0	1.8	0.0	3.1	18.5	0.1	1.1	0.1		0.1	5.1
СО	0.9	0.1	1.1	4.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	11.4	1.0	1.8	6.2				0.0	2.8	0.0	1.2	59.9	0.1	2.2	0.9			0.0
PM10	0.3	0.1	0.0	3.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.3	1.9	0.4		4.3	2.5	0.0	2.8	0.0	1.1	54.7	0.0	1.9	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1
PM2.5	0.3	0.1	0.0	3.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	3.1	1.6	2.3	0.5		2.8	1.6	0.0	3.4	0.0	1.3	64.6	0.0	2.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1
ВС	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.1	0.9	0.1	0.0	17.4	8.9	10.1	0.7				0.1	4.0	0.0	0.7	38.3	0.0	8.0	1.1	0.2	0.1	0.0
Pb	0.7	0.1	0.0	37.0		0.2	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1		3.9			0.0		27.8	3.5		0.0	0.0		0.2	0.0
Cd	1.1	0.3	0.0	31.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.5	0.4	0.8		0.7		0.0	0.2		35.6	6.5	0.0	0.3	0.0		1.5	0.0
Hg	5.9	1.3	0.2	22.8				1.3	0.2	0.4	0.1		0.0					28.8	2.8		0.2			0.7	4.5
РАН	0.5	0.1	3.2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.4	0.6	0.0				0.0	0.1		2.5	69.4	0.0	0.6	0.0			_
Dioxin	1.0	1.1		20.7				3.2	0.7	0.6	0.1							1.1	40.0		0.2				_
НСВ	3.6			12.7														6.8	7.0		0.0				-
РСВ	28.9	1.8		7.7														8.6	8.1		0.0				-


Note: key categories are shaded in blue

# 3.4 QA/QC and verification

A complete description of methodological and activity data improvements are documented every year in a QA/QC plan (ISPRA, 2018[b]).


The analysis of data collected from point sources allowed to distribute emissions at local level, for 2015 and previous years, as submitted under the CLTRAP. To illustrate an example,  $NO_X$  emissions from point sources are reported in Figure 3.1 for the year 2015. Point sources include: public electricity and heat production plants, petroleum refineries, stationary combustion plants (*iron and steel, non-ferrous metals, chemicals, clinker*) and pipeline compressors.

The figure highlights that the most critical industrial areas are distributed in few regions.



**Figure 3.1**  $NO_X$  emissions from point sources in 2015 (t)


In Figure 3.2,  $NO_X$  emissions communicated by 229 facilities (power plants, refineries, cement plants and iron and steel integrated plants), in the framework of the national E-PRTR register and LCP Directive, have been processed and geographically located. The territorial distribution shows similar results to those reported in the previous figure highlighting the industrial areas still in activity in 2010.



**Figure 3.2**  $NO_X$  emissions from point sources in 2010 (t)

Every five years emissions are disaggregated at regional and provincial level and figures are compared with results obtained by regional bottom up inventories. Emissions disaggregated at local level are also used as input for air quality modelling.  $NO_X$  emissions from *road transport* have been disaggregated at NUTS3

level; the disaggregation related to the year 2015 is reported in Figure 3.3 whereas methodologies are described in the relevant publication (ISPRA, 2009).



**Figure 3.3**  $NO_X$  emissions from road transport in 2015 (t)

### 3.5 Recalculations

In the 2018 submission different recalculations have been performed in the energy sector. A recalculation affecting the whole sector regards the energy conversion factor from Toe to TJ that has been changed and set equal to 4.1868 instead of 4.18398 according to international statistics.

For 1.A.1 and 1.A.2 categories the complete time series, from 1990 to 2015, of solid fuel consumptions have been updated on the basis of figures submitted by the Ministry of Economic Development to the Joint Questionnaire IEA/OECD/EUROSTAT; moreover, for the category 1.A.4, emissions have been recalculated from 1990 to 2015 on the basis of natural gas and biogas fuel consumption from the same activity data source.

For 1.A.4, emissions have been updated for 2014 and 2015 taking in account the update of waste fuel consumption for commercial heating and NO<sub>X</sub> emission factor for natural gas for 2015. More detailed information is reported in paragraph 3.12.

For road transport (1.A.3.b), the upgraded version of COPERT 5, v.5.1 has been used resulting in a general revision of emission estimates for the whole time series. More detailed results are reported in paragraph 3.8.

Concerning the aviation category (1.A.3.a), an overall assessment and comparison with emission estimates provided for Italy by Eurocontrol lead to the update of NMVOC and CO emission factors from 1990, and a consequent recalculation of emissions from this category. More detailed information is reported in paragraph 3.7.

# 3.6 Planned improvements

Specific improvements are detailed in the 2018 QA/QC plan (ISPRA, 2018[b]).

For the *energy* sector, a major progress regards the management of the information system where data collected in the framework of different obligations, Large Combustion Plant, E-PRTR and Emissions Trading, are gathered together thus highlighting the main discrepancies in information and detecting potential errors. Moreover the complete use of the energy data provided by the Ministry of Economic Development to the Joint Questionnaire IEA/OECD/EUROSTAT is planned in substitution of the national energy balances used till now.

Further progress will regard the maritime sector improving the annual estimations on the basis of detailed databases on ships movements.

With respect to PM10 and heavy metals emissions from *Public Electricity and Heat Production* category (1A1a) while PM10 emissions are updated every year on the basis of data submitted by the plants in the framework of the EPRTR registry, Large Combustion Plants Directive and Environmental Reports, heavy metals emission factors time series have been reconstructed from 1990 to 2001 on the basis of a study conducted by ENEL (major company in Italy) which reports heavy metals emissions measurements by fuel and technology (with or without PM10 abatement technologies) of relevant national plants. From 2001 these emission factors have not been updated. Heavy metals emission data in the EPRTR registry refer only to few not representative plants and are not sufficient to calculate average emission factors. Further work is planned to update/change emission factors for those pollutants, as zinc, where figures reported in the EPRTR lead to average values significantly different from those actually used.

# 3.7 Aviation (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.a)

### 3.7.1 Overview

Emissions from categories 1.A.3.a.i International Aviation and 1.A.3.a.ii Domestic Aviation are

estimated, including figures both for landing and take-off cycles (LTO) and for the cruise phase of the flight (the latter reported as memo items and not included in the national totals).

### 3.7.2 Methodological issues

According to the IPCC Guidelines and Good Practice Guidance (IPCC, 1997; IPCC, 2006; IPCC, 2000) and the EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2016 (EMEP/EEA, 2016), a national technique has been developed and applied to estimate emissions.

The current method estimates emissions from the following assumptions and information.

Activity data comprise both fuel consumptions and aircraft movements, which are available in different level of aggregation and derive from different sources as specified here below:

- Total inland deliveries of aviation gasoline and jet fuel are provided in the national energy balance (MSE, several years (a)). This figure is the best approximation of aviation fuel consumption, for international and domestic use, but it is reported as a total and not split between domestic and international.
- Data on annual arrivals and departures of domestic and international landing and take-off cycles at Italian airports are reported by different sources: National Institute of Statistics in the statistics yearbooks (ISTAT, several years), Ministry of Transport in the national transport statistics yearbooks (MIT, several years), the Italian civil aviation in the national aviation statistics yearbooks (ENAC/MIT, several years), EUROCONTROL flights data time series 2002–2016 (EUROCONTROL, several years).

An overall assessment and comparison with EUROCONTROL emission estimates was carried out last year which lead to an update of the methodology used by Italy for this category. Data on the number of flights, fuel consumption and emission factors were provided by EUROCONTROL in the framework of a specific project funded by the European Commission, and quality checked by the European Environmental Agency and its relevant Topic Centre (ETC/ACM), aimed at improving the reporting and the quality of emission estimates from the aviation sector of each EU Member State under both the UNFCCC and LRTAP conventions. The Advanced Emissions Model (AEM) was applied by Eurocontrol to derive these figures, according to a Tier 3 methodology (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

EUROCONTROL fuel and emissions time series cover the period 2005-2016, while the number of flights are available since 2002. In this year submission, EUROCONTROL data, related to Italy, on the number of flights have been used to update the national inventory from 2002, while fuel and emissions data have been used since 2005; HC emissions (both NMVOC and CH4) and cruise emissions of CO, have been updated in this submission after new data supplied by EUROCONTROL.

For the time series from 1990 to 1999, figures for emission and consumption factors are derived by the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007), both for LTO cycles and cruise phases, taking into account national specificities.

These specificities derived from the results of a national study which, taking into account detailed information on the Italian air fleet and the origin-destination flights for the year 1999, calculated national values for both domestic and international flights (Romano et al., 1999; ANPA, 2001; Trozzi et al., 2002 (a)) on the basis of the default emission and consumption factors reported in the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook. National average emissions and consumption factors were therefore estimated for LTO cycles and cruise both for domestic and international flights from 1990 to 1999. Specifically, for the year referred to in the survey, the method estimates emissions from the number of aircraft movements broken down by aircraft and engine type (derived from ICAO database if not specified) at each of the principal Italian airports; information about whether the flight is international or domestic and the related distance travelled has also been considered. A Tier 3 method has been applied for 1999. In fact, figures on the number of flights, destination, aircraft fleet and engines have been provided by the local airport authorities, national airlines and EUROCONTROL, covering about 80% of the national official statistics on aircraft movements for the relevant years. Data on 'Times in mode' have also been supplied by the four principal airports and estimates for the other minor airports have been carried out on the basis of previous sectoral studies at local level. Consumption and emission factors are those derived from the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007). Based on sample information, estimates have been carried out at national level from 1990 to 1999 considering the official statistics of the aviation sector (ENAC/MIT, several years) and applying the average consumption and emission factors.

From 2005, fuel consumption and emission factors were derived from the database made available to EU Member States by EUROCONTROL, as previously described. These data were used for updating fuel consumption factors, and emission factors of all pollutants. For the period between 1999 and 2005, interpolation has been applied to calculate these parameters. Estimates were carried out applying the consumption and emission factors to the national official aviation statistics (ENAC/MIT, several years) and EUROCONTROL data on movements from 2002 (EUROCONTROL, several years).

In general, to carry out national estimates of greenhouse gases and other pollutants in the Italian inventory for LTO cycles, both domestic and international, consumptions and emissions are calculated for the complete time series using the average consumption and emission factors multiplied by the total number of flights. The same method is used to estimate emissions for domestic cruise; on the other hand, for international cruise, consumptions are derived by difference from the total fuel consumption reported in the national energy balance and the estimated values as described above and emissions are therefore calculated.

The fuel split between national and international fuel use in aviation is then supplied to the Ministry of the Economical Development to be included in the official international submission of energy statistics to the IEA in the framework of the Joint Questionnaire OECD/EUROSTAT/IEA compilation together with other energy data.

Data on domestic and international aircraft movements from 1990 to 2016 are shown in Table 3.2 where domestic flights are those entirely within Italy.

Since 2002, EUROCONTROL flights data have been considered, accounting for departures from and arrivals to all airports in Italy, regarding flights flying under instrument flight rules (IFR), including civil helicopters flights and excluding flights flagged as military, when the above flights can be identified.

Total fuel consumptions, both domestic and international, are reported by LTO and cruise in Table 3.3.

Emissions from military aircrafts are also estimated and reported under category 1.A.5 Other. The methodology to estimate military aviation emissions is simpler than the one described for civil aviation since LTO data are not available in this case. As for activity data, total consumption for military aviation is published in the petrochemical bulletin (MSE, several years (b)) by fuel. Emission factors are those provided in the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007). Therefore, emissions are calculated by multiplying military fuel consumption data for the EMEP/CORINAIR default emission factors.

 Table 3.2 Aircraft Movement Data (LTO cycles)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Domestic flights	172,148	185,220	319,748	350,092	368,545	391,655	373,866	356,716	354,515	354,016	327,121
International flights	147,875	198,848	303,608	381,192	402,893	440,549	420,521	391,103	406,981	417,076	410,300

Source: ISTAT, several years; ENAC/MIT, several years; Eurocontrol, several years.

**Table 3.3** Aviation jet fuel consumptions for domestic and international flights (Gg)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
				Gg							
Domestic LTO	111	120	208	233	228	210	193	172	180	169	167
International LTO	130	175	258	270	296	287	285	282	310	328	344
Domestic cruise	357	384	654	663	702	687	620	562	555	523	518
International cruise	1,246	1,688	2,297	2,456	2,534	2,673	2,584	2,569	2,589	2,746	2,969

Source: ISPRA elaborations

# 3.7.3 Time series and key categories

Emission time series of  $NO_X$ , NMVOC,  $SO_X$ , TSP, CO, Pb are reported in Table 3.4, Table 3.5, Table 3.6, Table 3.7, Table 3.8 and Table 3.9, respectively.

An upward trend in emission levels for civil aviation is observed from 1990 to 2016 which is explained by the increasing number of LTO cycles. Nevertheless, the propagation of more modern aircrafts in the fleet slows down the trend in the most recent years. There has also been a decrease in the number of flights in the last years. Aviation is not a key category.

**Table 3.4** Time series of  $NO_X(Gg)$ 

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.a, 1.A.5.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 A 3 a ii (i) Domestic aviation LTO (civil)	1.36	1.47	2.49	2.54	2.71	2.66	2.49	2.22	2.22	2.12	2.10
1 A 3 a i (i) International aviation LTO (civil)	1.60	2.16	3.20	3.43	4.02	4.06	4.07	4.04	4.32	4.60	4.90
1 A 3 a Civil Aviation (LTO)	2.97	3.62	5.69	5.97	6.72	6.72	6.56	6.26	6.55	6.72	7.00
1A3 a ii (ii) Domestic aviation cruise (civil) 1A3a i (ii) International aviation cruise (civil)	5.23 18.85	5.63 26.83	9.39 38.70	8.47 34.40	10.05 38.25	10.00 39.30	9.27 38.76	8.42 38.60	8.33 41.04	7.98 43.61	7.98 46.40
1 A 5 b Other, Mobile (including military, land based and recreational boats)	11.16	11.99	7.24	13.50	6.11	4.68	3.93	6.01	4.35	3.29	3.28

**Table 3.5** Time series of NMVOC (Gg)

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.a, 1.A.5.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 A 3 a ii (i) Domestic aviation LTO (civil)	0.13	0.13	0.23	0.25	0.34	0.28	0.30	0.27	0.31	0.27	0.26
1 A 3 a i (i) International aviation LTO (civil)	0.19	0.25	0.38	0.48	0.45	0.39	0.37	0.37	0.45	0.49	0.48
1 A 3 a Civil Aviation (LTO)	0.31	0.38	0.61	0.73	0.78	0.67	0.67	0.64	0.76	0.76	0.74
1A3 a ii (ii) Domestic aviation cruise (civil) 1A3a i (ii) International aviation cruise (civil)	0.10 0.27	0.11 0.39	0.19 0.59	0.20 0.74	0.38 0.83	0.40 0.84	0.42 0.82	0.39 0.83	0.38 0.87	0.33 0.91	0.33 0.94
1 A 5 b Other, Mobile (including military, land based and recreational boats)	3.00	3.13	1.90	3.00	1.05	0.81	0.62	1.00	0.84	0.66	0.70

**Table 3.6** Time series of  $SO_X(Gg)$ 

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.a, 1.A.5.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 A 3 a ii (i) Domestic aviation LTO (civil)	0.11	0.12	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.17
1 A 3 a i (i) International aviation LTO (civil)	0.13	0.17	0.26	0.27	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.31	0.33	0.34
1 A 3 a Civil Aviation (LTO)	0.24	0.29	0.47	0.50	0.52	0.50	0.48	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.51
1A3 a ii (ii) Domestic aviation cruise (civil)	0.36	0.38	0.65	0.66	0.70	0.69	0.62	0.56	0.55	0.52	0.52
1A3a i (ii) International aviation cruise (civil)	1.25	1.78	2.58	2.38	2.54	2.59	2.55	2.52	2.67	2.81	2.96
1 A 5 b Other, Mobile (including military, land based and recreational boats)	1.19	0.81	0.21	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.04	0.10	0.14	0.12	0.15

**Table 3.7** Time series of TSP (Gg)

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.a, 1.A.5.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 A 3 a ii (i) Domestic aviation LTO (civil)	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
1 A 3 a i (i) International aviation LTO (civil)	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
1 A 3 a Civil Aviation (LTO)	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
1A3 a ii (ii) Domestic aviation cruise (civil) 1A3a i (ii) International aviation cruise (civil)	0.07 0.40	0.08 0.57	0.13 0.82	0.09 0.76	0.09 0.85	0.09 0.85	0.08 0.84	0.07 0.85	0.07 0.89	0.07 0.93	0.07 0.96
1 A 5 b Other, Mobile (including military, land based and recreational boats)	1.30	1.57	0.91	1.63	0.83	0.64	0.50	0.79	0.63	0.48	0.50

**Table 3.8** *Time series of CO(Gg)* 

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.a, 1.A.5.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 A 3 a ii (i) Domestic aviation LTO (civil)	1.23	1.33	2.27	2.35	2.31	1.90	1.84	1.65	1.91	1.72	1.67
1 A 3 a i (i) International aviation LTO (civil)	1.73	2.32	3.33	2.87	2.97	2.61	2.57	2.53	3.04	3.22	3.29
1 A 3 a Civil Aviation (LTO)	2.96	3.64	5.59	5.22	5.28	4.51	4.41	4.18	4.95	4.94	4.96
1A3 a ii (ii) Domestic aviation cruise (civil) 1A3a i (ii) International aviation cruise (civil)	1.41 2.21	1.51 3.15	2.60 4.81	2.85 6.04	3.22 5.98	3.28 6.05	3.09 5.92	2.82 5.82	2.72 6.07	2.42 6.33	2.38 6.56
1 A 5 b Other, Mobile (including military, land based and recreational boats)	65.12	79.02	45.49	54.48	17.33	14.12	6.32	13.90	19.55	16.49	19.73

**Table 3.9** Time series of Pb (Mg)

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.a, 1.A.5.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
1 A 3 a ii (i) Domestic aviation LTO (civil)	0.19	0.20	0.35	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.35	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.30
1 A 3 a i (i) International aviation LTO (civil)	0.21	0.28	0.43	0.54	0.57	0.59	0.58	0.56	0.58	0.60	0.63
1 A 3 a Civil Aviation (LTO)	0.39	0.48	0.77	0.91	0.96	0.97	0.93	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.93
1A3 a ii (ii) Domestic aviation cruise (civil)	0.57	0.62	1.06	1.16	1.18	1.18	1.09	0.98	0.97	0.93	0.92
1A3a i (ii) International aviation cruise (civil)	2.01	2.86	4.36	5.48	5.85	5.99	5.89	5.76	5.90	6.11	6.42
1 A 5 b Other, Mobile (including military, land based and recreational boats)	16.34	4.22	1.16	0.001	NA	0.002	0.002	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.02

### 3.7.4 QA/QC and Uncertainty

Data used for estimating emissions from the aviation sector derive from different sources: local airport authorities, national airlines operators, EUROCONTROL and official statistics by different Ministries and national authorities.

Different QA/QC and verification activities are carried out for this category.

As regards past years, the results of the national studies and methodologies, applied at national and airport level, were shared with national experts in the framework of an ad hoc working group on air emissions instituted by the National Aviation Authority (ENAC). The group, chaired by ISPRA, included participants from ENAC, Ministry of Environment, Land and Sea, Ministry of Transport, national airlines and local airport authorities. The results reflected differences between airports, aircrafts used and times in mode spent for each operation.

Currently, verification and comparison activities regard activity data and emission factors. In particular, number of flights have been compared considering different sources: ENAC, ASSAEROPORTI, ISTAT,

EUROCONTROL and verification activities have been performed on the basis of the updated EUROCONTROL data on fuel consumption and emission factors resulting in an update and improving of the national inventory.

Furthermore, there is an ongoing collaboration and data exchange with regional environmental agencies on this issue.

### 3.7.5 Recalculations

Recalculations were performed in this submission, on the basis of the integration in the Italian inventory of EUROCONTROL data time series, for NMVOC, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO emission factors.

## 3.7.6 Planned improvements

Improvements for next submissions are planned on the basis of the outcome of the ongoing quality assurance and quality control activities, in particular with regard to the results of investigation about data and information deriving from different sources, in particular further assessment of EUROCONTROL data, and comparison with ISTAT information.

# 3.8 Road transport (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.b)

### 3.8.1 Overview

The road transport sector contributes to the total national emissions in 2016 as follows: nitrogen oxides emissions for 48.7% of the total; emissions of carbon monoxide for 20.5%, non-methane volatile organic compounds for 13.5%, PM10 and PM2.5, for 13.1% and 12.0%, respectively, of the total.

The estimation refers to the following vehicle categories:

- ➤ 1.A.3.b.i Passenger cars
- ➤ 1.A.3.b.ii Light-duty trucks
- ➤ 1.A.3.b.iii Heavy-duty vehicles including buses
- ➤ 1.A.3.b.iv Mopeds and motorcycles
- ➤ 1.A.3.b.v Gasoline evaporation
- ➤ 1.A.3.b.vi Road transport: Automobile tyre and brake wear
- ➤ 1.A.3.b.vii Road transport: Automobile road abrasion

# 3.8.2 Methodological issues

A national methodology has been developed and applied to estimate emissions according to the IPCC Guidelines and Good Practice Guidance (IPCC, 1997; IPCC, 2000; IPCC, 2006) and the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

In general, the annual update of the model is based on the availability of new measurements and studies regarding road transport emissions (for further information see: http://www.emisia.com/copert/).

The model COPERT 5 (updated version 5.1, December 2017, EMISIA, 2017) has been used and applied for the whole time series in 2018 submission.

COPERT 5 introduces upgrades both from software and methodological point of view respect to the previous model COPERT 4 used (<a href="http://emisia.com/products/copert/copert-5">http://emisia.com/products/copert/copert-5</a>). New methodological features have been introduced. As regards fuel, updates concern: fuel energy instead of fuel mass calculations; distinction between primary and end (blends) fuels, automated energy balance. Regarding vehicle types, updated vehicle category naming, new vehicle types and emission control technology level, have been introduced. As regards emission factors, one function type and the possibility to distinguish between peak/off-peak urban, have been implemented.

The model, on the basis of the inputs inserted, gives output results separately for vehicles category and urban (peak/off-peak urban), rural, highway areas, concerning emission estimates of CO, VOC, NMVOC, CH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM exhaust (the emission factors of particulate matter from combustion refer to particles smaller than 2.5  $\mu$ m, that implicitly assumes that the fraction of particulate matter with diameter between 2.5  $\mu$ m and 10  $\mu$ m is negligible), CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, heavy metals, NO<sub>X</sub> speciation in NO e NO<sub>2</sub>, the speciation in elemental and organic carbon of PM, the speciation of NMVOC.

Resulting national emission factors at detailed level are available on the following public web address: <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/fetransp">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/fetransp</a> .

Data on fuel consumption of gasoline, diesel, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), natural gas (CNG) and biofuels are those reported in the national energy balance (MSE, several years); in 2018 consumption data have been updated according to EUROSTAT energy balance, published on the MSE website (<a href="http://dgsaie.mise.gov.it/dgerm/ben.asp">http://dgsaie.mise.gov.it/dgerm/ben.asp</a>). Time series of consumptions, by fuel and vehicle categories, are detailed in the NFR.

Lubricant consumption due to 2 stroke engines is estimated and reported in 1A3b. All the other national lubricants consumption, including 4 stoke engines, and relevant emissions are reported in 2D3 category.

#### 3.8.2.1 Exhaust emissions

Exhaust emissions from vehicles subsectors are split between cold and hot emissions; estimates are calculated either on the basis of a combination of total fuel consumption and fuel properties data or on the basis of a combination of drive related emission factors and road traffic data.

The calculation of emissions is based on emission factors calculated for the vehicle models most widely and systematically used, distinguishing between the type of vehicle, fuel, engine size or weight class, standard legislation. The legislative standards introduced become more stringent over the years, ensuring that new vehicles emit much less than the older ones as regards the regulated pollutants.

With reference to four groups of pollutants, the method of calculation of exhaust emissions is different. The methodology implemented is derived from the EMEP/EEA Emission Inventory Guidebook 2016 (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

As regards the first two groups, methods are used leading to high standard detailed emissions data.

The first group includes: CO, NO<sub>X</sub>, VOC, CH<sub>4</sub>, NMVOC, N<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub> and PM. For these pollutants, specific emission factors are applied relating to different engine conditions and urban, rural and highway driving shares.

The second group includes: CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, Pb, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn. The emissions of these pollutants are estimated on the basis of fuel consumption.

For the third group of pollutants, including PAHs and PCDDs and PCDFs, detailed data are not available and then a simplified methodology is applied.

Finally the fourth group includes pollutants (alkanes, alkenes, alkynes, aldehydes, ketones, cycloalkanes and aromatic compounds) obtained as a fraction of the total emissions of NMVOC, assuming that the fraction of residual NMVOC are PAHs.

Because of the availability in Italy of an extensive and accurate database, a detailed methodology is implemented in the model COPERT 5. Total emissions are calculated as the sum of hot emissions, deriving from the engine when it reaches a hot temperature, and cold emissions produced during the heating process. The different methodological approach is justified by the performance of vehicles in the two different phases.

The production of emissions is also closely linked to the driving mode, differentiating for activity data and emission factors, with reference to urban (where it is assumed that almost all cold emissions are produced), rural and highway shares. Several factors contribute to the production of hot emissions such as mileage, speed, type of road, vehicle age, engine capacity and weight. Cold emissions are mainly attributed to urban share, and are attributed only to passenger cars and light duty vehicles. Varying according to the weather conditions and driving behaviour, are related to the specific country.

Emissions of NMVOC, NO<sub>X</sub>, CO and PM are calculated on the basis of emission factors expressed in grams per kilometre and road traffic statistics estimated by ISPRA on account of data released from Ministry of Transport, ACI and ANCMA (several years). The emission factors are based on experimental measurements of emissions from in-service vehicles of different types driven under test cycles with different average speeds calculated from the emission functions and speed-coefficients provided by COPERT 5 (EMISIA SA, 2016). This source provides emission functions and coefficients relating emission factors (in g/km) to average speed for each vehicle type and Euro emission standard derived by fitting experimental measurements to polynomial functions. These functions were then used to calculate emission factor values for each vehicle type and Euro emission standard at each of the average speeds of road and area types.

As regards the speciation of PM into elemental (EC, assumed to be equal to black carbon for road transport) and organic carbon (OC), considering the organic material (OM) as the mass of organic carbon corrected for the hydrogen content of the compounds collected, since the estimates are based on the assumption that low-sulphur fuels are used, when advanced aftertreatments are used, EC and OM does not add up to 100%, assuming that the remaining fraction consists of ash, nitrates, sulphates, water and ammonium salts (EMEP/EEA 2016).

Emissions of fuel dependent pollutants have been estimated applying a different approach.

Data on consumption of various fuels are derived from official statistics aggregated at national level and then estimated in the detail of vehicle categories, emission regulation and road type in Italy. The resulting error of approximation deriving from the comparison between the calculated value and the statistical value of the total fuel consumption, is corrected by applying a normalisation procedure to the breakdown of fuel consumption by each vehicle type calculated on the basis of the fuel consumption factors added up, with reference to the BEN figures for total fuel consumption in Italy (adjusted for off-road consumption).

The 1990-2016 inventory used fuel consumption factors expressed as grams of fuel per kilometre for each vehicle type and average speed calculated from the emission functions and speed-coefficients provided by the model COPERT 5, version 5.1. Emissions of sulphur dioxide and heavy metals are calculated applying specific factors to consumption of gasoline, diesel, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) and natural gas (CNG), taken from the BEN (MSE, several years (a)), updated since 2017 according to EUROSTAT methodology (http://dgsaie.mise.gov.it/dgerm/ben.asp).

Emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> are based on the sulphur content of the fuel. Values for SO<sub>2</sub> vary annually as the sulphur-content of fuels change and are calculated every year for gasoline and gas oil and officially communicated to the European Commission in the framework of the European Directives on fuel quality; these figures are also published by the refineries industrial association (UP, several years).

Emissions of heavy metals are estimated on the basis of data regarding the fuel and lubricant content and the engine wear; as reported in the EMEP/EEA Emission Inventory Guidebook 2016, these apparent fuel metal content factors originate from the work of Winther and Slentø, 2010, and have been reviewed by the TFEIP expert panel in transport and because of the scarce available information, the uncertainty in the estimate of these values is still considered quite high.

### 3.8.2.2 Evaporative emissions

As regards NMVOC, the share of evaporative emissions is provided. These emissions are calculated only for gasoline vehicles: passenger cars, light duty vehicles, mopeds and motorcycles. Depending on temperature and vapour pressure of fuel, evaporative emissions have shown a growth over the years, nevertheless recently the contribution has been reduced by the introduction of control systems such as the canister. The estimation procedure is differentiated according to the processes of diurnal emission, running losses and hot soak emissions (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

### 3.8.2.3 Emissions from automobile tyre and brake wear

Not exhaust PM emissions from road vehicle tyre and brake wear are estimated. The focus is on the primary particles, deriving directly from tyre and brake wear. The material produced by the effects of wear and attrition between surfaces is subject to evaporation at high temperatures developed by the contact.

Emissions are influenced by, as regards tyres, composition and pressure of tyres, structure and characteristics of vehicles, the peculiarities of the road and, as regards brakes, by the composition of the materials of the components, the position, the configuration systems, and the mechanisms of actuation (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

### 3.8.2.4 Emissions from automobile road abrasion

Particulate emissions deriving from road surface wear have been calculated according to the Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

Emissions depend on the type of asphalt-based and concrete-based road surfaces, taking into account that composition can vary widely, both from country to country and within countries. The type of tyres used also affect emissions, for instance the wear of the road surface, and the resulting PM concentrations due to resuspension, are considerably high when studded tyres are extensively used during the winter.

The wear of the road surface increases with moisture level, also increasing after salting of the road, since

the surface remains wet for longer periods. Other influencing factors are vehicle speed, tyre pressure and air temperature. As a consequence of the decrease of temperature, tyres become less elastic, causing the increase of the road surface wear rates (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

Due to the uncertainty and variability, road transport automobile road abrasion factors are not inserted in COPERT model yet;  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ , TSP emissions have been estimated on the basis of Tier 1 emission factors for source category 1.A.3.b.vii, road surface wear reported in the Guidebook ((EMEP/EEA, 2016).

### 3.8.3 Activity data

The road traffic data used are vehicle-kilometre estimates for the different vehicle types and different road classifications in the national road network. These data have to be further broken down by composition of each vehicle fleet in terms of the fraction of different fuels types powered vehicles on the road and in terms of the fraction of vehicles on the road set by the different emission regulations which applied when the vehicle was first registered. These are related to the age profile of the vehicle fleet.

Basic data derive from different sources. Detailed data on the national fleet composition are found in the yearly report from ACI (ACI, several years), used from 1990 to 2006, except for mopeds for which estimates have been elaborated on the basis of National Association of Cycle-Motorcycle Accessories data on mopeds fleet composition and mileages (ANCMA, several years). ANCMA data have been used up to 2011; since 2012 MIT mopeds fleet data have been used, because starting from 2012, mopeds are estimated to be all registered.

The Ministry of Transport (MIT) provides specific fleet composition data for all vehicle categories from 2007 onwards, starting from 2013 submission. The Ministry of Transport in the national transport yearbook (MIT, several years) reports mileages time series. Furthermore since 2015 MIT supplies information relating the distribution of old gasoline cars over the detailed vehicles categories (PRE ECE; ECE 15/00-01; ECE 15/02; ECE 15/03; ECE 15/04; information obtained from the registration year; data used for the updating of the time series since 2007). MIT data are used relating to: the passenger cars (the categories of "E85" and "Hybrid Gasoline" passenger cars are introduced from 2007 onwards, the detailed "Gasoline < 0.8 1" passenger cars subsector is introduced since 2012 and "Diesel<1.4 1" subsector since 2007 onwards, in addition to the gasoline, diesel, LPG, CNG traditional ones); the diesel and gasoline light commercial vehicles; the breakdown of the heavy duty trucks, buses and coaches fleet according to the different weight classes and fuels (diesel almost exclusively for HDT, a negligible share consists of gasoline vehicles; diesel for coaches; diesel and CNG for buses); the motorcycles fleet in the detail of subsector and legislation standard of both 2-stroke and 4-stroke categories. Fleet values for mopeds are updated according to the revisions of data published by ANCMA; fleet values for diesel buses are updated according to the updating of the data on urban public buses, published on CNIT.

The National Institute of Statistics carries out annually a survey on heavy goods vehicles, including annual mileages (ISTAT, several years).

The National Association of concessionaries of motorways and tunnels produces monthly statistics on highway mileages by light and heavy vehicles (AISCAT, several years).

The National General Confederation of Transport and Logistics (CONFETRA, several years) and the national Central Committee of road transporters (Giordano, 2007) supplied useful information and statistics about heavy goods vehicles fleet composition and mileages.

Fuel consumption data derive basically from the National Energy Balance (MSE, several years (a)); supplementary information is taken from the Oil Bulletin (MSE, several years (b)) and from the statistics published by the Association of Oil Companies (UP, several years). As regards biofuels, the consumption has increased in view of the targets to be respected by Italy and set in the framework of the European directive 20-20-20. The trend of biodiesel is explained by the fact that this biofuel has been tested since 1994 to 1996 before entering in production since 1998. The consumption of bioethanol, related to E85 passenger cars category, is introduced since 2008, according to data resulting on the BEN.

Emissions are calculated from vehicles of the following types:

- Gasoline passenger cars;
- Diesel passenger cars;
- LPG passenger cars;
- CNG passenger cars;
- E85 passenger cars;
- Hybrid Gasoline passenger cars;
- Gasoline Light Goods Vehicles (Gross Vehicle Weight (GVW) <= 3.5 tonnes);
- Diesel Light Goods Vehicles (Gross Vehicle Weight (GVW) <= 3.5 tonnes);
- Rigid-axle Heavy Goods Vehicles (GVW > 3.5 tonnes);
- Articulated Heavy Goods Vehicles (GVW > 3.5 tonnes);
- Diesel Buses and coaches;
- CNG Buses:
- Mopeds and motorcycles.

In Table 3.10 the historical series of annual consumption data (Mg) for the different fuel types is reported.

**Table 3.10** Annual fuel consumption data (Mg)

Fuel	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
Gasoline Leaded	12280212	10112250	4542113	-	-	-	-
Gasoline Unleaded	639115	7060391	12175814	13482132	9806890	7,809,940	7,297,739
Diesel	15278022	14445441	17059010	22327864	21557266	21,128,587	21,228,198
LPG	1342000	1478000	1422000	1029000	1214000	1,654,000	1,598,000
CNG	182656	215573	293799	341876	610015	786,518	784,310
Biodiesel	-	44491	64723	173709	1275023	1,122,160	991,009
Biogasoline	-	-	-	-	142106	30,420	37,808

Source: ISPRA elaborations on BEN, BP, UP data

The final reports on the physic-chemical characterization of fossil fuels used in Italy, carried out by the Fuel Experimental Station, that is an Italian Institute operating in the framework of the Department of Industry, are used with the aim to improve fuel quality specifications (surveys conducted in 2000 and in 2012 - 2013). Fuel information has also been updated for the entire time series on the basis of the annual reports published by ISPRA about the fuel quality in Italy.

Fuel information has been updated also as regards country specific fuel consumption factors for gasoline and diesel passenger cars on the basis of the results published by the European Environmental Agency in the report "Monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from new passenger cars and vans in 2016" (EEA, several years).

A normalisation procedure is applied to ensure that the breakdown of fuel consumption by each vehicle type calculated on the basis of the fuel consumption factors then added up matches the BEN figures for total fuel consumption in Italy (adjusted for off-road consumption).

In COPERT 5 the automatic energy balance process has been introduced, and it has been applied for this 2018 submission. This simulation is started up having the target to equalize calculated and statistical consumptions, separately for fuel, at national level, with the aim to obtain final estimates the most accurate as possible. Once all data and input parameters have been inserted and all options have been set reflecting the peculiar situation of the Country, emissions and consumptions are calculated by the model in the detail of the vehicle category legislation standard; then the aggregated consumption values so calculated are compared with the input statistical national aggregated values (deriving basically from the National Energy Balance, as described above), with the aim to minimize the deviation.

In the following Tables 3.11, 3.12, 3.13 and 3.14 detailed data on the relevant vehicle mileages in the circulating fleet are reported, subdivided according to the main emission regulations (ISPRA elaborations on

# ACI, ANCMA and MIT data).

**Table 3.11** Passenger Cars technological evolution: circulating fleet calculated as stock data multiplied by actual mileage (%)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
PRE ECE, pre-1973	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.002	0.002	0.002
ECE 15/00-01, 1973-1978	0.11	0.04	0.01	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003
ECE 15/02-03, 1978-1984	0.32	0.15	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.007	0.01
ECE 15/04, 1985-1992	0.53	0.56	0.28	0.10	0.04	0.03	0.03
PC Euro 1 - 91/441/EEC, from 1/1/93	0.001	0.23	0.27	0.17	0.05	0.02	0.02
PC Euro 2 - 94/12/EEC, from 1/1/97	-	-	0.39	0.32	0.22	0.12	0.10
PC Euro 3 - 98/69/EC Stage2000, from 1/1/2001	_	_	-	0.31	0.19	0.12	0.10
PC Euro 4 - 98/69/EC Stage2005, from 1/1/2006	-	_	-	0.09	0.19	0.13	0.12
PC Euro 5 - EC 715/2007, from 1/1/2011	-	-	_	-	0.04	0.43	0.40
	-	-				0.21	0.20
PC Euro 6 - EC 715/2007, from 9/1/2015	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
a. Gasoline cars technological evolution	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
Commentional and 1002							
Conventional, pre-1993	1.00	0.92	0.34	0.06	0.01	0.00	0.004
PC Euro 1 - 91/441/EEC, from 1/1/93	-	0.08	0.10	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.002
PC Euro 2 - 94/12/EEC, from 1/1/97	-	-	0.56	0.22	0.05	0.02	0.02
PC Euro 3 - 98/69/EC Stage2000, from 1/1/2001	-	-	-	0.56	0.31	0.18	0.16
PC Euro 4 - 98/69/EC Stage2005, from 1/1/2006	-	-	-	0.12	0.55	0.42	0.39
PC Euro 5 - EC 715/2007, from 1/1/2011	-	-	-	-	0.07	0.34	0.31
PC Euro 6 - EC 715/2007, from 9/1/2015	-	-	-	-	0.0001	0.04	0.12
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
b. Diesel cars technological evolution							
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
Conventional, pre-1993	1.00	0.90	0.71	0.47	0.04	0.01	0.01
PC Euro 1 - 91/441/EEC, from 1/1/93	-	0.10	0.20	0.26	0.03	0.01	0.01
PC Euro 2 - 94/12/EEC, from 1/1/97		-	0.09	0.19	0.08	0.03	0.03
PC Euro 3 - 98/69/EC Stage2000, from 1/1/2001	-	-	-	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.04
PC Euro 4 - 98/69/EC Stage2005, from 1/1/2006	-	-	-	0.01	0.75	0.45	0.42
PC Euro 5 - EC 715/2007, from 1/1/2011	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.36	0.34
PC Euro 6 - EC 715/2007, from 9/1/2015	-	-	-	-	-	0.08	0.15
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
c. Lpg cars technological evolution							
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
PC Euro 4 - 98/69/EC Stage2005, from 1/1/2006	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.90	0.57	0.55
PC Euro 5 - EC 715/2007, from 1/1/2011	-	-	-	-	0.10	0.34	0.31
PC Euro 6 - EC 715/2007, from 9/1/2015	-	_	-	-	-	0.10	0.14
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d. CNG cars technological evolution							
		2007	2008	2009	2010	2015	2016
PC Euro 4 - 98/69/EC Stage2005, from 1/1/2006		1.00	1.00	0.79	0.70	0.36	0.07
PC Euro 5 - EC 715/2007, from 1/1/2011		-	-	0.21	0.30	0.50	0.42
PC Euro 6 - EC 715/2007, from 9/1/2015		_	-	-	-	0.13	0.51
Total		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
		1.00	1.00				

Source: ISPRA elaborations on MIT and ACI data

**Table 3.12** Light Duty Vehicles technological evolution: circulating fleet calculated as stock data multiplied by actual mileage (%)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
Conventional, pre 10/1/94	1.00	0.93	0.63	0.35	0.08	0.05	0.05
LD Euro 1 - 93/59/EEC, from 10/1/94	-	0.07	0.22	0.17	0.11	0.05	0.05
LD Euro 2 - 96/69/EEC, from 10/1/98	-	-	0.15	0.15	0.30	0.20	0.19
LD Euro 3 - 98/69/EC Stage2000, from 1/1/2002	-	-	-	0.31	0.26	0.19	0.18
LD Euro 4 - 98/69/EC Stage2005, from 1/1/2007	-	-	-	0.01	0.25	0.31	0.31
LD Euro 5 - 2008 Standards 715/2007/EC, from 1/1/2012	-	-	-	-	0.004	0.19	0.17
LD Euro 6	-	-	-	-	-	0.01	0.04
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
a. Gasoline Light Duty Vehicles technological evolution							
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
Conventional, pre 10/1/94	1.00	0.93	0.60	0.28	0.08	0.02	0.02
LD Euro 1 - 93/59/EEC, from 10/1/94	-	0.07	0.21	0.13	0.07	0.04	0.04
LD Euro 2 - 96/69/EEC, from 10/1/98	-	-	0.19	0.18	0.23	0.14	0.13
LD Euro 3 - 98/69/EC Stage2000, from 1/1/2002	-	-	-	0.39	0.33	0.23	0.21
LD Euro 4 - 98/69/EC Stage2005, from 1/1/2007	-	-	-	0.01	0.28	0.35	0.33
LD Euro 5 - 2008 Standards 715/2007/EC, from 1/1/2012	-	-	-	-	0.01	0.21	0.24
LD Euro 6	-	-	-	-	0.0000003	0.005	0.045
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
b. Diesel Light Duty Vehicles technological evolution							

Source: ISPRA elaborations on MIT and ACI data

**Table 3.13** Heavy Duty Trucks and Buses technological evolution: circulating fleet calculated as stock data multiplied by actual mileage (%)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
Conventional, pre 10/1/93	1.00	0.90	0.68	0.40	0.19	0.11	0.10
HD Euro I - 91/542/EEC Stage I, from 10/1/93	-	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04
HD Euro II - 91/542/EEC Stage II, from 10/1/96	-	-	0.22	0.27	0.22	0.18	0.17
HD Euro III - 2000 Standards, 99/96/EC, from 10/1/2001	-	-	-	0.27	0.34	0.32	0.30
HD Euro IV - 2005 Standards, 99/96/EC, from 10/1/2006	-	-	-	-	0.06	0.06	0.06
HD Euro V - 2008 Standards, 99/96/EC, from 10/1/2009	-	-	-	-	0.14	0.24	0.24
HD Euro VI – EC 595/2009, from 12/31/2013	-	-	-	-	-	0.04	0.09
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
a. Heavy Duty Trucks technological evolution							
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
Conventional, pre 10/1/93	1.00	0.93	0.65	0.34	0.16	0.06	0.05
HD Euro I - 91/542/EEC Stage I, from 10/1/93	-	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.04
HD Euro II - 91/542/EEC Stage II, from 10/1/96	-	-	0.28	0.32	0.29	0.24	0.22
HD Euro III - 2000 Standards, 99/96/EC, from 10/1/2001	-	-	-	0.26	0.30	0.30	0.29
HD Euro IV - 2005 Standards, 99/96/EC, from 10/1/2006	-	-	-	-	0.10	0.10	0.10
HD Euro V - 2008 Standards, 99/96/EC, from 10/1/2009	-	-	-	-	0.09	0.22	0.22
HD Euro VI – EC 595/2009, from 12/31/2013	-	-	-	-	-	0.04	0.08
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
b. Diesel Buses technological evolution							
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
Urban CNG Buses Euro I - 91/542/EEC Stage I, from 10/1/93 Urban CNG Buses Euro II - 91/542/EEC Stage II, from	1.00	1.00	0.11	0.01	0.003	0.003	0.003
10/1/96	-	-	0.89	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.04
Urban CNG Buses Euro III - 2000 Standards, 99/96/EC, from 10/1/2001	_	_	_	0.79	0.09	0.07	0.06
Urban CNG Buses Euro IV - 2005 Standards, 99/96/EC, from 10/1/2006; Euro V - 2008 Standards, 99/96/EC, from 10/1/2009; EEV (Enhanced environmentally friendly vehicle; ref. 2001/27/EC and 1999/96/EC line C, optional limit				0.77			
emission values) Urban CNG Buses Euro VI – EC 595/2009, from 12/31/2013	-	-	-	-	0.81	0.85 0.03	0.85 0.05
Croan Cro Buses Euro VI – EC 375/2007, Holli 12/31/2015	=	=	=	=	=	0.03	0.03

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
c. CNG Buses technological evolution							

Source: ISPRA elaborations on MIT and ACI data

**Table 3.14** Mopeds and motorcycles technological evolution: circulating fleet calculated as stock data multiplied by actual mileage (%)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Mopeds and motorcycles - Conventional	1.00	1.00	0.86	0.46	0.22	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.12	0.11
Mopeds and motorcycles - Euro 1	-	-	0.14	0.28	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.10
Mopeds and motorcycles - Euro 2	-	-	-	0.21	0.34	0.35	0.34	0.33	0.35	0.35	0.36
Mopeds and motorcycles - Euro 3	-	-	-	0.04	0.27	0.31	0.35	0.38	0.39	0.41	0.41
Mopeds and motorcycles - Euro 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.01
Mopeds and motorcycles - Euro 5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0000002
Total	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Source: ISPRA elaborations on ANCMA, ACI and MIT data

Average emission factors are calculated for average speeds by three driving modes (urban, rural and motorway) combined with the vehicle kilometres travelled and vehicle categories.

ISPRA estimates total annual vehicle kilometres for the road network in Italy by vehicle type, see Table 3.15, based on data from various sources:

- Ministry of Transport (MIT, several years) for rural roads and on other motorways; the latter estimates are based on traffic counts from the rotating census and core census surveys of ANAS (management authority for national road and motorway network);
- highway industrial association for fee-motorway (AISCAT, several years);
- local authorities for built-up areas (urban).

 Table 3.15
 Evolution of fleet consistency and mileage

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
All passenger vehicles (including moto), total mileage (10° veh-km/y)	329	400	430	433	465	456	428	409	392	386	368	372	399	400	393
Car fleet (10 <sup>6</sup> )	27	30	33	35	35	36	37	37	38	38	38	38	38	39	39
Moto, total mileage (10 <sup>9</sup> veh-km/y)	30	39	41	42	39	35	37	33	33	34	33	32	33	32	30
Moto fleet (10 <sup>6</sup> )	7	7	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	10
Goods transport, total mileage (10 <sup>9</sup> veh-km/y)	68	75	94	109	97	101	100	98	104	107	97	88	90	79	79
Truck fleet (10 <sup>6</sup> ), including LDV	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5

Source: ISPRA elaborations

Notes: The passenger vehicles include passenger cars, buses and moto; the moto fleet includes mopeds and motorcycles; in the goods transport light commercial vehicles and heavy duty trucks are included.

### 3.8.4 Time series and key categories

The analysis of time series on transport data shows a trend that is the result of the general growth in mobility demand and consumptions, on one side, and of the introduction of advanced technologies limiting emissions in modern vehicles and of the economical crisis in recent years, on the other side.

More in details, passenger cars and light duty vehicles emissions trends are driven by a gradual decrease in the last ten years of gasoline fuel consumption balanced by an increase of diesel fuel which is the main driver for  $NO_X$  and PM emissions. At pollutant level emission trends are driven not only by fuel but also by changes in technologies which is reflected in the COPERT model by the annual vehicle fleet. Due to the penetration of new vehicles with more stringent pollutant limits, some pollutant emissions decreased faster than other. An important role has been played also by the distribution between diesel and gasoline fuel consumptions. In the last years an increase of diesel fuelled vehicles and a decrease of gasoline ones has been registered and diesel fuel new technologies resulted in a slower decrease of  $NO_X$  emission than expected.

Regarding heavy duty vehicles emissions trends are explained by the variations estimated in mileages time series data correlated to the variations registered in fuel consumptions; annual variation are explained by the general trend of national economic growth and in particular commercial and industrial activities.

Emissions trends regarding mopeds and motorcycles are explained by the variations estimated in mileages time series data correlated to the variations registered in gasoline consumptions. The annual penetration of new technologies (EURO 2 mopeds and EURO 3 motorcycles) explains annual emission trends. A discontinuity in the database of motorcycles occur in 2005 when more detailed information is available in particular the number of EURO 2 and EURO 3 motorcycles and the number distributed by EURO of two stroke motorcycles not available till 2004.

In Table 3.16 the list of key categories by pollutant identified for road transport in 2016, 1990 and at trend assessment is reported.

Table 3.16 List of key categories for pollutant in the road transport in 2016, 1990 and in the trend

	Key categories in 2016				Key categ	ories in 199	0		Key categories in trend			
$SO_X$					1A3bi				1A3bi			
$NO_X$	1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii		1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii		1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii	
NMVOC	1A3bi	1A3biv	1A3bv		1A3bi	1A3biv	1A3bv	*****	1A3bi	1A3biv	1A3bv	
NH <sub>3</sub>									1A3bi			
СО	1A3bi	1A3biv			1A3bi	1A3biv			1A3bi			
$PM_{10}$	1 A 3 bi	1 A 3 biii	1A3bvi	1A3bvii	1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii	1A3bvi	1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii	1A3bvi
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1A3bi	1A3bvi			1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii		1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii	
ВС	1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii		1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii		1A3bi	1A3bii	1A3biii	
Pb					1A3bi	1A3biv			1A3bi			

Source: ISPRA elaborations

In 2016 key categories are identified for the following pollutants: nitrogen oxides, non methane volatile organic compounds, carbon monoxide, particulate matter with diameter less than 10  $\mu$ m, particulate matter with diameter less than 2.5  $\mu$ m and black carbon.

Nitrogen oxides emissions show a decrease since 1990 of about 60.3%. Emissions are mainly due to diesel vehicles. The decrease observed since 1990 in emissions relates to all categories except for diesel passenger cars and light duty vehicles, CNG buses, mopeds.

In 2016, emissions of nitrogen oxides (Table 3.17) from passenger cars, light-duty vehicles and heavy-duty trucks including buses are key categories. The same categories are identified as key categories in 1990 and in trend.

**Table 3.17** *Time series of nitrogen oxides emissions in road transport (Gg)* 

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016		
		Gg							
1.A.3.b.i Passenger cars	520.94	583.68	355.97	230.42	156.95	155.21	152.10		
1.A.3.b.ii Light-duty vehicles	66.35	70.28	85.78	91.33	76.15	50.71	51.57		
1.A.3.b.iii Heavy-duty vehicles including buses	341.40	330.04	304.31	285.66	215.54	175.44	162.44		
1.A.3.b.iv Mopeds and motorcycles	5.43	6.59	7.32	6.60	5.10	5.29	4.98		
Total emissions	934.12	990.58	753.37	614.01	453.73	386.64	371.10		

Source: ISPRA elaborations

As regards non methane volatile organic compounds, emissions from passenger cars, mopeds and motorcycles and gasoline evaporation are key categories in 2016, 1990, and in trend.

Despite the decline of about 84.4% since 1990 of emissions of non methane volatile organic compounds from this category, road transport (Table 3.18) is the fourth source at national level after the use of solvents, the not industrial combustion and agriculture; this trend is due to the combined effects of technological improvements that limit VOCs from tail pipe and evaporative emissions (for cars) and the expansion of two-wheelers fleet. In Italy there is in fact a remarkable fleet of motorbikes and mopeds (about 9.8 million vehicles in 2016) that uses gasoline and it is increased of about 47.7% since 1990 (this fleet not completely complies with strict VOC emissions controls).

**Table 3.18** Time series of non methane volatile organic compounds emissions in road transport (Gg)

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
		Gg					
1.A.3.b.i Passenger cars	467.97	496.06	265.87	116.22	46.42	26.93	24.55
1.A.3.b.ii Light-duty vehicles	16.71	17.22	14.76	12.28	7.81	3.39	3.10
1.A.3.b.iii Heavy-duty vehicles including buses	26.73	24.82	20.06	16.35	9.93	6.86	6.34
1.A.3.b.iv Mopeds and motorcycles	150.41	202.15	184.25	154.63	75.16	53.12	48.87
1.A.3.b.v Gasoline evaporation	116.33	118.99	89.26	58.32	39.92	39.88	38.90
Total emissions	778.15	859.24	574.20	357.80	179.24	130.17	121.76

Source: ISPRA elaborations

Carbon monoxide emissions from passenger cars and mopeds and motorcycles are key categories in 2016 and 1990; passenger cars are also key category in trend. The time series of CO emissions is reported in Table 3.20.

**Table 3.19** *Time series of carbon monoxide emissions in road transport (Gg)* 

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
		Gg					
1.A.3.b.i Passenger cars	4,518.82	4,392.53	2,270.83	1,071.95	469.52	287.57	262.94
1.A.3.b.ii Light-duty vehicles	195.06	197.87	146.42	102.24	55.11	26.29	23.85
1.A.3.b.iii Heavy-duty vehicles including buses	82.99	79.11	69.14	66.31	52.95	45.15	42.19
1.A.3.b.iv Mopeds and motorcycles	495.05	626.23	596.90	425.65	207.02	158.03	143.71
Total emissions	5,291.92	5,295.75	3,083.29	1,666.14	784.59	517.03	472.69

Source: ISPRA elaborations

A strong contribution to total emissions is given by gasoline vehicles (about 75.5% in 2016, although since 1990 a decrease of about 92.7% is observed); since 1990 to 2016 a general decrease, of about 91.1%, is

observed.

Emissions of  $PM_{10}$  (Table 3.20) deriving from passenger cars, light-duty vehicles, heavy-duty vehicles including buses, road vehicle tyre and brake wear are key categories in 1990; emissions from passenger cars, heavy-duty vehicles including buses, road vehicle tyre and brake wear and emissions from road surface wear are key categories in 2016; emissions from passenger cars, light-duty vehicles, heavy-duty vehicles including buses and from road vehicle tyre and brake wear are key category in trend.

As regards PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Table 3.21), emissions from passenger cars, light-duty vehicles, heavy-duty vehicles including buses are key categories in 1990 and in trend; while emissions from passenger cars and road vehicle tyre and brake wear are key categories in 2016.

**Table 3.20** Time series of particulate matter with diameter less than 10 µm emissions in road transport (Gg)

Source categories for NFR Subsector							
1.A.3.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
			Gg				
1.A.3.b.i Passenger cars	18.65	14.46	10.46	9.48	7.11	5.47	5.04
1.A.3.b.ii Light-duty vehicles 1.A.3.b.iii Heavy-duty vehicles	10.03	11.56	12.95	10.81	6.80	2.83	2.60
including buses	13.82	13.18	10.32	8.22	5.26	3.97	3.66
1.A.3.b.iv Mopeds and motorcycles 1.A.3.b.vi Road Transport:,	3.09	4.18	3.93	3.32	1.49	0.96	0.87
Automobile tyre and brake wear - 1.A.3.b.vii Road transport: Automobile road abrasion	11.75	13.47	14.23	14.76	13.61	13.00	13.14
Total emissions	57.33	56.85	51.88	46.60	34.26	26.23	25.30

Source: ISPRA elaborations

**Table 3.21** Time series of particulate matter with diameter less than 2.5 µm emissions in road transport (Gg)

Source categories for NFR Subsector							
1.A.3.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
			Gg				
1.A.3.b.i Passenger cars	18.65	14.46	10.46	9.48	7.11	5.47	5.04
1.A.3.b.ii Light-duty vehicles 1.A.3.b.iii Heavy-duty vehicles	10.03	11.56	12.95	10.81	6.80	2.83	2.60
including buses	13.82	13.18	10.32	8.22	5.26	3.97	3.66
1.A.3.b.iv Mopeds and motorcycles 1 A 3 b vi Road Transport:,	3.09	4.18	3.93	3.32	1.49	0.96	0.87
Automobile tyre and brake wear - 1.A.3.b.vii Road transport: Automobile road abrasion	6.35	7.30	7.75	8.06	7.44	7.11	7.19
Total emissions	51.93	50.68	45.40	39.90	28.09	20.35	19.35

Source: ISPRA elaborations

Emissions of particulate matter with diameter less than  $10\mu m$  and less than  $2.5\mu m$  show a decreasing trend since 1990 respectively of about -55.9% and -62.7%; despite the decrease, diesel vehicles (passenger cars, light duty vehicles and heavy duty trucks including buses) are mainly responsible for road transport emissions giving a strong contribution to total emissions, in 2016 about 80.6% and 83.7% out of the total for  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  respectively.

Emissions of black carbon are reported in Table 3.22. Emissions from passenger cars, light-duty vehicles and heavy-duty trucks including buses are key categories in 1990, 2016 and in trend.

The emissions trend is generally decreasing (-63.0% since 1990). The main contribution to total emissions is given by diesel vehicles, in 2016 equal to 97.8% out of the total. Despite of the decrease, road transport is the second source of emissions (the main source is non industrial combustion) at national level in 2016 (37.1%).

**Table 3.22** Time series of black carbon emissions in road transport (Gg)

Source categories for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
		Gg					
1.A.3.b.i Passenger cars	10.04	7.77	6.32	7.02	5.75	4.36	4.00
1.A.3.b.ii Light-duty vehicles	5.51	6.39	7.53	7.09	5.05	2.23	2.05
1.A.3.b.iii Heavy-duty vehicles including buses	6.91	6.73	5.48	4.69	3.22	2.53	2.33
1.A.3.b.iv Mopeds and motorcycles	0.60	0.82	0.76	0.59	0.26	0.17	0.15
Total emissions	23.06	21.71	20.09	19.38	14.29	9.29	8.53

Source: ISPRA elaborations

Emissions of SO<sub>X</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and Pb (Table 3.23) are not key categories in 2016, despite Pb emissions from passenger cars and from mopeds and motorcycles are key categories in 1990 and Pb emissions from passenger cars are key categories in trend; emissions of SO<sub>X</sub> from passenger cars are key categories in 1990 and in trend; emissions of NH<sub>3</sub> from passenger cars are key categories in trend. Emissions of these pollutants deriving from road transport are irrelevant in 2016, compared to other sectors. Emissions of SO<sub>X</sub> and Pb show strong decreases (since 2002, Pb resulting emissions are not exhaust), due to limits on fuels properties imposed by legislation. SO<sub>X</sub> emissions decrease by 99.7%, representing 0.3% of the total in 2016. Exhaust emissions of Pb decrease of 99.9% (total Pb emissions decrease of 25.8%). Emissions of NH<sub>3</sub>, despite the strong increase since 1990, in 2016 account for just 1.5% out of the total.

**Table 3.23** Time series of sulphur oxides, ammonia and lead emissions in road transport

Total Emissions for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.b	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
$SO_X(Gg)$	129.28	71.59	11.91	2.21	0.43	0.37	0.40
$NH_3$ (Gg)	0.76	6.87	19.90	14.70	9.11	6.01	5.58
Pb (Mg)	14.14	13.45	12.86	12.46	11.31	10.66	10.49

Source: ISPRA elaborations

# 3.8.5 QA/QC and Uncertainty

Data used for estimating emissions from the road transport sector, derive from different sources, including official statistics providers and industrial associations.

A specific procedure undertaken for improving the inventory in the sector regards the establishment of a national expert panel in road transport which involves, on a voluntary basis, different institutions, local agencies and industrial associations cooperating for improving activity data and emission factors accuracy. In this group emission estimates are presented annually and new methodologies are shared and discussed. Reports and data of the meetings can be found at the following address:

### http://groupware.sinanet.isprambiente.it/expert\_panel/library.

Besides, over time recalculations of time series estimates have been discussed with national experts in the framework of an *ad hoc* working group on air emissions inventories. The group is chaired by ISPRA and includes participants from the local authorities responsible for the preparation of local inventories, sectoral experts, the Ministry of Environment, Land and Sea, and air quality model experts. Recalculations are comparable with those resulting from application of the model at local level. Top-down and bottom-up approaches have been compared with the aim at identifying the major problems and future possible improvements in the methodology to be addressed.

A Montecarlo analysis has been carried out by EMISIA on behalf of the Joint Research Centre (Kouridis et al., 2010) in the framework of the study "Uncertainty estimates and guidance for road transport emission calculations" for 2005 emissions. The study shows an uncertainty assessment, at Italian level, for road transport emissions on the basis of 2005 input parameters of the COPERT 4 model (v. 7.0).

#### 3.8.6 Recalculation

The annual update of the emissions time series from road transport implies a periodic review process.

In 2018 submission the historical series has been generally revised according to the application of COPERT 5 model.

The automatic fuel balancing process has been applied, new functionality introduced by COPERT 5, with the aim to minimize the deviation between statistical and calculated fuel consumption values.

The final report on the physic-chemical characterization of fossil fuels used in Italy, carried out by the Fuel Experimental Station, has been used since 2015 submission, with the aim to improve fuel quality specifications. Fuel information has also been updated for the entire time series on the basis of the annual reports published by ISPRA about the fuel quality in Italy. On the basis of such report, RVP Country specific values have been inserted in the model for the entire time series.

Since 2015 fuel information has been updated also as regards country specific fuel consumption factors for passenger cars on the basis of the results published by EEA in the report "Monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from passenger cars and vans in 2016" (EEA, several years).

The application of COPERT 5 implies recalculations due to the introduced updates from the methodological point of view respect to the previous model COPERT 4 used. Updates are related to the fuel, concerning: fuel energy instead of fuel mass calculations; distinction between primary and end - blends - fuels, automated energy balance; updates regard vehicle types, updated vehicle category naming, new vehicle types and emission control technology level; as regards emission factors, one function type and the possibility to distinguish between peak/off-peak urban, have been implemented. The updated classification of the fleet has been considered, including also the CNG passenger cars in the detail of the engine capacity classes, previously estimated separately.

Moreover, particulate deriving from road surface wear has been estimated. Due to the uncertainty and variability, road transport automobile road abrasion factors are not inserted in COPERT model yet;  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ , TSP emissions have been estimated on the basis of Tier 1 emission factors for source category 1.A.3.b.vii, road surface wear reported in the Guidebook ((EMEP/EEA, 2016).

### 3.8.7 Planned improvements

Improvements for the next submission will be connected to the possible new availability of data and information regarding activity data, calculation factors and parameters, new developments of the methodology and the update of the software.

# 3.9 Railways (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.c)

The electricity used by the railways for electric traction is supplied from the public distribution system, so the emissions arising from its generation are reported under category 1.A.1.a Public Electricity.

Emissions from diesel trains are reported under the IPCC category 1.A.3.c Railways. Estimates are based on the gasoil consumption for railways reported in BEN (MSE, several years [a], updated in 2018 according to EUROSTAT methodology (http://dgsaie.mise.gov.it/dgerm/ben.asp), and on the methodology Tier1, and emission factors from the EMEP/EEA Emission Inventory Guidebook 2016 (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

Fuel consumption data are collected by the Ministry of Economic Development, responsible of the energy balance, from the companies with diesel railways. The activity is present only in those areas without electrified railways, which are limited in the national territory. The trend reflects the decrease of the use of these railways. Because of low values, emissions from railways do not represent a key category. In Table 3.25, diesel consumptions (TJ) and nitrogen oxides, non-methane volatile organic compounds, sulphur oxides, ammonia, particulate and carbon monoxide emissions (Gg) are reported.

 Table 3.25
 Consumptions and emissions time series in railways

Consumptions and Emissions for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.c	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Diesel Consumption (TJ)	8,370	8,199	5,851	4,142	2,690	1,922	2,306	1,623	769	940	641
Emissions from diesel trains (Gg)											
$NO_X$	10.27	10.06	7.18	5.08	3.24	2.27	2.66	1.82	0.84	1.00	0.67
NMVOC	0.91	0.89	0.64	0.45	0.29	0.20	0.24	0.17	0.08	0.09	0.06
$SO_X$	1.18	0.77	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
$NH_3$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
$PM_{2.5}$	0.28	0.28	0.20	0.14	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02
$PM_{10}$	0.28	0.28	0.20	0.14	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02
TSP	0.29	0.28	0.20	0.14	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02
BC	0.18	0.18	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01
CO	2.10	2.05	1.47	1.04	0.67	0.48	0.58	0.41	0.19	0.24	0.16

Source: ISPRA elaborations

In the review process has been observed the existence of at least one steam engine still operating in Italy. It is an historic train used only for few days per year and probably fuelled with biomass nowadays instead of coal. Nor biomass or coal are reported in the energy balance for railways activities. Anyway this possible source of emission could be considered insignificant.

No recalculation occurred in this submission.

No specific improvements are planned for the next submission.

# 3.10 Navigation (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.d)

### 3.10.1 Overview

This source category includes all emissions from fuels delivered to water-borne navigation. Emissions decreased from 1990 to 2016, because of the reduction in fuel consumed in harbour and navigation activities; the number of movements, showing an increase since 1990, reverses the trend in recent years. National navigation is a key category in 2016 with respect to emissions of  $SO_X$ ,  $NO_X$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ .

### 3.10.2 Methodological issues

Emissions of the Italian inventory from the navigation sector are carried out according to the IPCC Guidelines and Good Practice Guidance (IPCC, 1997; IPCC, 2000) and the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). In particular, a national methodology has been developed following the EMEP/EEA Guidebook which provides details to estimate emissions from domestic navigation, specifying recreational craft, ocean-going ships by cruise and harbour activities; emissions from international navigation are also estimated and included as memo item but not included in national totals (EMEP/EEA, 2016). Inland, coastal and deep-sea fishing are estimated and reported under 1.A.4.c. International inland waterways do not occur in Italy.

The methodology developed to estimate emissions is based on the following assumptions and information.

Activity data comprise both fuel consumptions and ship movements, which are available in different level of aggregation and derive from different sources as specified here below:

- Total deliveries of fuel oil, gas oil and marine diesel oil to marine transport are given in national energy balance (MSE, several years (a)) but the split between domestic and international is not provided;
- Naval fuel consumption for inland waterways, ferries connecting mainland to islands and leisure boats, is also reported in the national energy balance as it is the fuel for shipping (MSE, several years (a));
- Data on annual arrivals and departures of domestic and international shipping calling at Italian harbours are reported by the National Institute of Statistics in the statistics yearbooks (ISTAT, several years (a)) and Ministry of Transport in the national transport statistics yearbooks (MIT, several years).

As for emission and consumption factors, figures are derived by the EMEP/EEA guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016), both for recreational and harbour activities and national cruise, taking into account national specificities. These specificities derive from the results of a national study which, taking into account detailed information on the Italian marine fleet and the origin-destination movement matrix for the year 1997, calculated national values (ANPA, 2001; Trozzi et al., 2002 (b)) on the basis of the default emission and consumption factors reported in the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007).

National average emissions and consumption factors were therefore estimated for harbour and cruise activities both for domestic and international shipping from 1990 to 1999. In 2009 submission the study was updated for the years 2004, 2005 and 2006 in order to consider most recent trends in the maritime sector both in terms of modelling between domestic and international consumptions and improvements of operational activities in harbour (TECHNE, 2009). On the basis of the results, national average emissions and consumption factors were updated from 2000.

Specifically, for the years referred to in the surveys, the current method estimates emissions from the number of ships movements broken down by ship type at each of the principal Italian ports considering the information of whether the ship movement is international or domestic, the average tonnage and the relevant distance travelled.

For those years, in fact, figures on the number of arrivals, destination, and fleet composition have been

provided by the local port authorities and by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT, 2009), covering about 90% of the official national statistics on ship movements for the relevant years. Consumption and emission factors are those derived from the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007) and refer to the Tier 3 ship movement methodology that takes into account origin-destination ship movements matrices as well as technical information on the ships, as engine size, gross tonnage of ships and operational times in harbours. On the basis of sample information, estimates have been carried out at national level for the relevant years considering the official statistics of the maritime sector.

In general, to carry out national estimates of greenhouse gases and other pollutants in the Italian inventory for harbour and domestic cruise activities, consumptions and emissions are calculated for the complete time series using the average consumption and emission factors multiplied by the total number of movements.

On the other hand, for international cruise, consumptions are derived by difference from the total fuel consumption reported in the national energy balance and the estimated values as described above and emissions are therefore calculated.

For maritime transportation only by Directive 1999/32/EC European Union started to examine environmental impact of navigation and in particular the sulphur content of fuels. This directive was amended by Directive 2005/33/EC that designated Baltic sea, English channel and north sea as sulphur emission control areas (SECA) limiting the content of sulphur in the fuel for these areas and introducing a limit of 0.1% of the sulphur content in the fuel used in EU harbours from 2010.

EU legislation combined with national normative resulted in the introduction of a limit of sulphur content in maritime gasoil equal to 0.2% (2% before) from 2002 and 0.1% from 2010 while for fuel oil some limits occur only from 2008 (maximum sulphur content of 1.5 % in harbour) and from 2010, 2% in domestic waters and 1% in harbour. For inland waterways, which include the navigation on the Po river and ferryboats in the Venice lagoon, the same legislation is applied.

The composition of the fleet of gasoline fuelled recreational craft distinguished in two strokes and four strokes engine distribution is provided by the industrial category association (UCINA, several years); the trend of the average emission factors takes into account the switch from two strokes to four strokes engines of the national fleet due to the introduction in the market of new models. In 2000, the composition of the fleet was 90% two stroke engine equipped and 10% four stroke while in the last year four strokes engines are about 46% of the fleet.

The fuel split between national and international fuel use in maritime transportation is then supplied to the Ministry of the Economical Development to be included in the official international submission of energy statistics to the IEA in the framework of the Joint Questionnaire OECD/EUROSTAT/IEA compilation together with other energy data. A discrepancy with the international bunkers reported to the IEA still remains, especially for the nineties, because the time series of the energy statistics to the IEA are not updated.

## 3.10.3 Time series and key categories

In Table 3.26 the list of key categories by pollutant identified for navigation in 2016, 1990 and at trend assessment is reported. Navigation is, in 2016, key category for many pollutants:  $SO_X$ ,  $NO_X$  and PM, furthermore it is a key driver of the  $SO_X$  and  $NO_X$  trend.

 Table 3.26
 List of key categories for pollutant in navigation in 2016, 1990 and in the trend

	Key categories in 2016	Key categories in 1990	Key categories in trend
SOx	1A3dii	1A3dii	1A3dii
NOx	1A3dii	1A3dii	1A3dii
NMVOC	1A3dii	1A3dii	
PM <sub>10</sub>	1A3dii	1A3dii	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1A3dii	1A3dii	

Source: ISPRA elaborations

Estimates of fuel consumption for domestic use, in the national harbours or for travel within two Italian destinations, and bunker fuels used for international travels are reported in Table 3.27.

An upward trend in emission levels is observed from 1990 to 2000, explained by the increasing number of ship movements. Nevertheless, the operational improvements in harbour activities and a reduction in ship domestic movements inverted the tendency in the last years.

**Table 3.27** *Marine fuel consumptions in domestic navigation and international bunkers* (Gg) *and pollutants emissions from domestic navigation* (Gg)

Consumptions and Emissions for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.d	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2013	2014	2015	2016
Gasoline for recreational craft (Gg)	182.00	210.00	213.00	199.00	169.00	99.00	99.00	99.00	99.00
Diesel oil for inland waterways (Gg)	19.80	22.73	20.20	24.75	18.18	30.20	28.28	27.43	26.90
Fuels used in domestic cruise navigation (Gg)	778.06	706.38	811.37	739.97	725.35	575.01	572.60	545.35	532.72
Fuel in harbours (dom+int ships) (Gg)	748.46	692.95	818.48	758.89	743.90	589.72	587.24	559.30	546.34
Fuel in international Bunkers (Gg)	1,402.72	1,287.30	1,306.31	2,147.25	2,174.64	1,540.26	1,388.73	1,741.79	2,126.86
Emissions from National	Navigation (	(Gg)							
Emissions of NOx	95.55	87.97	102.48	94.94	93.28	74.39	74.08	70.69	69.14
Emissions of NMVOC	46.11	52.42	50.17	43.28	31.82	17.82	17.19	16.64	16.10
Emissions of SOx	77.94	70.31	81.49	49.73	28.38	22.50	22.40	21.34	20.84
Emissions of PM2.5	9.30	8.83	9.61	8.90	7.86	5.90	5.83	5.57	5.42
Emissions of PM10	9.33	8.86	9.65	8.94	7.89	5.93	5.86	5.59	5.45
Emissions of CO	102.27	115.57	124.77	122.86	109.42	65.28	64.68	63.48	63.64

Source: ISPRA elaborations

### 3.10.4 QA/QC and Uncertainty

Basic data to estimate emissions are reconstructed starting from information on ship movements and fleet composition coming from different sources. Data collected in the framework of the national study from the local port authorities, carried out in 2009 (TECHNE, 2009), were compared with the official statistics supplied by ISTAT, which are collected from maritime operators with a yearly survey and communicated at international level to EUROSTAT. Differences and problems were analysed in details and solved together with ISTAT experts. Different sources of data are usually used and compared during the compilation of the annual inventory.

Besides, time series resulting from the recalculation have been presented to the national experts in the framework of an ad hoc working group on air emissions inventories. The group is chaired by ISPRA and includes participants from the local authorities responsible for the preparation of local inventories, sectoral experts, the Ministry of Environment, Land and Sea, and air quality model experts. Top-down and bottom-up approaches have been compared with the aim to identify the potential problems and future improvements to be addressed. There is also an ongoing collaboration and data exchange with regional environmental agencies on this issue.

### 3.10.5 Recalculations

Recalculation affected energy activity data of the sector for the update of the energy conversion factor according to the international statistics.

### 3.10.6 Planned improvements

Further improvements will regard a verification of activity data on ship movements and emission estimates with regional environmental agencies, especially with those more affected by maritime pollution.

# 3.11 Pipeline compressors (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.3.e)

Pipeline compressors category (1.A.3e) includes all emissions from fuels delivered to the transportation by pipelines and storage of natural gas. Relevant pollutants emissions typical of a combustion process, such as  $SO_X$ ,  $NO_X$ , CO and PM emissions, derive from this category. This category is not a key category.

Emissions from pipeline compressors are estimated on the basis of natural gas fuel consumption used for the compressors and the relevant emission factors. The amount of fuel consumption is estimated on the basis of data supplied for the whole time series by the national operators of natural gas distribution (SNAM and STOGIT) and refers to the fuel consumption for the gas storage and transportation; this consumption is part of the fuel consumption reported in the national energy balance in the consumption and losses sheet. Emission factors are those reported in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook for gas turbines (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007). Emissions communicated by the national operators in their environmental reports are also taken into account to estimate air pollutants, especially SO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and PM10.

Regarding QA/QC, fuel consumptions reported by the national operators for this activity are compared with the amount of natural gas internal consumption and losses reported in the energy balance as well as with energy consumption data provided by the operator to the emission trading scheme.

Starting from the length of pipelines, the average energy consumptions by kilometre are calculated and used for verification of data collected by the operators. Energy consumptions and emissions by kilometre calculated on the basis of data supplied by SNAM, which is the main national operator, are used to estimate the figures for the other operators when their annual data are not available.

In Table 3.28, nitrogen oxides, non-methane volatile organic compounds, sulphur oxides, particulate and carbon monoxide emissions (Gg) are reported.

**Table 3.28** *Emissions from pipeline compressors (Gg)* 

Emissions for NFR Subsector 1.A.3.e	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
NOx	2.89	4.18	2.96	2.37	1.71	0.90	0.99	0.86	0.50	0.36	0.46
NMVOC	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03
SOx	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
$PM_{10}$	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02
СО	1.26	1.38	1.03	0.60	0.61	0.36	0.34	0.31	0.25	0.23	0.30

Source: ISPRA elaborations

# 3.12 Civil sector: small combustion and off-road vehicles (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.A.4 - 1.A.5)

#### 3.12.1 Overview

Emissions from energy use in the civil sector cover combustion in small-scale combustion units, with thermal capacity < 50 MWth, and off road vehicles in the commercial, residential and agriculture sectors.

The emissions refer to the following categories:

- 1 A 4 a i Commercial / Institutional: Stationary
- 1 A 4 a ii Commercial / Institutional: Mobile
- 1 A 4 b i Residential: Stationary plants
- 1 A 4 b ii Residential: Household and gardening (mobile)
- 1 A 4 c i Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Stationary
- 1 A 4 c ii Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-road Vehicles and Other Machinery
- 1A 4 c iii Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: National Fishing
- 1 A 5 a Other, Stationary (including military)
- 1 A 5 b Other, Mobile (Including military, land based and recreational boats)

In Table 3.29 the list of categories for small combustion and off road vehicles identified as key categories by pollutant for 2016, 1990 and in the trend is reported.

**Table 3.29** *List of key categories by pollutant in the civil sector in 2016, 1990 and trend* 

	Key	categories in 2	016	Key categ	gories in 1990	Key categories in trend				
$SO_X$	1 A 4 b i			1 A 4 b i		1 A 4 a i				
$NO_X$	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 a i	1 A 4 c ii	1 A 4 c ii		1 A 4 a i	1 A 4 b i			
NMVOC	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 a i		1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 c ii	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 a i	1 A 4 c ii		
CO	1 A 4 b i			1 A 4 b i		1 A 4 b i				
$PM_{10}$	1 A 4 b i			1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 c ii	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 c ii			
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1 A 4 b i			1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 c ii	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 c ii			
BC	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 c ii		1 A 4 c ii	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 c ii			
Pb	1 A 4 a i					1 A 4 a i				
Cd	1 A 4 a i	1 A 4 b i		1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 a i	1 A 4 a i	1 A 4 b i			
Hg	1 A 4 a i					1 A 4 a i				
PAH	1 A 4 b i			1 A 4 b i		1 A 4 b i				
DIOX	1 A 4 b i			1 A 4 a i	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 b i	1 A 4 a i			
HCB	1 A 4 b i									
PCB	1 A 4 a i					1 A 4 a i	1 A 4 b i			

### 3.12.2 Activity data

The Commercial / Institutional emissions arise from the energy used in the institutional, service and commercial buildings, mainly for heating. Additionally, this category includes all emissions due to wastes used in electricity generation. In the residential sector the emissions arise from the energy used in residential buildings, mainly for heating and the sector includes emission from household and gardening machinery. The Agriculture/ Forestry/ Fishing sector includes all emissions due to the fuel use in agriculture, mainly to produce mechanical energy, the fuel use in fishing and for machinery used in the forestry sector. Emissions

from military aircraft and naval vessels are reported under 1A.5.b Mobile.

The estimation procedure follows that of the basic combustion data sheet. Emissions are estimated from the energy consumption data that are reported in the national energy balance (MSE, several years (a)). The national energy balance does separate energy consumption between civil and agriculture-fishing, but it does not distinguish between Commercial – Institutional and Residential. But this information is available in the Joint Questionnaire OECD/IEA/EUROSTAT prepared by the Ministry of Economical Development and officially sent to the international organizations. In this submission the updated fuel consumption time series according to the joint Questionnaire have been updated for the following fuels: steam coal, coke oven coke, residual oil, gasoil, kerosene, LPG, gas work gas.

For natural gas, which have not been yet updated with data reported to the international organizations, total consumption is subdivided between commercial and residential on the basis of the percentage figures estimated by ENEA and reported in its annual energy report (ENEA, several years).

Emissions from 1.A.4.b Residential and 1.A.4.c Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing are disaggregated into those arising from stationary combustion and those from off-road vehicles and other machinery.

The time series of fuel consumption for the civil sector are reported in Table 3.30.

Table 3.30 Time series of fuel consumption for the civil sector

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2013	2014	2015	2016
				TJ					
1 A 4 a i Commercial / Institutional: Stationary plants	213,360	258,970	316,681	427,772	492,010	421,312	375,441	393,921	394,931
1 A 4 b i Residential: Stationary plants	1,002,155	1,003,658	1,036,959	1,172,347	1,222,559	1,148,156	966,165	1,078,560	1,070,460
1 A 4 b ii Residential: Household and gardening (mobile)	466	571	374	154	66	57	57	57	35
1 A 4 c i Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Stationary	9,688	9,487	8,146	10,172	8,829	7,784	7,166	8,237	6,406
1 A 4 c ii Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-road Vehicles and Other Machinery	96,536	101,928	94,668	95,869	84,461	79,769	80,068	81,263	83,116
1A 4 c iii Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: National Fishing 1 A 5 b Other, Mobile	8,413	9,651	8,584	10,464	7,731	6,194	6,450	6,194	6,918
(Including military, land based and recreational boats)	14,840	20,814	11,595	16,947	9,001	8,066	7,964	6,388	7,183

The emission factors used derive from the EMEP/EEA Emission Inventory Guidebook 2009 (EMEP/EEA, 2009).

### 3.12.3 Methodological issues

A national Tier 2 methodology has been developed and applied to estimate  $NO_X$  emissions from gas powered plants and all emissions for wood combustion while emissions from waste combustion in incinerator with energy recovery have been calculated from the database of incinerator plants which includes plant specific emission factors on the basis of their technology and measurements data (ENEA-federAmbiente, 2012).

The methodology used to estimate emissions from incinerators is reported in the paragraph on waste incineration, and in particular EFs are reported in Table 7.3. Up to 2009 emission factors have been estimated on the basis of a study conducted by ENEA (De Stefanis P., 1999), based on emission data from a large sample of Italian incinerators (FEDERAMBIENTE, 1998; AMA-Comune di Roma, 1996), legal thresholds (Ministerial Decree 19 November 1997, n. 503 of the Ministry of Environment; Ministerial

Decree 12 July 1990) and expert judgements. Since 2010, emission factors for urban waste incinerators have been updated on the basis of data provided by plants (ENEA-federAmbiente, 2012; De Stefanis P., 2012) concerning the annual stack flow, the amount of waste burned and the average concentrations of the pollutants at the stack and taking in account the abatement technologies in place.

Waste management with incinerators is a commercial activity with recover of the energy auto-produced and emissions from these plants are allocated in the commercial /institutional category because of the final use of heat and electricity production. In fact, until the early 2000s, electricity and heat produced by incinerators have been prevalently used to satisfy the energy demand from connected activities: heating of buildings, domestic hot water and electricity for offices. This is still true for industrial and hospital incinerators, meanwhile municipal solid waste incinerators have increased the amount of energy provided to the grid from the early 2000s until now. It would be possible to change the allocation of emissions but this could carry to an inconsistency in the time series.

Concerning 1.A.4.a i, NMVOC emissions are due prevalently to emissions from waste incineration with energy recovery (around 90% of the total). For that concerns wood combustion the average emission factor takes into account the different technologies used and is calculated on the basis of country specific emission factors and the ranges reported in the EMEP/EEA 2016 Guidebook. For the other fuels the default emission factors of EMEP/CORINAIR 2007 Guidebook have been used. For gasoil, biogas and gasoline different emission factors are used for stationary engines and boilers.

PM2.5 emissions from wood and waste account for more than 80% of the total 1A4ai category; the other main fuel is biogas from landfills and waste water treatment energy recovery, which account for around 10% of PM2.5 emissions of this category; an emission factor equal to 10 g/GJ is used. The other fuels have been estimated with EMEP/CORINAIR 2007 emission factors.

For  $NO_X$ , for waste fuel the methodology used is reported in the waste sector in the relevant paragraph on waste incineration of the IIR, and in particular EFs are reported in Table 7.3. For that regard natural gas methodology and emission factors are described in the following paragraph 3.12.3.1. The other main fuel is biogas from landfills and waste water treatment energy recovery, accounting for 48% of  $NO_X$  emissions of this category in 2016, but for which no guidance is provided in the Guidebook. These three fuels account for more than 96% of total NOX category emissions.

Concerning 1.A.4.b i, as for NMVOC and PM2.5, wood combustion is the main source of emissions (around 99% of the category). Methodology and emission factors are described in paragraph 3.12.3.2.

For  $NO_X$ , about 50% of the total emissions are due to the combustion of natural gas; methodology and country specific emission factors are described in the following paragraph 3.12.3.1. Biomass combustion accounts for around 40% of the total and methodology and country specific emission factors are also available in paragraph 3.12.3.2. For the other fuels, the default EMEP/CORINAIR 2007 Guidebook values have been used. In particular for liquid fuels (gasoil, kerosene and LPG) a default equal to 50 g/GJ is used. All these fuels cover more than 99% of total category emissions.

For  $SO_X$  country specific and updated emission factors are used for wood, as for the other pollutants, and for gasoil and residual oil, calculated on the basis of the maximum content of sulphur in these fuels; emissions from these fuels account for about 99.9% of  $SO_X$  category emissions.

## 3.12.3.1 $NO_X$ emissions from gas powered plants in the civil sector

A national methodology has been developed and applied to estimate  $NO_X$  emissions from gas powered plants in the civil sector, according to the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

On the basis of the information and data reported in available national studies for the year 2003, a distribution of heating plants in the domestic sector by technology and typology has been assessed for that year together with their specific emissions factors. Data related to heating plants, both commercial and residential, have been supplied for 2003 by a national energy research institute (CESI, 2005). In this study, for the residential sector, the sharing of single and multifamily houses plants by technology and a quantitative estimation of the relevant gas powered ones are reported, including their related NO<sub>X</sub> emission factors. Domestic final consumption by type of plant, single or multifamily plants, has been estimated on the basis of data supplied by ENEA on their distribution (ENEA, several years).

Data reported by ASSOTERMICA (ASSOTERMICA, several years) on the number of heating plants

sold are used for the years after 2003 to update the information related to the technologies. A linear regression, for the period 1995-2003, has been applied, while for the period 1990-1994, the technology with the highest emission factor has been assumed to be operating.

In Table 3.31 the time series of NO<sub>X</sub> average emission factors for the relevant categories is reported.

**Table 3.31** *Time series of*  $NO_X$  *emissions factor for the civil sector* 

EF NOx	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
				g/Gj			
1 A 4 a i Commercial / Institutional: Stationary	50	48.5	40.2	35.2	32.4	30.3	30.3
1 A 4 b i Residential: Stationary plants	50	48.2	38.6	32.4	31.3	30.5	30.5

#### 3.12.3.2 Emissions from wood combustion in the civil sector

A national methodology has been developed and applied to estimate emissions from wood combustion in the civil sector, according to the TIER 2 methodology reported in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). In the past years, several surveys have been carried out to estimate national wood consumption in the domestic heating and the related technologies used. In the estimation process, three surveys have been taken into account: the first survey (Gerardi and Perrella, 2001) has evaluated the technologies for wood combustion used in Italy for the year 1999, the second survey (ARPA, 2007) was related to the year 2006, while the third survey (SCENARI/ISPRA, 2013) was related to the year 2012. The technologies assessed by the abovementioned surveys and their distribution are reported in Table 3.32.

**Table 3.32** Distribution of wood combustion technologies

Distribution of wood combustion technologi	es		
	1999	2006	2012
		%	
Fireplaces	51.3	44.7	51.2
Stoves	28.4	27.6	22.9
Advanced fireplaces	15.4	20.2	15.8
Pellet stoves	0	3.1	4.0
Advanced stoves	4.8	4.4	6.0

Average emission factors for 1999, 2006 and 2012 have been estimated at national level taking into account the technology distributions; for 1990 only old technologies (fireplaces and stoves) have been considered and linear regressions have been applied to reconstruct the time series from 1990 to 2006. For the years till 2011, emission factors from 2006 have been used in absence of further available information.

For NMVOC, PAH, PM10 and PM2.5 emission factors the results of the experimental study funded by the Ministry of Environment and conducted by the research institute 'Stazione Sperimentale dei Combustibili' (SSC, 2012) have been used. This study measured and compared NO<sub>X</sub>, CO, NMVOC, SO<sub>X</sub>, TSP, PM10, PM2.5, PAH and Dioxin emissions for the combustion of different wood typically used in Italy as beech, hornbeam, oak, locust and spruce-fir, in open and closed fireplaces, traditional and innovative stoves, and pellet stoves. Emissions from certificated and not certificated pellets have been also measured and compared. In general measured emission factors results in the ranges supplied by the EMEP/EEA Guidebook but for some pollutants and technologies results are sensibly different. In particular NMVOC emissions for all the technologies are close or lower to the minimum value of the range reported in the Guidebook, as well as PM emissions with exception of emissions from pellet stoves which are higher of the values suggested in the case of the use of not certificated pellet. For these pollutants the minimum values of the range in the Guidebook have been used when appropriate. For that concern PAH, measured emissions

from open fireplaces are much lower than the minimum value of the range in the Guidebook while those from the advanced stoves are close to the superior values of the range for all the PAH compounds. In this case for open fireplaces experimental values have been used while for the other technologies the minimum or maximum values of the range in the Guidebook have been used as appropriate. For the other pollutants where differences with the values suggested by the Guidebook are not sensible, a more in depth analysis will be conducted with the aim to update the emission factors used if needed.

In Table 3.33 emission factors used for the Italian inventory are reported.

Table 3.33 Emission factors for wood combustion

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2016
			g/C	ij		
NO <sub>x</sub>	50	55	59	61	61	60
CO	6000	5791	5591	5427	5395	5275
NMVOC	762	715	672	643	638	631
$SO_2$	10	11	12	13	13	12
$NH_3$	9	7	6	6	6	6
PM10	507	465	428	408	404	407
PM2.5	503	461	424	404	400	402
BC	40	37	35	34	34	34
PAH	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.22
Dioxin	0.48	0.47	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.42
$(\mu g/GJ)$						
PCB	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006	0.00006
HCB	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001
As	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.0005
Cd	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cr	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Cu	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Hg	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004
Ni	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Pb	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
Se	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.0005
Zn	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09
B(a)P	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07
B(b)F	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
B(k)F	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03
IND	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04

In 2014 the national Institute of Statistics (ISTAT) carried out a survey, funded by the Ministry of Economic Development and infrastructure (MSE), on the final energy consumption of households for residential heating which include the fuel consumption of solid biomass, as wood and pellets (ISTAT, 2014). In this regard the survey resulted in an official statistics for 2012 and 2013 of wood and pellet fuel consumption at national and regional level including the information on the relevant equipments. The resulting figure for 2013 doubled the value reported in the National Energy Balance for previous years which asked for the need to update the whole time series. An ad hoc working group has been established, involving ISPRA, MSE and the energy management system national operator (GSE), to reconstruct the complete time series of wood and pellet fuel consumption which has been recalculated and officially submitted to Eurostat in June 2015.

The methodology to recalculate consumption figures has taken in account the amount of wood harvested for energy purposes, the amount of wood biomass from pruning, import and export official statistics to estimate total wood consumption. A model to estimate the annual amount of wood for heating has been developed on the basis of the annual energy total biomass demand of households estimated considering the degree days time series, the number of households, the energy efficiency of equipments and fuel consumption statistics for the other fuels. As a consequence, time series for residential heating have been completely recalculated

affecting the relevant pollutants and resulting in important recalculations at national total levels.

## 3.12.4 Time series and key categories

The time series of emissions for civil sector shows an increasing trend for all pollutants except for  $SO_X$  and  $NO_X$ , due to a gradually shift of diesel fuel to gas, concerning  $SO_X$ , and to a replacement of classic boilers with those with low emission for  $NO_X$ . All the other pollutants have a growing trend, as a consequence of the increase of wood combustion.

More in detail the decrease of  $SO_X$  emissions is the combination of the switch of fuel from gasoil and fuel oil to natural gas and LPG and the reduction in the average sulphur content of liquid fuels. The  $SO_X$  emission factors for 1990 and 2016 by fuels are shown in the following box.

EMISSION FACTORS (kg/Gj)										
FUEL	1990	2016								
steam coal	0.646	0.646								
coke oven coke	0.682	0.682								
wood and similar	0.010	0.012								
municipal waste	0.069	0.056								
biodiesel	0.047	0.047								
residual oil	1.462	0.146								
gas oil	0.140	0.047								
kerosene	0.018	0.018								
natural gas	0.0003	0.0003								
biogas	-	-								
LPG	0.0022	0.0022								
gas works gas	0.011	0.011								
motor gasoline	0.023	0.023								

Time series of emissions is reported in Table 3.34.

Table 3.34 Time series of emissions in civil sector: small combustion and off-road vehicles

		1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2013	2014	2015	2016
$SO_X$	1A4	96.47	42.67	26.40	22.87	12.13	10.47	9.37	10.20	10.16
(Gg)	1A5	1.19	0.81	0.21	0.17	0.13	0.10	0.14	0.12	0.15
$NO_X$	1A4	175.31	187.76	176.05	166.68	146.04	134.00	125.28	127.76	127.46
(Gg)	1A5	11.16	11.99	7.24	13.50	6.11	6.01	4.35	3.29	3.28
CO (Mg)	1A4	1093.54	1089.30	1028.57	998.01	1716.82	1561.02	1355.20	1513.00	1462.66
	1A5	65.12	79.02	45.49	54.48	17.33	13.90	19.55	16.49	19.73
PM10	1A4	84.64	89.78	85.70	81.09	129.93	119.14	103.13	115.10	111.43
(Mg)	1A5	1.27	1.54	0.90	1.60	0.81	0.78	0.61	0.47	0.49
PM2.5	1A4	83.83	89.09	84.91	80.29	128.57	117.87	102.04	113.87	110.24
(Mg)	1A5	1.27	1.54	0.90	1.60	0.81	0.78	0.61	0.47	0.49
BC (Mg)	1A4	14.90	16.14	14.72	12.47	13.78	11.88	10.40	11.24	10.82
	1A5	0.72	0.82	0.49	0.92	0.46	0.45	0.33	0.25	0.25
Pb (Mg)	1A4	81.95	34.29	24.64	46.34	73.74	73.55	75.37	79.21	85.31
	1A5	16.34	4.22	1.16	0.00	NA	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.02
Cd (Mg)	1A4	1.51	1.21	1.74	2.62	2.56	2.49	2.52	2.68	2.88
	1A5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hg (Mg)	1A4	0.61	0.71	1.04	1.97	2.43	2.42	2.48	2.62	2.83
	1A5	NA								
PAH	1A4	32.07	35.44	35.92	39.09	68.58	62.45	54.14	60.81	59.07
(Mg)	1A5	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
НСВ	1A4	1.88	2.87	6.30	6.30	4.25	2.91	2.61	3.05	3.04
(Kg)	1A5	NA								
PCB	1A4	14.60	17.97	25.41	35.87	32.52	29.90	28.15	30.81	31.69
(Kg)	1A5	NA								

#### 3.12.5 QA/QC and Uncertainty

Basic data used in the estimation process are reported by Ministry of Economic Development in the National Energy Balance (MSE, several years (a)) and by TERNA (National Independent System Operator), concerning the waste used to generate electricity.

The energy data used to estimate emissions have different levels of accuracy:

- the overall sum of residential and institutional/service/commercial energy consumption is quite reliable and their uncertainty is comparable with data reported in the BEN; the amount of fuels used is periodically reported by main suppliers;
- the energy consumption for agriculture and fisheries is reported in energy statistics; data are quite reliable as they have special taxation regimes and they are accounted for separately;
- the energy use for military and off roads is reported in official statistics, but models are applied to estimate the energy use at a more disaggregated level.

#### 3.12.6 Recalculation

Several recalculations affected the 2016 submission. A recalculation affecting the whole sector regards the energy conversion factor from Toe to TJ that has been changed and set equal to 4.1868 instead of 4.18398 according to international statistics. The complete time series of natural gas and biogas fuel consumptions for heating in commercial, institutional and agriculture sectors have been updated according to data available in the Joint Questionnaire OECD/IEA/EUROSTAT prepared by the Ministry of Economical Development and officially sent to the international organizations.

According to the review process  $SO_X$  emission factors for natural gas and LPG have been added and emissions have been estimated.

Energy recovery from waste reported in the commercial heating has been updated from 2014; in particular activity data have been updated for 2015 for urban waste and from 2014 for industrial waste. Further details are reported in the waste chapter. Recalculations affected mainly heavy metals, HCB and PCB.

For 2013 and 2014,  $NO_X$  emission factors for natural gas consumption in residential and commercial small combustion plants have been updated on the basis of data published in the annual report of the equipment producer association (Assotermica, several years). According to a technical study by Innovhub (Innovhub, 2016),  $NO_X$  average emission factors for LPG have been updated and are now equal to those for natural gas.

#### 3.12.7 Planned improvements

On the basis of the surveys on wood consumption and combustion technologies carried out by ISPRA (SCENARI/ISPRA, 2013) and by ISTAT (ISTAT, 2014), the updating of average emission factors is planned for the next submission. Moreover, in 2018, ISTAT planned to repeat the survey with reference to 2017 fuel consumptions.

An in depth analysis of emission factors resulting from the experimental study carried out by SSC (SSC,

2012) for biomass and their comparison with the values suggested by the last version of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016) will be carried out and emission factors will be updated if needed.

# **3.13 Fugitive emissions (NFR SUBSECTOR 1.B)**

#### 3.13.1 Overview

Fugitive emissions arise during the stages of fuel production, from extraction of fossil fuels to their final use. Emissions are mainly due to leaks or other irregular releases of gases from the production and transformation of solid fuels, the production of oil and gas, the transmission and distribution of gas and from oil refining.

In Table 3.35 the list of categories for fugitive emissions identified as key categories by pollutant for 2016, 1990 and in the trend is reported.

	Key categories in 2016	Key categories in 1990	Key categories in trend
$SO_X$	1 B 2 a iv	1 B 2 a iv	
NMVOC	1 B 2 b	1 B 2 a v	1 B 2 b - 1 B 2 a iv
Нg		1 B 2 d	1 B 2 d

Table 3.35 List of key categories by pollutant in the civil sector in 2016, 1990 and trend

#### Coal mining and handling (1B1a)

NMVOC emissions from coal mining have been estimated on the basis of activity data published on the national energy balance (MSE, several years [a]) which report the amount of coal production and emission factors provided by the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

PM emissions from storage of solid fuels have been estimated and included in this category. Activity data is the annual consumption of solid fuels published on the national energy balance (MSE, several years [a]) and emission factor are from the US EPA Guidebook.

## Solid fuel transformation (1B1b)

NMVOC emissions from coke production have been estimated on the basis of activity data published in the national energy balance (MSE, several years [a]) and country specific emission factors calculated taking in account the information provided by the relevant operators in the framework of the EPRTR registry and the ETS.  $NO_X$  and  $SO_X$  emissions from coke production are estimated on the basis of data communicated by the national plants in the framework of the EPRTR, and are reported under 1.A.1c category.  $NH_3$  emissions are considered below the threshold of significance because on the basis of data collected they are, in total, lower than 1 Mg per year.

## Oil exploration and production (1B2a i)

NMVOC emissions have been calculated according with activity data published on national energy balance (MSE, several years [a]), data by oil industry association (UP, several years), data and emission factors provided by the relevant operators.

#### Oil transport and storage and refining (1B2a iv)

Fugitive emissions from oil refining are estimated starting from the total crude oil losses as reported in the national energy balance (MSE, several years [a] and occur prevalently from processes in refineries.

This category is key for SO<sub>X</sub> in 2016 and in the base year, and for NMVOC for the trend.

Emissions in refineries have been estimated on the basis of activity data published in the national energy balance (MSE, several years [a]) or supplied by oil industry association (UP, several years) and operators especially in the framework of the European Emissions Trading Scheme (EU-ETS). Fugitive emissions in refineries are mainly due to catalytic cracking production processes, sulphur recovery plants, flaring and emissions by other production processes including transport of crude oil and oil products. These emissions are then distributed among the different processes on the basis of average emission factors agreed and verified with the association of industrial operators, Unione Petrolifera, and yearly updated, from 2000, on the basis of data supplied by the plants in the framework of the European Emissions Trading Scheme, Large Combustion Plant Directive and EPRTR. SO<sub>X</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub> and PM emissions communicated by the plants in the framework of Large Combustion Plants directive are assumed to refer to combustion and are reported under 1.A.1b while the difference with the totals, communicated to the EPRTR, are considered as fugitive emissions and reported in 1.B.2a iv. NMVOC are communicated by the operators for the EPRTR registry as a total and the amount to be reported as fugitive is calculated subtracting by the total emission estimates for combustion activities and reduced for the implementation of losses control technology especially for transportation and storage of liquid fuels. ETS data are used to integrate and check emission data provided. Moreover fugitive emissions are also checked with the average emission factors provided by the relevant industrial association for each relevant process, as fluid catalytic cracking, sulphur recovery plant, and storage and handling of petroleum products. NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from refineries are considered below the threshold of significance because on the basis of data collected they result, in total, lower than 50 Mg per year at national level. Anyway we plan to include these emissions in the next submission.

#### Distribution of oil products (1B2a v)

This category is key for NMVOC in 1990. The category includes fugitive emissions from oil transport which have been calculated according with the amount of transported oil (MIT, several years) and emission factors published on the IPCC guidelines (IPCC, 2006). Most of the crude oil is imported in Italy by shipment and delivered at the refineries by pipelines as offshore national production of crude oil. The category includes also NMVOC fugitive emissions for gasoline distribution, storage and at service stations. Emission factors are estimated starting from the emissions communicated in the nineties by the operators and applying the implementation of the abatement technologies as regulated by the relevant European Union legislation. Emissions from distribution of gasoline have been reduced as a result of the application of the DM 16<sup>th</sup> May 1996 (Ministerial Decree 16 May 1996), concerning the adoption of devices for the recovery of vapours and of the applications of measures on deposits of gasoline provided by the DM 21<sup>st</sup> January 2000 (Ministerial Decree 21 January 2000).

#### Flaring in refineries (1B2c)

For that concern emissions from flaring in refineries  $SO_X$ ,  $NO_X$ , NMVOC and CO emission factors have been provided by the relevant industrial association and are assumed constant since 1990 with exception of  $SO_X$  that are yearly estimated on the basis of the amount of sulphur not recovered by the operators and flared. Activity data, in terms of gas flared, is from 2005 derived by the ETS data at plant level.

#### Fugitive emissions from geothermal production (1B2d)

According to the review process NH3, Hg and other heavy metals from geothermal production has been estimated and included in the emission inventory in the 2018 submission. Hg from this category is key category for the base year and the trend.

Emissions are monitored by the Regional relevant environmental agency, ARPAT, where all the geothermal fields are located. Activity data, geothermal energy production, are published in the national energy balance (MSE, several years [a]) while emission data resulting by the monitoring are issued by ARPAT and reported from 2000 on yearly basis (ARPAT, several years). For earlier years emission factors of 2000 have been used.

114

## 3.13.2 Fugitive emissions from natural gas distribution (1.B.2b)

NMVOC emissions from this category is key category for 2016 and trend. NMVOC fugitive emissions from the transport, storage and distributions (including housing) of natural gas (both in pipelines and in the distribution network) are calculated every year on the basis of fugitive natural gas emissions and the content of NMVOC in the gas distributed; NMVOC emissions due to transport and distribution are around 99% of the total. Emissions are calculated starting from methane emissions estimates, considering the annual average percentage of NMVOC in the natural gas distributed in Italy as in Table 3.36. The methodology and references are reported in detail in the NIR (ISPRA, 2018[a]). CH4, CO<sub>2</sub> and NMVOC emissions have been estimated on the basis of activity data published by industry, the national authority, and information collected annually by the Italian gas operators. Emission estimates take into account the information on: the amount of natural gas distributed supplied by the main national company (SNAM); length of pipelines, distinct by low, medium and high pressure and by type, cast iron, grey cast iron, steel or polyethylene pipelines as supplied by the national authority for the gas distribution (AEEG); natural gas losses reported in the national energy balance; methane emissions reported by operators, in their environmental reports (EDISON, SNAM, ENEL, Italgas). NMVOC and CO2 emissions have been calculated considering CO<sub>2</sub> content in the leaked natural gas. Regarding exploration and production, an average emission factor, equal to 0.04 g/m3 gas produced, has been estimated on the basis of emission data communicated by the relevant companies for some years and applied to the whole time series.

The average natural gas chemical composition has been calculated from the composition of natural gas produced and imported. Main parameters of mixed natural gas, as calorific value, molecular weight, and density, have been calculated as well. Data on chemical composition and calorific value are supplied by the main national gas providers for domestic natural gas and for each country of origin.

The following table shows average data for national pipelines natural gas.

Table 3.36 Average compo	sition for	r pipelines r	natural gas ana	l main parameters
--------------------------	------------	---------------	-----------------	-------------------

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2013	2014	2015	2016
HCV (kcal/m <sub>3</sub> )	9,156	9,193	9,215	9,261	9,325	9,273	9,256	9,303	9,351
NCV (kcal/m <sub>3</sub> )	8,255	8,290	8,320	8,354	8,412	8,364	8,348	8,391	8,444
Molecular weight	17.03	17.19	17.37	17.44	17.46	17.32	17.35	17.33	17.52
Density (kg/Sm <sub>3</sub> )	0.72	0.73	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.74
CH <sub>4</sub> (molar %)	94.30	93.36	92.22	91.93	92.03	92.77	92.62	92.72	91.54
NMVOC (molar %)	3.45	4.09	4.84	5.35	5.74	5.04	5.04	5.26	6.17
CO <sub>2</sub> (molar %)	0.22	0.20	0.18	0.49	0.75	0.61	0.75	0.70	0.65
Other no carbon gas (molar %)	2.03	2.34	2.76	2.24	1.48	1.59	1.58	1.32	1.64
CH <sub>4</sub> (weight %)	88.83	87.14	85.16	84.53	84.54	85.94	85.64	85.80	83.79
NMVOC (weight %)	7.33	8.62	10.00	10.73	11.27	9.99	9.92	10.34	12.04
CO <sub>2</sub> (weight %)	0.57	0.51	0.47	1.23	1.89	1.54	1.91	1.78	1.62
Other no carbon gas (weight %)	3.27	3.74	4.37	3.51	2.30	2.53	2.52	2.10	2.56

More in details, emissions are estimated separately for the different phases: transmission in primary pipelines and distribution in low, medium, and high pressure network, losses in pumping stations and in reducing pressure stations (including venting and other accidental losses) with their relevant emission factors, considering also information regarding the length of the pipelines and their type.

Emissions from low pressure distribution include also the distribution of gas at industrial plants and in residential and commercial sector; data on gas distribution are only available at an aggregate level thus not allowing a separate reporting. In addition, emissions from the use of natural gas in housing are estimated and included. Emissions calculated are compared and balanced with emissions reported by the main distribution

operators. Finally the emission estimates for the different phases are summed and reported in the most appropriate category (transmission/distribution).

Table 3.37 provides the trend of natural gas distribution network length for each pipeline material and the average  $CH_4$  emission factor.

**Table 3.37** Length of low and medium pressure distribution network (km) and network emission factors for  $CH_4$  and NMVOC

Material	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2013	2014	2015	2016
Steel and cast iron (km)	102,061	131,271	141,848	154,886	198,706	200,647	202,137	203,116	204,062
Grey cast iron (km)	24,164	22,784	21,314	15,080	4,658	3,727	3,348	2,398	2,113
Polyethylene (km)	775	8,150	12,550	31,530	49,663	53,548	54,639	56,943	57,933
Total (km)	127,000	162,205	175,712	201,496	253,027	257,922	260,124	262,457	264,108
CH <sub>4</sub> EF (kg/km)	1,958	1,417	1,227	1,000	715	660	626	541	525
NMVOC EF (kg/km)	161	143	136	109	97	99	97	87	95

Minor recalculations occurred in the 2018 submission as a consequence of the update of the energy conversion factor according to the international statistics.

No further improvements are planned for this category.

# 4 IPPU - INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES (NFR SECTOR 2)

## 4.1 Overview of the sector

Emission estimates in this category include emissions from all industrial processes and also by-products or fugitive emissions, which originate from these processes. Where emissions are released simultaneously from the production process and from combustion, as in the cement industry, they are estimated separately and included in the appropriate categories, in sector 2 and in sector 1 category 1.A.2. This sector makes important contributions to the emissions of heavy metals, PAH, dioxins and PCB.

Regarding emissions of the main pollutants, in 2016, industrial processes account for 11.1% of  $SO_2$  emissions, 0.6% of  $NO_X$ , 0.1% of  $NH_3$ , 4.7% of NMVOC and 3.0% of CO. About particulate matter, in 2016 this sector accounts for 4.8% of PM10 emissions and 4.0% of PM2.5. Industrial processes make a significant contribution to the total Italian emissions of heavy metals, despite significant reductions since 1990; particularly this sector accounts for 25.1% of Pb emissions, 16.1% of Cd and 30.1% of  $NH_3$ . Regarding  $NH_3$ 0 emissions, 11.5% of  $NH_3$ 1.5% of  $NH_3$ 2.1% of  $NH_3$ 3.1% of  $NH_3$ 3.1% of  $NH_3$ 3.1% of  $NH_3$ 3.1% of  $NH_3$ 4.3% of  $NH_3$ 4.3% of  $NH_3$ 5.1% of  $NH_3$ 5.1% of  $NH_3$ 6.1% of  $NH_3$ 6.1% of  $NH_3$ 7.4% of  $NH_3$ 7.4% of  $NH_3$ 7.4% of  $NH_3$ 8.4% of  $NH_3$ 9.4% of

In 2016, *iron and steel* sector (2C1) is a key category at level assessment for PM10, PM2.5, Pb, Cd, Hg, PAH, PCDD/PCDF and PCB; emissions from *cement production* (2A1) is a key category source for SO<sub>2</sub> emissions as well as other chemical industry (2B10a). Food and beverage industry (2H2) is a key category for NMVOC emissions. In 1990 emissions from *cement production* (2A1) is a key category source for PM10 and PM2.5; *other chemical industry* (2B10a) is a key category for Hg and *iron and steel production* (2C1) is a key category for PM10, PM2.5 Cd, Hg, PAH, PCDD/PCDF and PCB. At trend assessment, *iron and steel* sector is key category for Pb, Hg, PAH, PCDD/PCDF and PCB while *cement production* is a key category for SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

# 4.2 Methodological issues

Methodologies used for estimating emissions from this sector are based on and comply with the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007) and EMEP/EEA guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2013), the IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 1997; IPCC, 2006) and the Good Practice Guidance (IPCC, 2000). Included also in this sector are by-products or fugitive emissions, which originate from industrial processes.

There are different sources relevant to estimate emissions from this sector; activity data are provided by national statistics and industrial associations but a lot of information is supplied directly from industry. In fact, as for the energy sector, references derive from data collected in the framework of the national PRTR reporting obligation, the Large Combustion Plant directives and the European Emissions Trading Scheme. Other small plants communicate their emissions which are also considered individually. These processes have improved the efficiency in collecting data and the exchange of information. Whenever data cannot be straight used for the inventory compilation, they are taken into account as verification practice. Environmental Reports published by industrial associations are also considered in the verification process.

## Mineral products (2A)

In this sector emissions from the following processes are estimated and reported: cement production and lime production.

Cement production (2A1), is considerable for SO<sub>2</sub> and PM10 emissions and accounts for 5.0% and 1.6% of the respective total national emissions in 2016.

During the last 15 years, in Italy, changes in cement production sector have occurred, leading to a more stable structure confirming the leadership for the production in Europe. The oldest plants closed, wet processes were abandoned in favour of dry processes so as to improve the implementation of more modern and efficient technologies. Since 2011 Italy has become the second cement producer country in the EU 27

and the reduction of clinker production has been confirmed in 2016. Actually, 25 companies (62 plants of which: 33 full cycle and 29 grinding plants) operate in this sector: multinational companies and small and medium size enterprises (operating at national or only at local level) are present in the country. As for the localization of the operating plants: 42% is in northern Italy, 16% is in the central regions of the country and 42% is in the southern regions and in the islands (AITEC, 2016). In Italy different types of cement are produced; as for 2016 AITEC, the national cement association, has characterised the national production as follows: 70.4% is CEM II (Portland composite cement); 12.0% is CEM I (Portland ordinary cement); 11.4% is CEM IV (pozzolanic cement) and 3.1% is CEM III (blast furnace cement). Clinker production has been decreasing since 2007 and decreased by 4.9% in 2016 compared to 2015; clinker demand in cement production was about 76% in 2016 (production of clinker out of production of cement). To estimate emissions from cement production, activity data on clinker/cement production are used as provided by ISTAT (ISTAT, several years up to 2008) and MSE (MSE, several years since 2009).

In this category only  $SO_2$  and PM emissions are reported separately from combustion while all the other pollutant emissions are included in the energy sector in 1.A.2f category.

Emission factor for PM10 emissions is equal to 130 g/Mg of cement for the whole time series and is calculated on the basis of plants emission data in the nineties.

Regarding  $SO_2$  emissions, emission factors are derived from activity and emission data supplied directly by the plants in the context of the national PRTR reporting obligation; these figures are available from 2002 and refer both to the combustion and process. In 2003, the total average emission factor derived from the communications by the production plants was equal to 650 g/t of cement produced; this value has been split into 350 g/t for the combustion and 300 g/t for the process in accord with the default EF reported in the 1996 IPCC guidelines. Both these values have been also used for previous years of the time series back to 1995. For the years from 1990 to 1994, the same EF has been used for the combustion process while for estimating emissions from the process an EF equal to 500 g/t, as suggested by the EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook, has been used in consideration of the S content in the prevalent fuel used in the process (coal) at national scale. From 2004 onwards, the total  $SO_2$  EF from cement production plants has been calculated on the basis of the data reported to the national EPER/E-PRTR register, setting the EF for process at 300 g/t and varying the combustion EF accordingly (EF Tot = EF Proc + EF comb).

The remaining categories of mineral products (*lime production* (2A2)) industry represent less than 1% for each pollutant.

As regards 2A3 category *Glass production*, PM and BC emissions are reported under 1A2f and emission factors have been provided by the sectoral research institute of the industrial association (Stazione Sperimentale del Vetro) distinguished by the different types of glass production. On the basis of the last review process (EEA, 2017 [a]), the previous notation key has been replaced by the IE notation key.

About the 2A5 category, following the suggestions of the NEC review more info have been added but different activities have to be dealt separately. As regards 2A5a Quarrying and mining of minerals other than coal, there is no evidence of active mines of the main minerals as those indicated in the Guidebook (bauxite, copper, manganese and zinc). All these mines closed before 1990 for economical reasons. At the same time there is no available data to apply a Tier 1 on other mineral mines. Moreover, during the review process, the TERT noted that the issue is below the threshold of significance for a technical correction. As for the category 2A5b Construction and Demolition, no statistical data are available (as annual surfaces) to allow an estimation. Only economical data are provided by the National Institute of Statistics and, as reported in the review report, "The TERT has not been able to assess whether this issue is below or above the threshold of significance for a technical correction, due to the lack of information on activity data provided by the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook and the high variability among countries of the implied emission factors based on socioeconomic variables", because of that further investigations are under way. For the category 2A5c Storage, Handling and Transport of Mineral Products, PM2.5 emissions have been estimated and reported in the sectoral categories 2A1 Cement Production and 2A2 Lime Production. The emissions from storage, handling and transport for other minerals than the aforementioned ones might not be included in the inventory because this potential under-estimate is likely to be below the threshold of significance.

## Chemical industry (2B)

Emissions of this sector derive from organic and inorganic chemicals processes and are usually not significant except for  $SO_X$  emissions from the production of sulphuric acid and Hg emissions from chlorine production. Emission factors derive from data collected in the framework of the national EPER/E-PRTR register as well as from EMEP/EEA and EPA Guidebook.

As already mentioned, other chemical industry (2B10a) was key category for Hg emissions in 1990 and for SO<sub>x</sub> emissions in 2016. Hg emissions are released from chlorine production facility with mercury cells process (EUROCHLOR, 1998). Total chlorine production in Italy amounted, in 1990, to 1,042,921 tonnes and reduced in 2016 to 115,670 tonnes. Activity production data are supplied by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT) and published in the official national statistics and since 2002 data have also been collected at facility level in the national EPER/E-PRTR register. To estimate emissions from 1990 to 2001. the average emission factor supplied by EUROCHLOR for western Europe chlor-alkali production plants (EUROCHLOR, 2001) has been used, while since 2002 emission data have been supplied directly by the production facilities in the framework of the national EPER/E-PRTR. The average emission factor decreased from 1.11 g Hg/t in 2002 to 0.09 g Hg/t in 2016. The reduction observed in emissions for the last years is a consequence of both the conversion of production plants from the mercury cells process to the membrane technology and also the suspension of production at the existing facilities. In 2007 seven facilities carried out the chlor-alkali production, one facility had the membrane process in place, one facility was replacing mercury cells with membrane process while in the other five facilities the production was still based on the mercury cell process (Legambiente, 2007). In 2015 five facilities carried out chlor-alkali production, in four of them the membrane process was in place while one facility still operated the mercury cell process.

Emissions from sulphuric acid production, also reported in *other chemical industry* (2B10a) account for 4.6% of total  $SO_X$  emissions in 2016. Activity production data are supplied by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT) and published in the official national statistics and since 2004 data have also been collected at facility level in the national EPER/E-PRTR register. Emission factors from 1990 to 1994 and from 2002 are derived from emission data supplied directly by the production facilities in the framework of the CORINAIR inventory project and of the national EPER/E-PRTR, respectively.

On the basis of the last review process NOx, SOx, CO, PM and BC emissions from 2B7 *Soda ash production* have been estimated. In Italy there is only one plant producing soda ash and it is in the framework of the EPRTR reporting. In particular, as regards PM emissions, the operator has never reported PM10 emissions which implies that emissions are under the ceiling (50 t/year). As reported in the Guidebook measurements made in some plants indicate that more than 75 % of the dust emissions are relatively large particles ( $> 10 \mu m$ ) and that the contribution of PM10 is relatively low. Moreover the operator in its annual environmental report estimates TSP emissions (around 200 t/y) reporting explicitly that no PM10 emissions occur. The achieved estimates, using the EMEP/EEA EFs, produced figures of around 20 Mg (PM10) consistently with respect to the E-PRTR thresholds.

#### *Metal production (2C)*

The main activities in this sector are those regarding the *iron and steel* production. The main processes involved in iron and steel production are those related to sinter and blast furnace plants, to basic oxygen and electric furnaces and to rolling mills.

The sintering process is a pre-treatment step in the production of iron where fine particles of metal ores are agglomerated. Agglomeration of the fine particles is necessary to increase the passageway for the gases during the blast furnace process and to improve physical features of the blast furnace burden. Coke and a mixture of sinter, lump ore and fluxes are introduced into the blast furnace. In the furnace the iron ore is increasingly reduced and liquid iron and slag are collected at the bottom of the furnace, from where they are tapped. The combustion of coke provides both the carbon monoxide (CO) needed for the reduction of iron oxide into iron and the additional heat needed to melt the iron and impurities. The resulting material, pig iron (and also scrap), is transformed into steel in subsequent furnaces which may be a basic oxygen furnace (BOF) or electric arc furnace (EAF). Oxygen steelmaking allows the oxidation of undesirable impurities contained in the metallic feedstock by blowing pure oxygen. The main elements thus converted into oxides are carbon, silicon, manganese, phosphorus and sulphur.

In an electric arc furnace steel is produced from polluted scrap. The scrap is mainly produced by cars shredding and does not have a constant quality, even if, thanks to the selection procedures, the scrap quality is better year by year. The iron and steel cycle is closed by rolling mills with production of long products, flat products and pipes.

In 1990 there were four integrated iron and steel plants in Italy. In 2016, there are only two of the above mentioned plants, one of which lacks BOF; oxygen steel production represents about 24.3% of the total production and the arc furnace steel the remaining 75.7% (FEDERACCIAI, several years). Currently, long products represent about 44% of steel production in Italy, flat products about 44%, and pipe the remaining 12%. Most of the flat production derives from only one integrated iron and steel plant while, in steel plants equipped with electric ovens almost all located in the northern regions, long products are predominantly produced (e.g carbon steel, stainless steels) and seamless pipes (only one plant) (FEDERACCIAI, several years).

Basic information for *Iron and steel production* derives from different sources in the period 1990-2016. Activity data are supplied by official statistics published in the national statistics yearbook (ISTAT, several years) and by the sectoral industrial association (FEDERACCIAI, several years).

For the integrated plants, emission and production data have been communicated by the two largest plants for the years 1990-1995 in the framework of the CORINAIR emission inventory, distinguished by sinter, blast furnace and BOF, and by combustion and process emissions. From 2000 production data have been supplied by all the plants in the framework of the ETS scheme, for the years 2000-2004 disaggregated for sinter, blast furnace and BOF plants, from 2005 specifying carbonates and fuels consumption. For 2002-2015 data have also been supplied by all the four integrated iron and steel plants in the framework of the EPER/E-PRTR registry but not distinguished between combustion and process. National experts have also been involved in the process of elaboration of the "monitoring and control plan" for the largest integrated plant in Italy in the framework of IPPC permit. Qualitative information and documentation available on the plants allowed reconstructing their history including closures or modifications of part of the plants; additional qualitative information regarding the plants, collected and checked for other environmental issues or directly asked to the plant, permitted to individuate the main driving of the emission trends for pig iron and steel productions. Emissions from lime production in steel making industries are reported in 1A2f Manufacturing Industries and Construction category.

In 2016, *iron and steel sector* (2C1) is key category for PM10, PM2.5, Pb, Cd, Hg, PAH, PCDD/PCDF and PCB. In Table 4.1 relevant emission factors are reported.

**Table 4.1** *Emission factors for iron and steel for the year 2016* 

		PM10 [g/Mg]	PM2.5 [g/Mg]	Cd [mg/Mg]	Hg [mg/Mg]	Pb [mg/Mg]	PCB [mg/Mg]	PAH [mg/Mg]	PCDD/PCDF [μg T-eq/Mg]
Blast furnace charging		60	37.5						
Pig iron tapping		41.4	25.9	0.3	0.3	15		950	
Basic oxygen	Areal	62	54.3	25	3	850	3.6		
furnace	Point	122	106.8	25	3	850	3.6		
Electric arc furnace		124	108.5	50	150	3450	3.6	1.9	4.45
D = 11::11 =	Areal	59	45.9					125	
Rolling mills	Point	28.2	21.9					125	
Sinter plant	Areal	16	12.8						
(except combustion)	Point	6.0	4.8						

PM10 emission factors for integrated plants derive from personal communication of the largest Italian producer of pig iron and steel (ILVA, 1997) while PM10 emission factor for electric arc furnace derives from a sectoral study (APAT, 2003). The Emission factors manual PARCOM-ATMOS (TNO, 1992), the EMEP/Corinair Guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2006) and the IPPC Bref Report (IPPC, 2001) provide emission factors for heavy metals while a sectoral study (APAT, 2003) provides Cd emission factors for electric arc furnace.

Regarding POPs emissions, emission factors usually originate from EMEP/CORINAIR (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007, EMEP/CORINAIR, 2006) except those relating to PAH and PCDD/PCDF from electric arc furnace that derive from direct measurements in some Italian production plants (ENEA-AIB-MATT, 2002). Dioxin emissions for sinter plant, and other sources within steelworks manufacturing oxygen steel occur during the combustion process and they are measured to the stack; emissions are therefore reported in the energy sector in 1.A.2a category. In 2016 the average emission factor is equal to 0.19 micrograms TEQ per Mg of sinter produced. EF is calculated yearly on the basis of measurements done in the two existing sinter plant in Italy.

As for other iron and steel activities, a series of technical meetings with the most important Italian manufacturers was held in the framework of the national PRTR in order to clarify methodologies for estimating POPs emissions. In the last years, a strict cooperation with some local environmental agencies allowed the acquisition of new data, the assessment of these data is still ongoing and improvements in emission estimates are expected for the next years.

Emission factors used in 1990 estimates generally derive from Guidebook EMEP/CORINAIR.

The remaining categories of metal production industry represent about 0% for each pollutant because of the shutdown of several plants, in particular those linked to the non ferrous production.

As for the production of lead, zinc and copper (2C5, 2C6 and 2C7a categories), at the moment emissions are reported in the energy sector because up to now there were not information to distinguish between energy and process emissions. In the last year, thanks to ETS data, it has been possible to separate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in these two components and Italy is investigating the possibility of extension to other pollutants for the next submissions. In particular, in Italy no production of primary copper has ever occurred while, as regards lead and zinc, there is a sole integrated plant for the primary productions and this makes it difficult to ensure a good breakdown.

### Other production (2G - 2H - 2L)

In 2H sector, non-energy emissions from *pulp and paper* as well as *food and drink* production, especially wine and bread, are reported. Lead emissions from *batteries manufacturing* can be found in 2L sector. 2G sector includes NMVOC emissions due to the *use of lubricants*.

Emissions from these categories are usually negligible except NMVOC emissions from *food and drink* (2H2) accounting for 2.6% of the national total in 2016. Emissions from this category refer to the processes in the production of bread, wine, beer and spirits. Activity data are derived from official statistics supplied by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT) and relevant industrial associations. Time series of bread production is reconstructed for the '90 years on the basis of family surveys from the national Institute of statistics (ISTAT) while from 1998 data are those reported in the PRODCOM statistics officially communicated by ISTAT to EUROSTAT. PRODCOM data collection has improved along the years producing more reliable figures. In the '00 years, bread production has changed from fresh artisanal production to a more industrial oriented production, without any impact on the total. For wine, beer and spirits the statistical information on activity data is much more reliable and their trends are driven by the seasonal variation (for wine) or market demand (for beer) while for spirits it is mostly driven by a change in the personal habits and relative consumptions. Emission factors are those reported in the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook and, in lack of national information, they are assumed constant for the whole time series (CORINAIR, 1994; EMEP/CORINAIR, 2006).

Pulp and paper industry (2H1) referred to the acid sulphite and neutral sulphite semi-chemical processes (up to 2007) and only to the neutral sulphite semi-chemical process for 2008 and 2009 while kraft process was not present in Italy. Emissions of  $NO_X$ , NMVOC,  $SO_X$  and PM were estimated for those years on the

basis of activity data provided by the two Italian production plants. In 2008 the bleached sulphite pulp production stopped and in 2009 the neutral sulphite semi-chemical pulp process plant also closed (reconversion of the plant is currently under negotiation). So there was no production of pulp and paper after 2009 and no emissions to be estimated. For acid sulphite process emissions are calculated for SO<sub>X</sub>, NMVOC and NO<sub>X</sub> on the basis of EFs available in the Bref report, for PM10 on the basis of EF in the USEPA Guidebook (54% PST) while for PM2.5 and BC emission profiles reported in the EMEP/EEA 2016 Guidebook (Table 3.3) have been used. For neutral sulphite semi-chemical process the emission factors used through the time period referred for SO<sub>X</sub>, NMVOC and NO<sub>X</sub> to CORINAIR 1992, EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook, and for NO<sub>X</sub>, from 1996, data were communicated by the operator of the plant.

NMVOC emissions include emissions from chipboard production where activity data are those in the FAOSTAT database for particle board and the emission factor 500 g/Mg product is from "Corinair 1992 Default Emission Factors Handbook".

Regarding 2G category (other product use) only NMVOC emissions have been estimated. Although there are potential emissions from the use of tobacco and fireworks emission estimates have not yet been implemented. For that regard fireworks assuming a consumption of 15,000 Mg of explosives (as resulting by an Italian reference of 2004) and applying the default emission factor it results in around 800 Mg of PM2.5 emitted per year (equal to annual emissions from public power plants and refineries together). For tobacco, according to some statistics on tobacco sold in the ninety years it seems that the annual amount of tobacco sold is around 100,000 Mg per year resulting in 2,700 Mg of PM2.5 emissions which is equivalent to the annual emission estimates of Italian light duty vehicles. For both activities no statistical data sets are available to estimates complete emission time series. Italy deems these estimates to be insufficiently reliable for the scope of the emission inventory.

In 2L category lead emissions from batteries manufacturing are reported. Activity data are provided by the non ferrous metal industrial association (ASSOMET) and refer to the amount of lead used for the batteries production; the emission factor has been provided by the relevant production industrial association (ANIE) calculated on the basis of average lead concentration to the chimney, equal to 0,2 mg/Nmc, the average flow (equal to 15 Nmc/h/tonnes Pb) and the annual number of hours.

# 4.3 Time series and key categories

The following sections present an outline of the main key categories, and relevant trends, in the industrial process sector. Table 4.2 reports the key categories identified in the sector.

1 abic 4.2	Keyta	negories	in the th	iausiriai	processe	S SECIOI	in 2010							
	2A1	2A2	2B1	2B2	2B3	2B6	2B7	2B10a	2C1	2C2	2G	2H1	2H2	2L
							%							
$SO_x$	4.98		0.010			0.23	0.13	4.60	1.13					
$NO_x$			0.04	0.04	0.003	0.007	0.02	0.23	0.30					
$NH_3$			0.00	0.000			0.10	0.02						
NMVOC			0.01					0.28	0.34		1.33	0.14	2.62	
co			0.004				0.27	0.51	2.18					
PM10	1.30	0.64				0.00	0.01	0.23	2.59				0.01	
PM2.5	1.55	0.15				0.00	0.01	0.13	2.52					
BC	0.33	0.00				0.00	0.00	0.02	0.10					
Pb									24.25					0.83
Cd								0.95	15.15					
Hg								0.12	30.02					

 Table 4.2
 Key categories in the industrial processes sector in 2016

	2A1	2A2	2B1	2B2	2B3	2B6	2B7	2B10a	2C1	2C2	2G	2H1	2H2	2L
DAIL							%		11.54					
РАН														
Dioxin									28.27					
НСВ														
PCB									44.33	3				

Note: key categories are shaded in blue

There is a general reduction of emissions in the period 1990 - 2016 for most of the pollutants due to the implementation of different directives at European and national level. A strong decrease is observed especially in the chemical industry due to the introduction of relevant technological improvements.

#### Mineral products (2A)

As above mentioned, PM10 emission factor for cement production is set constant from 1990 to 2016 while  $SO_2$  emission factor reduced from 1990 to 1995 and is set constant in the subsequent years. Consequently,  $SO_2$  and PM10 emissions trends follow that of the activity data.

In Table 4.3, activity data, SO<sub>2</sub> and PM10 emissions from cement production are reported.

**Table 4.3** Activity data, SO<sub>2</sub> and PM10 emissions from cement production, 1990 – 2016 (Gg)

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Activity data [Gg]	42,414	35,432	41,119	47,291	34,283	32,800	26,244	23,083	21,542	20,825	19,325
SO <sub>2</sub> emissions (Gg)	21.2	10.6	12.3	14.2	10.3	9.8	7.9	6.9	6.5	6.2	5.8
PM10 emissions											
[Gg]	5.5	4.6	5.3	6.1	4.5	4.3	3.4	3.0	2.8	2.7	2.5

#### Chemical industry (2B)

Other chemical industry (2B10a) was a key category for Hg emissions in 1990 and for SO<sub>x</sub> in 2016. Hg emissions refer to chlorine production with mercury cells process; in Table 4.4, activity data and Hg emissions from chlorine production are reported. As reported in paragraph 4.1, to estimate emissions from 1990 to 2001, the average emission factor supplied by EUROCHLOR for western Europe chlor-alkali production plants has been used, while from 2002 emission data have been supplied directly from the production plants in the framework of the national EPER/E-PRTR reporting obligation. The average emission factor decreased from 1.11 g Hg/t in 2002 to 0.09 g Hg/t in 2016. The reduction observed in Hg emissions for the last years is a consequence of the conversion of production plants from the mercury cells process to the membrane technology but it depends also on suspensions of production processes at some facilities.

**Table 4.4** Activity data and Hg emissions from chlorine production, 1990 – 2016

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Activity data											
[Gg]	1,043	869	786	535	258	279	298	226	221	218	116
Hg emissions [Mg]	2.82	1.65	0.94	0.48	0.12	0.16	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.01

SO<sub>X</sub> emissions are prevalently from carbon black production. Sulphuric acid production, titanium oxide,

other sulphate and phtalic anhydride productions are other sources reported in 2B10a and emitting SO<sub>X</sub>. Activity data and emission factors for these sources are collected at plant level on annual basis.

#### *Metal production (2C)*

Emission trend of HMs, PCB and PCDD/PCDF is driven mainly by the electric arc furnaces iron and steel production which increased from 15.1 Mt in 1990 to 19.6 Mt in 2008; in 2009, because of the economic crisis, steel production from electric arc has decreased substantially and since 2010 the production has increased again.

In Table 4.5, activity data and HM, PCB and PCDD/PCDF emissions from electric arc furnace (EAF) and from the whole sector 2C1 are reported, but dioxins emissions from sinter plant are reported in the energy sector in 1.A.2f category. In 2016 average emission factor is equal to 0.19 micrograms TEQ per Mg of sinter produced. EF is calculated yearly on the basis of measurements done in the two existing sinter plant in Italy.

<b>Table 4.5</b> Activity data and HMs, PC	B and PCDD/PCDF emissions from e	lectric arc furnace, 1990 – 2016
--	----------------------------------	----------------------------------

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Steel production <b>EAF</b> [kt]	15,102	16,107	15,879	17,661	17,115	18,795	17,912	17,250	17,191	17,255	17,704
Cd emissions <b>EAF</b> [Mg]	1.1	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Cd emissions <b>2C1</b> [Mg]	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Hg emissions <b>EAF</b> [Mg]	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7
Hg emissions 2C1 [Mg]	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7
Pb emissions <b>EAF</b> [Mg]	52.1	55.6	54.8	60.9	59.0	64.8	61.8	59.5	59.3	59.5	61.1
Pb emissions <b>2C1</b> [Mg]	61.1	65.7	64.1	71.0	66.5	73.4	69.9	65.4	64.9	63.7	66.0
PCB emissions <b>EAF</b> [kg]	54.4	58.0	57.2	63.6	61.6	67.7	64.5	62.1	61.9	62.1	63.7
PCB emissions 2C1 [kg]	91.7	100.0	95.8	105.7	92.7	103.4	98.1	86.7	85.4	79.3	84.1
PCDD/PCDF emissions											
<b>EAF</b> [g T-eq]	67.2	71.7	70.7	78.6	76.2	83.6	79.7	76.8	76.5	76.8	78.8
PCDD/PCDF emissions											
<b>2C1</b> [g T-eq]	67.2	71.7	70.7	78.6	76.2	83.6	79.7	76.8	76.5	76.8	78.8

For Pb and Hg, the same EFs have been used for the whole time series (derived by the EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook), while for Cd a national emission factor, equal to 50 mg/t, was available thanks to a sectoral study (APAT, 2003) and refers to the years after 1997.

This study shows range < 1-54 mg/t and the value set to 50 mg/t was chosen for conservative reason being more consistent with the old one; this value should include technology progresses occurred in the iron and steel production activities in those years. In lack of information for the years backwards, the default CORINAIR EF was used.

For PCB and PCDD/PCDF, emission factors are constant from 1990 to 2016 and emission trends are ruled by activity data.

Following the decision 2012/17 of the Executive Body of the Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollution, that requests Italy to submit information concerning the status and details of its work to improve the emission inventory of PAH, Italy in recent years has reviewed the estimates regarding PAH major sources. In the 2013 submission different recalculations have been performed in the energy and waste sector, emissions from iron and steel production have been revised in the 2014 submission. The most important update regards pig iron tapping emission factor considering, since 2000, the abatement due to fabric filters and the relevant EF derived from the Guidebook EMEP/CORINAIR 2006 (0.95 g/Mg). Investigations on the largest integrated plant in Italy confirmed the installation of fabric filters on each point

of emission related to pig iron tapping (MATTM, 2011). As regards EAF too, EF has been update on the basis of a sectoral study (APAT, 2003) which reports the development of abatement technologies in the '90s in Italy and the consequent evolution in the plants with the installation of fabric filters; but in this case the update is referred to 1990-1999 because the EF used in previous submissions concerned already abated emissions.

In Table 4.6, activity data and PAH emissions from integrated plants and from the whole sector 2C1 are reported.

Table 4.6 Steel production data and PAH emissions from integrated plants, 1990 – 2016

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Pig iron											<u>.</u>
production [Gg]	11,852	11,678	11,209	11,424	8,555	9,837	9,424	6,933	6,434	5,051	6,054
Steel production											
BOF [Gg]	10,365	11,664	10,744	11,688	8,635	9,940	9,345	6,830	6,523	4,763	5,669
PAH emissions											
i.p.* [Mg]	41.9	41.3	11.7	12.1	9.2	10.5	10.1	7.6	7.1	5.8	6.8
PAH emissions											
2C1 [Mg]	44.9	44.5	14.3	15.1	11.9	13.5	13.0	10.2	9.7	8.2	9.4

<sup>\*</sup>i.p.: integrated plants

#### Other production (2G - 2H - 2L)

Emissions from these categories are usually negligible except for NMVOC emissions from *food and drink* (2H2) accounting for 2.6% of the national total. Emissions from this category refer to the processes in the production of bread, wine, beer and spirits. Emission factors are assumed constant for the whole time series. In Table 4.7, activity data and NMVOC emissions from sector 2H2 are reported.

**Table 4.7** Activity data and NMVOC emissions from sector 2H2, 1990 – 2016

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Activity data - Bread [Gg] Activity data - Wine [10 <sup>6</sup>	4,153	3,882	3,565	4,109	4,161	3,737	3,764	3,486	3,422	3,932	3,717
dm <sup>3</sup> ] Activity data – Beer [10 <sup>6</sup>	5,521	5,620	5,409	5,057	4,673	4,270	4,107	4,797	4,209	4,874	5,102
dm <sup>3</sup> ] Activity data – Spirits [10 <sup>6</sup>	1,215	1,199	1,258	1,280	1,281	1,341	1,348	1,326	1,352	1,429	1,452
$dm^3$ ]	268	232	206	161	115	103	102	92	98	98	100
NMVOC emissions [Gg]	31.7	29.2	26.8	27.5	25.9	23.3	23.3	22.1	21.7	24.4	23.7

## 4.4 QA/QC and verification

Activity data and emissions reported under EU-ETS and the national EPER/EPRTR register are compared to the information provided by the industrial associations. The general outcome of this verification step shows consistency among the information collected under different legislative frameworks and information provided by the relevant industrial associations.

Every five years emissions are disaggregated at regional and provincial level and figures are compared with results obtained by regional bottom up inventories. PM10 emissions disaggregated at local level are also used as input for air quality modelling. The distribution of PM10 emissions from the *industrial processes* sector at NUTS3 level for 2015 is reported in Figure 4.1; methodologies are described in the relevant publication (ISPRA, 2009).




Figure 4.1 PM10 emissions from industrial processes in 2015 (t)

## 4.5 Recalculations

#### Chemical industry (2B)

Correction for PM2.5 and BC emissions from  $TiO_2$  production because of an error in 1990 – 2004 period. Some other recalculations because of the update of PM10 EF for 2014 and Hg EF from chlorine production in 2013.

#### *Metal production (2C)*

Recalculations occurred in 2015 estimates because of the update of activity data and for a correction in PM and BC emissions between 1990-1996.

## Other production (2G - 2H - 2L)

Recalculations occurred in 2015 for NMVOC emissions because of the update of activity data for bread, wine and beer production as well as for batteries manufacturing.

# 4.6 Planned improvements

In the last years, a series of technical meetings with the most important Italian manufacturers was held in the framework of the national PRTR in order to clarify methodologies for estimating POPs emissions.

Following the suggestions of the last review in the framework of NEC Directive (EEA, 2017 [a]), further investigations are under way for the categories 2A5a and 2A5b regarding reliable activity data.

## 5 IPPU - SOLVENT AND OTHER PRODUCT USE (NFR SECTOR 2)

## 5.1 Overview of the sector

In this sector all non combustion emissions from other industrial sectors than manufacturing and energy industry are reported.

Emissions are related to the use of solvent in paint application, degreasing and dry cleaning, chemical products, manufacture and processing and other solvent use, including emissions from road paving with asphalt and asphalt roofing activities.

NMVOC emissions are estimated from all the categories of the sector as well as PM for polyester and polyvinylchloride processing, in the chemical product category, and for asphalt processes and PAH emissions from the preservation of wood in the other solvent use.

The categories included in the sector are specified in the following.

- 2D3a Domestic solvent use includes emissions from the use of solvent in household cleaning and car care products as well as cosmetics.
- 2D3b Road paving with asphalt includes emissions from the production and use of asphalt for road paving.
- 2D3c Asphalt roofing includes emissions from the manufacturing of roofing products and the blowing of asphalt.
- 2D3d1 Decorative coating includes emissions from paint application for construction and buildings, domestic use and wood products.
- 2D3d2 Industrial coating includes emissions from paint application for manufacture of automobiles, car repairing, coil coating, boat building and other industrial paint application.
- 2D3e Degreasing includes emissions from the use of solvents for metal degreasing and cleaning.
- 2D3f Dry cleaning includes emissions from the use of solvent in cleaning machines.
- 2D3g Chemical products, manufacture and processing covers the emissions from the use of chemical products such as polyurethane and polystyrene foam processing, manufacture of paints, inks and glues, textile finishing and leather tanning.
- 2D3h Printing includes emissions from the use of solvent in the printing industry
- 2D3i Other product use addresses emissions from glass wool enduction, printing industry, fat, edible and non-edible oil extraction, preservation of wood, application of glues and adhesives, vehicles dewaxing.


No other emissions from the sector occur.

NMVOC emissions from 2D3a, 2D3d, 2D3g and 2D3i are key categories in 2016; the same categories, plus 2D3e, were also key categories in 1990. For the trend 1990-2016, 2D3aand 2D3g result as key categories.

The sector accounts, in 2016, for 35.0% of total national NMVOC emissions, whereas in 1990 the weight out of the total was equal to 30.7%. Total sectoral NMVOC emissions decreased by 48.6%, between 1990 and 2016.

PM, BC and PAH emissions are also estimated but they account for less than 1%.

In Figure 5.1 the share of NMVOC emissions of the sector is reported for the years 1990 and 2016.



**Figure 5.1** Share of NMVOC emissions for the solvent use sector in 1990 and 2016

# 5.2 Methodological issues

The sector is characterized by a multitude of activities which implies that the collection of activity data and emission factors is laborious. A lot of contacts have been established in different sectors with industrial associations and documentation has been collected even though improvements are still needed especially in some areas.

Emissions of NMVOC from solvent use have been estimated according to the methodology reported in the EMEP/EEA guidebook, applying both national and international emission factors (Vetrella, 1994; EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007; EMEP/EEA, 2016). Country specific emission factors provided by several accredited sources have been used extensively, together with data from the national EPER/PRTR registry; in particular, for paint application (Offredi, several years; FIAT, several years), solvent use in dry cleaning (ENEA/USLRMA, 1995), solvent use in textile finishing and in the tanning industries (Techne, 1998; Regione Toscana, 2001; Regione Campania, 2005; GIADA, 2006). Basic information from industry on percentage reduction of solvent content in paints and other products has been applied to EMEP/EEA emission factors in order to evaluate the reduction in emissions during the considered period.

A more detailed description is reported for the 2016 key categories of NMVOC emissions in the following sections.

#### Domestic solvent use (2D3a)

The category comprises a lot of subcategories whose emissions, specifically NMVOC, originate from the use of solvent in household cleaning and car care products as well as cosmetics.

Emissions from this category have been calculated using a detailed methodology, based on VOC content per type of consumer product. Emissions from domestic solvent use comprise emissions from the use of products for household and cleaning and for cosmetics which are derived as described in the following.

#### Activity data

Activity data are expressed as the sum, in tonnes, of household and cleaning products and cosmetics.

Household and cleaning products: data are communicated by the National Association of Detergents and Specialties for industry and home care (Assocasa, several years) either by personal communications or Association Reports and refer to the consumption of soaps and detergents and cleaning and maintenance

products.

Cosmetics: data are the sum of cosmetics products in aerosol form and other cosmetics.

Figures of cosmetics in aerosol form are provided by the Italian Aerosol Association (AIA, several years [a] and [b]) and refer to the number of pieces of products sold for personal care (spray deodorants, hair styling foams and other hair care products, shaving foams, and other products). These figures are then converted in tonnes by means of the capacity of the different cosmetics containers.

Figures for other cosmetics products are derived by the Production Statistics Database (Prodcom) supplied by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT, several years [a] and [b]) by difference with the previous aerosol data.

Time series of cosmetics production is reconstructed by means of the annual production index, considering the year 2000 as the base year because this is the year where production national statistics and Prodcom data coincide. The next step is the calculation of apparent consumption taking into account import-export data derived by the National Association of Cosmetic Companies (UNIPRO, several years). Since these figures also include aerosol cosmetics, the amount of aerosol cosmetics is subtracted.

Final consumption is therefore estimated.

### Emission factors

NMVOC emission factors are expressed in percentage of solvent contained in products.

Household and cleaning products: figures are communicated by the relevant industrial association, ASSOCASA, by personal communications. For leather, shoes, wood etc. and car maintenance products, figures are taken from BiPro Association. For insecticides and disinfectants, emission factors derive from national studies at local level.

Cosmetics: for aerosol cosmetics, the emission factor is communicated by the Italian Aerosol Association for the year 2004, and supposed constant from 1995. For other cosmetics, information from BiPro has been considered (EC report 'Screening study to identify reductions in VOC emissions due to the restrictions in the VOC content of products', year 2002 (EC, 2002)), and supposed constant from 1996.

#### Decorative coating (2D3d1)

The category includes NMVOC emissions from the application of paint for construction and buildings, domestic use and wood products.

Activity data on the consumption of paint for construction and buildings and related domestic use are provided by the Ministry of Productive Activities for 1990 and 1991 (MICA, 1999) and updated on the basis of production figures provided annually by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT, several years [a] and [b]).

From 2007 onwards, data are also provided by SSOG (Stazione Sperimentale per le industrie degli Oli e dei Grassi, *Experimental Station for Oils and Fats Industries*), which collects information and data regarding national production and imports for paint categories set out in the directive 2004/42/EC on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents in certain paints and varnishes and vehicle refinishing products. The purpose of this directive is to limit the total content of VOCs in certain paints and varnishes and vehicle refinishing products in order to prevent or reduce air pollution resulting from the contribution of VOCs to the formation of tropospheric ozone. The directive sets maximum VOCs content limit values for some paints and varnishes.

As for emission factors, those for construction and buildings are taken from the EMEP/EEA guidebook and are considered constant till 2009, whereas the default values for domestic use vary in consideration of the different share between solvent and water content in paint throughout the years. In particular, the variation of emission factor from 1990 to 2000 is equal to 35%-65% up to 25%-75% in 2000, on the basis of qualitative information supplied by industry on the increase of water based paints products in the market. From 2010, emission factors are calculated taking into account maximum VOC content limit values for paint

and varnishes set out in Annex II A of Directive 2004/42/EC and data collected by SSOG. The comparison of national emission estimates for this category with those produced by IIASA for 2010 resulted in similar values.

On the other hand, information on activity data and emission factors for emissions from wood products are provided by the national association of wood finishing (Offredi, several years). Emission factors have been calculated for 1990, 1998 and 2003 on the basis of information provided by the industrial association distinguishing the different type of products which contain different solvent percentages. Data have been supplied also for the years 2005 and 2006. Actually, we are keeping constant the 2006 value unless the association provides us with updated information. For previous years, values have been interpolated.

In this category, emissions from paint application in wood are one of the biggest contributors to national NMVOC emissions and the relevant share has grown considerably in recent years. NMVOC emissions due to the use of paint and other products except from industrial coating could not be controlled properly in the past since the EU Directive 2004/42/EC entered into force. This directive, transposed in Italian legislation in 2004, sets out maximum VOC content for many paint, varnishes and vehicle refinishing products that had to be achieved in two steps. The early limit values, to be respected from 2007 till 2009, did not lead to a significant reduction of NMVOC emissions, while the latest values, that had to be respected from 2010 onwards, brought to a significant decrease.

#### Industrial coating (2D3d2)

The category includes emissions from paint application for manufacture of automobiles, car repairing, coil coating, boat building and other industrial paint application.

Activity data on the number of vehicles are provided by the National Automobile Association (ACI, several years) in the Annual Statistical Report and the emission factors are those reported by the main automobile producers on the relevant activity in their environmental reports and communicated from 2003 in the framework of E-PRTR.

For the paint used in car repairing, activity data are provided by the Ministry of Productive Activities for 1990 and 1991 (MICA, 1999) and updated on the basis of production figures provided annually by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT, several years [a] and [b]). The default emission factor (provided by the EMEP guidebook) used from 1990 to 1995 equal to 700 g/kg paint is also confirmed by the European guidelines for car repairing provided by the Conseil Europeen de l'Industrie des Peintures (CEPE, 1999). The reduction of the emission factor in 1999 (13% of 1995) is applied on the basis of information on different shares between solvent and water based paint throughout the years provided by the national study PINTA, Piano nazionale di tutela della qualità dell'aria (ENEA, 1997). From 1996 to 1999 the reduction is linear. From 1999 to 2006 the value is kept constant. From 2007 onwards emission factors have been calculated taking into account the maximum VOC content limit values for paint and varnishes set out in Annex II B of Directive 2004/42/EC and data collected by SSOG. The Italian implied emission factor is the weighted average of the different products used in this activity where data are collected at detailed level and communicated within the European Directive. The trend is driven by the increase in the last years of the use of primers and special finishes which represent in 2015 around 60% of the total products used compared to around 20% in 2010. Similar trend is noted for the construction and building and domestic paints where the variability is mainly due to the percentage of solvent based paint product used out of the total paints.

Concerning coil coating, boat building and other industrial paint application, activity data are provided by the Ministry of Productive Activities for 1990 and 1991 (MICA, 1999) and updated annually by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT, several years [a] and [b]). Emission factors are taken from the EMEP guidebook considering the national legislation where relevant.

Emission factors of the other industrial paint application from 1990 to 1995 are constant and derive from the 1999 EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook. The reduction of the emission factor from 1996 to 2004 is applied on the basis of information on different share of paints throughout the years provided by the national study PINTA. From 2010, the value of the 1999 Guidebook has been chosen considering the further reduction of the sector (in PINTA, the reduction for 2005 with respect to 1995 is equal to 37%, and for 2010 64%; considering the default emission factor 250 g/kg of paint, the reduction is equal to 53%).

NMVOC emissions from this category are decreasing constantly from the nineties, when all industrial installations have been subjected to permits from local authorities. Since then, most of the installations have to comply with emission limit values and technological requirements imposed at regional level, taking in account the EU directives on industrial emissions (i.e. Directive 99/13/EC on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents in certain activities and installations (EC, 1999)) and often going beyond the European legislation.

With regard to car repairing the emission cut from 2007 onwards is mainly due to the maximum contents of VOC set by EU Directive 2004/42/EC (EC, 2004).

#### Degreasing (2D3e)

NMVOC emissions have been estimated for this category. The emission factor used (900 gNMVOC/Mg solvent) and refers to the percentage of NMVOC emitted per tones of solvent used and it is not directly comparable to those provided in the Guidebook (700g/kg cleaning product). According to the information provided by the National Industrial Association, due to technological improvements, the amount of solvent used in the products decreased during the period whereas it has been assumed that the percentage of NMVOC emissions remains constant. The EF used in the inventory comes from the "Corinair 1992 Default Emission Factors Handbook" and assumes that around 10% of the solvent remains in the product or is destroyed. Activity data, solvent used, are also provided by the relevant industrial association (Federchimica, several years).

## Dry cleaning (2D3f)

Concerning dry cleaning, activity data, equal to 30,000 machines, remain unchanged throughout the time series and the emission factor is calculated based on the allocation of machines to closed-circuit (CCM) and open-circuit (OCM). Different amounts of solvent are used in these machines and have different emission factors. The emission factors are calculated assuming that in 1990 the closed-circuit machines were 60%, in 1995 represented 90% and in 1999 up to 100%.

The average consumption of solvent per machine is equal to 258 kg/year for CCM and 763 kg/year for OCM, as derived from a national study by ENEA/USL-RMA (ENEA/USL-RMA, 1995). It is assumed that only perchlorethylene is used. These values are multiplied by the emission factors of the Guidebook EMEP, expressed as kg of solvent consumed (equal to 0.4 and 0.8 kg/kg of solvent, for CCM and OCM, respectively) and then the average annual emission factor was calculated based on the percentage distribution of closed and open circuit machines.

#### Chemical products, manufacture and processing (2D3g)

The category comprises emissions from the use of chemical products such as polyester, polyurethane, polyvinylchloride and polystyrene foam processing, manufacture of paints, inks and glues, textile finishing and leather tanning.

Activity data for polystyrene and polyurethane are derived from the relevant industrial associations, and ISTAT (ISTAT, several years [a] and [b]), whereas emission factors are from the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook. For what concerns polyurethane, the relevant national industrial association has communicated that the phase out of CFC gases occurred in the second half of nineties and the blowing agent currently used is penthane.

As for polyvinylchloride (PVC), activity data and emission factors are supplied in the framework of the national PRTR. NMVOC emissions are entirely attributed to the phase of PVC production; no use of solvents occurs in the PVC processing. This information has been provided by the relevant industrial plant, EVC Italy, in 2001.

For the other categories, activity data are provided by the relevant industrial associations and by ISTAT, while emission factors are taken from the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook considering national information on the solvent content in products supplied by the specific industrial associations.

As regard rubber processing, emission factors for the first years of nineties have been provided by the industrial association. The use of the Swedish emission factor from 1997 was justified in lack of other updated data.

For the glues manufacturing category, emission factors for 1990 are derived from the 1992 EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook. The trend of emission factor is estimated on the basis of the trend of the emission factor for consumption of glue (as indicated by the industrial association). From 1995 to 2004, the industrial association communicated data on consumption and solvent content by product. The reductions from 2000 are based on the assumptions of PINTA. From 2004 the emission factor has been assumed constant in lack of updated information. For previous years, values have been interpolated.

As regards leather tanning, emission factor for 1990 is from Legislative Decree 152/2006, equal to the maximum VOC content limit value (150 g/m2). For 2000 and 2003, emission factors have been calculated on the basis of emission figures derived by the national studies on the major leather tanning industries and statistical production.

## Other product use (2D3i)

The category includes NMVOC emissions from the application of glues and adhesives, which account for about 90% of the emission from the category, emissions from fat, edible and non edible oil extraction and minor emissions from glass wool enduction.

Activity data and emission factors for the application of glues and adhesives had been provided by the relevant industrial association up to 2004. After that period, activity data have been updated on the basis of information by ISTAT (ISTAT, several years [a] and [b]) whereas the emission factor is considered constant in absence of further information.

For fat, edible and non edible oil extraction activity data derive from the FAOSTAT database (<a href="http://faostat.fao.org">http://faostat.fao.org</a>) whereas default emission factors do not change over the period.

## 5.3 Time series and key categories

The sector accounts, in 2016, for about 35.0% of total national NMVOC emissions, whereas in 1990 the weight out of the total was equal to 30.7%. PM, BC and PAH emissions are also estimated in this sector but they account for less than 1%.

NMVOC emissions from the use of solvent decreased from 1990 to 2016 of about 48.6%, from 604 Gg in 1990 to 311 Gg in 2016, mainly due to the reduction of emissions in paint application, in degreasing and dry cleaning and in other product use. The general reduction observed in the emission trend of the sector is due to the implementation of the European Directive 1999/13/EC (EC, 1999) on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents, entered into force in Italy in January 2004, and the European Directive 2004/42/EC (EC, 2004), entered in force in Italy in March 2006, which establishes a reduction of the solvent content in products. In 2015, specifically, the reduction of emissions from paint application, which dropped by 38% as compared to 1990, is due to the implementation of the Italian Legislative Decree 161/2006.

Figure 5.2 shows emission trends from 1991 to 2016 with respect to 1990 by sub-sector.




Figure 5.2 Trend of NMVOC emissions from 1991 to 2016 as compared to 1990

The main source of emissions is *paint application* (2D3d) where NMVOC emissions derive mainly from wood application and construction and building. The second source of emissions is *domestic solvent use* (2D3a), mostly for the consumption of cosmetics, followed by *chemical products and other product use* (2D3g), especially for emissions deriving from polyurethane processing, paints manufacturing and leather tanning.

Table 5.1 represents the pollutants estimated in the sector and the key categories identified.

**Table 5.1** Key categories in the IPPU - Solvent and other product use sector in 2016

	2D3a	2D3b	2D3c	2D3d	2D3e	2D3f	2D3g	2D3h	2D3i
$SO_x$									
NO <sub>x</sub>									
$NH_3$									
NMVOC	8.03	0.70	0.001	14.48	1.58	0.34	5.63	1.52	2.76
CO									
PM10		0.90	0.03				0.01		
PM2.5		0.14	0.01				0.01		
BC		0.06	0.00001						
Pb									
Cd									
Hg									
PAH									
Dioxin									
нсв									
PCB									

Note: key categories are shaded in blue

In Table 5.2 and 5.3 activity data and emission factors used to estimate emissions from the sector are reported at SNAP code level.

A strong decrease in the content of solvents in the products in the nineties is observed.

**Table 5.2** Activity data in the IPPU - Solvent and other product use sector

			1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
06 01	Paint application		1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2010
06 01 01	Paint application: manufacture of automobiles	vehicles	2,865,857	2,521,355	2,770,104	1,766,930	1,310,425	1,326,711	1,430,200
06 01 01	• •		22,250	17,850	24,276		1,310,423	25,395	32,521
06 01 02	Paint application: car repairing	Mg paint		120,736	125,928	23,475	168,358		159,823
06 01 03	Paint application: construction and buildings (except item 06.01.07)	Mg paint	111,644	*		163,455	,	158,661	
	Paint application : domestic use (except 06.01.07)	Mg paint	420,000	420,000	420,000	420,000	420,000	420,000	420,000
06 01 05	Paint application : coil coating	Mg paint	14,500	14,500	14,500	14,500	14,500	14,500	14,500
06 01 06	Paint application : boat building	Mg paint	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
06 01 07	Paint application : wood	Mg paint	150,000	150,000	140,000	140,000	123,250	80,000	75,000
	Other industrial paint application	Mg paint	125,000	125,000	125,000	125,000	125,000	125,000	125,000
06 02	Degreasing, dry cleaning and electronics								
06 02 01	Metal degreasing	Mg solvents	52,758	32,775	25,895	22,237	19,095	16,398	15,906
06 02 02	Dry cleaning	machines	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
06 03	Chemical products manufacturing or processing								
06 03 01	Polyester processing	Mg product	179,852	197,882	168,704	112,188	89,638	94,389	96,522
06 03 02	Polyvinylchloride processing	Mg product	617,600	575,600	405,285	348,497	0	0	0
06 03 03	Polyurethane processing	Mg product	145,700	230,633	350,187	175,278	196,585	196,585	196,585
06 03 04	Polystyrene foam processing (c)	Mg product	85,004	80,400	90,200	35,200	33,692	46,800	51,200
06 03 05	Rubber processing	Mg product	671,706	700,859	810,124	831,187	607,667	545,989	557,079
06 03 06	Pharmaceutical products manufacturing	Mg product	80,068	88,094	104,468	106,861	110,183	120,904	126,068
06 03 07	Paints manufacturing	Mg product	697,129	747,417	900,683	964,631	891,882	866,285	770,497
06 03 08	Inks manufacturing	Mg product	87,527	110,667	132,256	132,521	133,979	108,600	102,949
06 03 09	Glues manufacturing	Mg product	111,683	266,169	302,087	331,770	317,560	249,152	259,393
06 03 10	Asphalt blowing	Mg product	77,248	70,336	77,408	88,896	65,000	25,000	21,000
06 03 12	Textile finishing	1000 m2	1,332,679	1,301,105	1,173,047	987,705	831,236	631,573	643,518
06 03 13	Leather tanning	1000 m2	173,700	183,839	200,115	157,891	186,824	162,500	169,668
06 04	Other use of solvents and related activities		,	,	,	,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,
06 04 01	Glass wool enduction	Mg product	105,029	119,120	139,421	129,958	115,332	86,929	86,498
06 04 02	Mineral wool enduction	Mg product	0	11,000	18,000	20,500	0	0	0
06 04 03	Printing industry	Mg ink	73,754	91,667	100,690	111,550	98,206	79,604	79,106
06 04 04	Fat, edible and non edible oil extraction	Mg product	5,070,398	7,560,387	6,539,796	7,939,548	7,088,890	6,123,425	5,278,645
06 04 05	Application of glues and adhesives	Mg product	98,500	234,751	266,996	292,687	280,150	219,801	228,836
06 04 08	Domestic solvent use (other than paint application)(k)	Mg product	1,938,779	2,282,020	2,410,338	2,767,759	2,614,274	2,265,605	2,226,736
06 04 09	Vehicles dewaxing	vehicles	2,540,597	1,740,212	2,361,075	2,238,344	1,972,070	1,594,259	1,849,608

 Table 5.3
 Emission factors in the IPPU - Solvent and other product use sector

			1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
06 01	Paint application								
06 01 01	Paint application: manufacture of automobiles	g/vehicles	8,676	6,296	4,833	4,065	2,854	3,036	3,079
06 01 02	Paint application: car repairing	g/Mg paint	700,000	700,000	605,500	605,500	497,810	617,377	587,616
06 01 03	Paint application: construction and buildings (except item 06.01.07)	g/Mg paint	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	200,000	152,412	149,364
06 01 04	Paint application: domestic use (except 06.01.07)	g/Mg paint	126,450	113,100	99,750	99,750	67,710	54,360	54,360
06 01 05	Paint application : coil coating	g/Mg paint	200,000	200,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
06 01 06	Paint application : boat building	g/Mg paint	750,000	750,000	622,500	475,417	340,000	340,000	340,000
06 01 07	Paint application: wood	g/Mg paint	446,500	425,000	406,300	390,750	377,250	354,000	344,975
	Other industrial paint application	g/Mg paint	530,000	530,000	439,900	337,583	250,000	250,000	250,000
06 02	Degreasing, dry cleaning and electronics								
06 02 01	Metal degreasing	g/Mg solvents	900,000	900,000	900,000	900,000	900,000	900,000	900,000
06 02 02	Dry cleaning	g/machines	306,000	154,000	103,000	103,000	103,000	103,000	103,000
06 03	Chemical products manufacturing or processing								
06 03 01	Polyester processing	g/Mg product	325	325	325	325	325	325	325
06 03 02	Polyvinylchloride processing	g/Mg product	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
06 03 03	Polyurethane processing	g/Mg product	120,000	110,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
06 03 04	Polystyrene foam processing (c)	g/Mg product	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
06 03 05	Rubber processing	g/Mg product	12,500	10,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
06 03 06	Pharmaceutical products manufacturing	g/Mg product	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000
06 03 07	Paints manufacturing	g/Mg product	15,000	15,000	15,000	13,110	10,863	9,524	11,149
06 03 08	Inks manufacturing	g/Mg product	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
06 03 09	Glues manufacturing	g/Mg product	20,000	5,041	3,603	2,806	2,806	2,806	2,806
06 03 10	Asphalt blowing	g/Mg product	544	544	544	544	544	544	544
06 03 12	Textile finishing	g/1000 m2	296	296	296	296	296	296	296
06 03 13	Leather tanning	g/1000 m2	150,000	150,000	125,000	105,378	82,267	71,000	71,000
06 04	Other use of solvents and related activities								
06 04 01	Glass wool enduction	g/Mg product	800	800	800	800	800	800	800
06 04 02	Mineral wool enduction	g/Mg product	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
06 04 03	Printing industry	g/Mg ink	234,649	228,190	184,332	174,227	174,227	174,227	174,227
06 04 04	Fat, edible and non edible oil extraction	g/Mg product	790	704	706	691	700	700	717
06 04 05	Application of glues and adhesives	g/Mg product	600,000	151,230	108,086	84,190	84,190	84,190	84,190
06 04 08	Domestic solvent use (other than paint application)(k)	g/Mg product	60,117	52,262	42,356	46,153	42,172	34,483	32,598
06 04 09	Vehicles dewaxing	g/vehicles	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000

# 5.4 QA/QC and verification

Data production and consumption time series for some activities (paint application in constructions and buildings, polyester processing, polyurethane processing, pharmaceutical products, paints manufacturing, glues manufacturing, textile finishing, leather tanning, fat edible and non edible oil extraction, application of glues and adhesives) are checked with data acquired by the National Statistics Institute (ISTAT, several years [a], [b] and [c]), the Sectoral Association of the Italian Federation of the Chemical Industry (AVISA, several years) and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO, several years). For specific categories, emission factors and emissions are also shared with the relevant industrial associations; this is particularly the case of paint application for wood, some chemical processes and anaesthesia and aerosol cans.


In the framework of the MeditAIRaneo project, ISPRA commissioned to Techne Consulting S.r.l. a survey to collect national information on emission factors in the solvent sector. The results, published in the report "Rassegna dei fattori di emissione nazionali ed internazionali relativamente al settore solventi" (TECHNE, 2004), have been used to verify and validate emission estimates. In 2008, ISPRA commissioned to Techne Consulting S.r.l. another survey to compare emission factors with the last update figures published in the EMEP/CORINAIR guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007). The results are reported in "Fattori di emissione per l'utilizzo di solventi" (TECHNE, 2008) and have been used to update emission factors for polyurethane and polystyrene foam processing activities.

In addition, for paint application, data communicated from the industries in the framework of the EU Directive 2004/42, implemented by the Italian Legislative Decree 161/2006, on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents in certain paints and varnishes and vehicle refinishing products have been used as a verification of emission estimates. These data refer to the composition of the total amount of paints and varnishes (water and solvent contents) in different subcategories for interior and exterior use and the total amount of products used for vehicle refinishing and they are available from the year 2007.

Verifications of the emissions from the sector occurred in 2012, on account of the bilateral independent review between Italy and Spain and the revision of national estimates and projections in the context of the National emission ceilings Directive for the EU Member States and the Gothenburg Protocol of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP). The analysis by category did not highlight the need of major methodological revisions of the sector; an additional source of emissions was added affecting only NMVOC emissions.

Furthermore, every five years ISPRA carries out emission estimates at NUTS level which is the occasion of an additional check with local environmental agencies.

The distribution of NMVOC emissions from the *solvent and other product use* sector at NUTS3 level for 2015 is reported in Figure 5.3; methodologies are described in the relevant publication (ISPRA, 2009).



**Figure 5.3** NMVOC emissions from solvent and other product use in 2015 (t)

## 5.5 Recalculations

Minor recalculations occurred because of the update of some activity data from 2009 for car repairing and from 2006 for glass wool enduction. Some other recalculations for update of activity data and emission factors in 2014 and 2015.

# **5.6** Planned improvements

Specific developments will regard the improvement of emission factors for some relevant categories. In particular, several improvements are planned with the aim to update the status of technologies in this sector where main challenges regard the availability of data collected from the industry. Main focus will be on metal degreasing and leather production where the EFs used need to be updated.


# 6 AGRICULTURE (NFR SECTOR 3)


#### 6.1 Overview of the sector

The agriculture sector is responsible for the largest part of  $NH_3$  emissions, and contributes also to  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , BC, TSP,  $NO_X$ , NMVOC, CO,  $SO_2$  and HCB emissions. Italy estimates agricultural emissions for manure management (3B), agricultural soils (3D) including the use of pesticides, and field burning of agricultural wastes (3F).  $NO_X$  emissions are reported as  $NO_2$ .

In 2016, key categories level were identified for  $NH_3$  emissions (3B1a, 3B1b, 3B3, 3B4a, 3B4gii, 3Da1 and 3Da2a), for  $NO_X$  emissions (3B1a, 3B1b, 3B4giv), for  $PM_{10}$  emissions (3Dc) and for HCB emissions (3Df). In 1990 similar figures were obtained except for  $NH_3$  emissions 3B4a and 3B4gii and for  $NO_X$  emissions, which were not key categories, and for NMVOC emissions 3B1a and  $PM_{10}$  emissions 3B4gii which were key categories. For the trend analysis, key categories were related to  $NH_3$  emissions (3B1a, 3B1b, 3B4a, 3B4gi, 3B4gii, 3Da1, 3Da2a and 3Da2c),  $NO_X$  emissions (3Da1), NMVOC emissions (3B1a, 3B1b and 3B4giv) and HCB (3Df).

In 2016, NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from the agriculture sector were 358.5 Gg (93.8% of national emissions) where 3B, 3D and 3F categories represent 55.5%, 38.1% and 0.1% of total national emissions. The trend of NH<sub>3</sub> from 1990 to 2016 shows a 21.4% decrease due to the reduction in the number of animals, the diffusion of best environmental practices in manure management in relation to housing, storage and land spreading systems, the decrease of cultivated surface/crop production and use of N-fertilisers. A representation of the contribution by source of agriculture NH<sub>3</sub> emissions for 1990 and 2016 is shown in Figure 6.1.





**Figure 6.1** Share of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in the agriculture sector for 1990 and 2016

Agricultural official statistics are mainly collected from the National Institute of Statistics, ISTAT. Most important activity data (number of animals, N-fertilizers, agricultural surface and production, milk production) are available on-line: <a href="http://agri.istat.it/jsp/Introduzione.jsp">http://agri.istat.it/jsp/Introduzione.jsp</a>. ISTAT has a major role in the comprehensive collection of data through structural (such as the Farm Structure Survey, FSS) and conjunctural surveys, and the general agricultural census <sup>1</sup>. For consistency reasons the same agricultural official statistics are used for UNFCCC and UNECE/CLRTAP emission inventory.

ISPRA participates to the Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing Quality Panel, which has been established to monitor and improve national statistics. This is the opportunity to get in touch with experts from the Agriculture Service from ISTAT in charge for main agricultural surveys. In this way, data used for the inventory is continuously updated according to the latest information available.

Agricultural statistics reported by ISTAT are also published in the European statistics database<sup>2</sup> (EUROSTAT). The verification of statistics is part of the QA/QC procedures; therefore, as soon as outliers

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The last census was conducted in 2010 and data are available at the link http://dati-censimentoagricoltura.istat.it/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database

are identified ISTAT and category associations are contacted.

In Table 6.1 the time series of animal categories is shown.

**Table 6.1** *Time series of animals* 

		Non-dairy					Mules/a				Fur
	Dairy cattle	cattle	Buffalo	Sheep	Goats	Horses	sses	Swine	Rabbits	Poultry	animals
Year						heads					
1990	2,641,755	5,110,397	94,500	8,739,253	1,258,962	287,847	83,853	6,949,091	14,893,771	173,341,562	325,121
1995	2,079,783	5,189,304	148,404	10,667,971	1,372,937	314,778	37,844	6,625,890	17,110,587	184,202,416	220,000
2000	2,065,000	4,988,000	192,000	11,089,000	1,375,000	280,000	33,000	6,828,000	17,873,993	176,722,211	230,000
2005	1,842,004	4,409,921	205,093	7,954,167	945,895	278,471	30,254	7,484,162	20,504,282	188,595,022	200,000
2010	1,746,140	4,086,317	365,086	7,900,016	982,918	373,324	46,475	7,588,658	17,957,421	198,346,719	125,000
2011	1,754,981	4,142,544	354,402	7,942,641	959,915	373,327	50,966	7,602,093	17,549,225	200,718,160	160,000
2012	1,857,004	3,885,606	348,861	7,015,729	891,604	395,913	59,865	7,254,621	17,465,477	198,767,734	165,000
2013	1,862,127	3,984,545	402,659	7,181,828	975,858	393,915	63,166	7,111,607	16,548,690	194,319,153	170,000
2014	1,830,990	3,925,080	369,349	7,166,020	937,029	390,886	67,016	7,269,295	16,435,598	192,839,707	175,000
2015	1,826,484	3,954,864	374,458	7,148,534	961,676	384,767	70,872	7,266,945	15,903,780	196,386,717	180,000
2016	1,821,764	4,108,003	385,121	7,284,874	1,026,263	388,324	74,215	7,102,896	15,207,274	205,193,988	160,000

In Table 6.2 the nitrogen content of N-fertilisers by type applied to soils is shown together with the differentiated EFs. Detailed figures for "other nitrogenous fertilizers" are reported from 1998 because disaggregated official statistics from ISTAT were available only from that year (ENEA, 2006).

**Table 6.2** *Time series of N content by fertilisers and relevant emission factors* 

Type of	Emission				l	Nitrogen c	ontent (t N	/ yr <sup>-1</sup> )				
fertilizers	factor	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Ammonium												
sulphate	8%	50,762	61,059	36,698	27,855	32,568	27,418	27,246	15,599	13,597	16,986	18,064
Calcium												
cyanamide	1%	3,310	507	3,003	2,357	4,958	4,675	4,408	4,164	3,091	3,046	2,803
Nitrate (*)	1%	157,221	189,907	164,134	167,872	72,833	72,334	103,606	72,930	92,569	91,357	79,753
Urea	13%	291,581	321,196	329,496	317,814	209,829	219,033	344,981	282,197	246,957	266,154	321,594
Other nitric												
nitrogen	1%	-	-	3,204	5,219	3,332	3,479	4,122	2,908	1,943	1,189	1,513
Other												
ammoniacal												
nitrogen	1%	-	-	6,278	18,069	12,412	12,993	13,517	3,820	4,279	7,035	8,423
Other amidic												
nitrogenous	13%	-	-	6,988	17,420	15,366	17,663	23,906	19,572	22,855	11,796	18,246
Phosphate												
nitrogen	6%	112,237	99,468	77,916	69,758	45,837	47,272	51,277	50,726	36,773	35,054	33,240
Potassium												
nitrogen	2%	3,937	2,876	5,291	12,289	15,955	17,758	16,720	24,322	8,922	9,077	13,361
NPK												
nitrogen	6%	138,018	101,528	113,897	106,384	64,462	65,444	75,142	48,097	48,979	50,174	49,829
Organic												
mineral	1%	444	20,960	38,688	34,809	19,085	27,897	18,641	22,209	25,161	25,986	20,385
Total		757,509	797,500	785,593	779,846	496,637	515,966	683,566	546,542	505,126	517,854	567,211

<sup>(\*)</sup> includes ammonium nitrate < 27% and ammonium nitrate > 27% and calcium nitrate

# 6.2 Methodological issues

Methodologies used for estimating national emissions from this sector are based on and conform to the *EMEP/EEA Guidebook* (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007; EMEP/EEA, 2013; EMEP/EEA, 2016), the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 1997; IPCC, 2006) and the IPCC Good Practice Guidance (IPCC, 2000). Consistency among methodologies for the preparation of the agricultural emission inventory under the UNFCCC and UNECE/CLRTAP is guaranteed through an operational synergy for activity data collection, inventory preparation and reporting to international conventions and European Directives (Cóndor and De Lauretis, 2007). Information reported in the *National Inventory Report/Common Reporting Format (NIR/CRF)* for the

GHG inventory is coherent and consistent with information reported in the *Informative Inventory Report/Nomenclature for Reporting (IIR/NFR)*.

#### Manure management (3B)

For 3B category, Italy has estimated emissions for pollutants recommended in the 2013 and 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook (NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub>, NMVOC, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>). A detailed and updated description of the methodologies for the estimation of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions, as well as of national specific circumstances and reference material, is provided in sectoral reports (APAT, 2005; Cóndor *et al.*, 2008; Cóndor, 2011), and in the NIR (ISPRA, several years [a]). Detailed information on activity data sources, methods and EFs by pollutant for 3B category is shown in Table 6.3.

Table 6.3 Activity data sources, methods and emission factors by pollutant for manure management

NFR code	Animal category	Method	Activity data	<b>Emission Factor</b>
3B1a, 3B1b	Cattle	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), T1 (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	NS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), D (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )
3B4a, 3B2, 3B4d, 3B4e, 3B4f	Buffalo, Sheep, Goats, Horses, Mules and Asses	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), T1 (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	NS, IS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), D (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )
3B3	Swine	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), T1 (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	NS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), D (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )
3B4gi, 3B4gii, 3B4giv	Poultry	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), T1 (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	AS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), D (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )
3B4h	Other	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), T1 (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	NS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> , NOx), D (NMVOC, PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )

NS=national statistics; IS= International statistics (FAO); AS= category association statistics (UNAITALIA); CS=country-specific; D=Default (from guidebook)

Concerning the 3B category, the estimation procedure for NH<sub>3</sub> emissions consists in successive subtractions from the quantification of nitrogen excreted annually for each livestock category. This quantity can be divided in two different fluxes, depending on whether animals are inside (housing, storage and manure application) or outside the stable (grazing). More in detail, part of the nitrogen excreted in housing volatilizes during the settle of manure in the local farming and it is calculated with the relevant emission factor in housing for the different livestock; this amount is therefore subtracted from the total nitrogen excreted to derive the amount of nitrogen for storage. During storage another fraction of nitrogen is lost (calculated with the relevant emission factor for storage), which is then subtracted to obtain the amount of nitrogen available for the agronomic spreading. Losses occurring during the spreading are finally calculated with the specific emission factor for spreading. For the nitrogen excreted in the pasture losses due to volatilization calculated with the relevant emission factor for grazing by livestock only occur at this stage (CRPA, 2006[a]).

The manure application source is reported in 3Da2a Animal manure applied to soils and the animal grazing source is reported in 3Da3 Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals.

The excretion rates (CRPA, 2006[a]; GU, 2006; Xiccato *et al.*, 2005), slurry/solid manure production and average weights (CRPA, 2006[a]; GU, 2006; Regione Emilia Romagna, 2004) were updated with country specific information. Other improvements of country specific EFs were obtained with research studies (CRPA, 2006 [a], [b], CRPA, 2010[b]). Average weight and N excretion rate for NH<sub>3</sub> estimations are reported in Table 6.4.

**Table 6.4** Average weight and nitrogen excretion rates from livestock categories in 2016

Cotogowy	Weight	Housing Grazing		Total
Category	kg		kg N head <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	
Non-dairy cattle	381.6	49.90	1.43	51.33

Cotogowy	Weight	Housing	Grazing	Total
Category	kg		kg N head <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	
Dairy cattle	602.7	110.20	5.80	116.00
Buffalo	512.0	89.51	2.67	92.18
Other swine (*)	89.0	13.59	-	13.59
Sow (*)	172.1	28.43	-	28.43
Sheep	47.0	1.62	14.58	16.20
Goats	45.1	1.62	14.58	16.20
Horses	550.0	20.00	30.00	50.00
Mules and asses	300.0	20.00	30.00	50.00
Poultry	1.7	0.50	-	0.50
Rabbit	1.6	1.02	-	1.02
Fur animals	1.0	4.10	-	4.10

<sup>(\*)</sup> Other swine and sows are sources that represent the 'swine' category

#### Dairy cattle (3B1a)

As regards 3B1a (dairy cattle) in Table 6.5 the animal waste management system (AWMS) distribution and EFs used are reported. EF was multiplied by the percentage of the nitrogen excreted in housing equal to 95% of the total, assuming that 5% is excreted in grazing. The value is a weighted average based on country specific emission factors and the distribution of livestock housing has been assumed in the following main housing systems reported in Table 6.5 (based on an 1998 CRPA survey carried out in Lombardy, Emilia Romagna and center of Italy and on ISTAT statistics of 2003 and on 2010 Agricultural Census). Between 2005 and 2010 a gradual transition to the updated distribution of housing systems has been assumed for the intermediate years taking in account the gradual penetration of systems to ensure animal welfare.

**Table 6.5** AWMS distribution and EF by manure management system for the dairy cattle category

Emission factors by manure management							
system	1990	2003	2005	2010	2013		
Housing							
<b>cubicle house</b> : 14.3 N-NH <sub>3</sub> kg/head/year (Bonazzi							
et al, 2005)	14.6%	14.6%	14.6%	27.9%	27.9%		
loose housing on bedding: 15.7 N-NH <sub>3</sub>							
kg/head/year (Bonazzi et al, 2005)	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%	42.6%	42.6%		
tied cows: 12.9 N-NH <sub>3</sub> kg/head/year (Bonazzi et							
al, 2005)	76.2%	76.2%	76.2%	29.5%	29.5%		
EF N-NH <sub>3</sub> kg/head/year	13.4	13.4	13.4	14.5	14.5		
	Stora	ge					
	liquid manure						
	= 36%	= 36%	= 36%	= 45%	= 45%		
Tanks (for liquid manure): 23% of N at storage							
(Bonazzi et al, 2005)	40.0%	75.5%	75.5%	82.3%	70.1%		
Lagoons (for liquid manure): 32.2%							
(multiplication factor equal to 1.4 respect to tanks)	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	2.5%	1.7%		
covered storage (for liquid manure): 4.6%							
(reduction of 80% compared to tanks)	10.0%	12.5%	12.5%	15.2%	28.3%		
solid storage: 14.2% of N at storage (Regione	solid manure						
Emilia Romagna, 2001)	= 64%	= 64%	= 64%	= 55%	= 55%		

As regards the manure storage (see Table 6.5), emission factors are expressed as a percentage of the nitrogen contained in manure to storage. Emission factors used for tanks is derived from national literature (Bonazzi et al, 2005) and emission factors for lagoons and covered storage have been estimated applying an increase (for lagoons) and a reduction (for covered storage) to tanks EF (as referenced in CRPA, 2006[a] and CRPA, 2006[b]).

The proportion of liquid system (considering liquid system= liquid system + digesters) and solid storage (considering solid storage= solid storage + digesters), reported in the CRF (*Common Reporting Format* for

the GHG inventory) refer to the nitrogen excreted and not to the amount of animal waste. The proportion reported in the Table 6.5 refer to the manure production according to the type of housing.

EFs for lagoons and covered storage have been provided by CRPA (CRPA, 2006[a]). For lagoons, they have a high exposure area relative to their capacity and represent a higher emission type than the tank. Considering the volumes of the two types of storage, an increase in the surface of slurry in the lagoons with respect to the tanks can be estimated equal to 40%. Since ammonia emissions are estimated to be proportional to the surface of slurry exposed to air, emissions from lagoons will be approximately 40% higher than those of the tanks (CRPA, 1997). For covered storage, the emission reduction has been assumed on the basis of the ILF-BREF document (EC, 2003) with regards the covered storage (CRPA, 2006[a]).

A linear emission reduction in the period 1990-2003 has been estimated to assess the dynamics of evolution of storage systems from the values available in 1990 and 2003, as reported by CRPA (CRPA, 2006[a]). In 2003 respect to 1990 an increase of storage in tanks with respect to lagoons as well as a small increase of covered storage is observed as available in the Table 6.5. On the basis of ISTAT statistics on storage systems as 2010 Agricultural Census and 2013 Farm Structure Survey, an update of emission factors from manure storage for cattle category has been estimated. A gradual transition to the updated emission factors has been assumed for the intermediate years (for the period 2005-2010 and 2010-2013) taking in account the gradual penetration of the abatement technologies.

EFs for manure storage reported in the Table 6.5 have been multiplied by the percentage of nitrogen remaining after housing emissions and the result has been multiplied by the nitrogen excreted in housing to obtain emissions from storage. Emissions have been divided by total heads to obtain the EF kg/head reported in the Table 6.8 for the year 2016.

Regarding emission factors for cattle, the evolution of different abatement technologies along the period is considered in the EFs used for NH<sub>3</sub> estimation for housing, storage and land spreading systems. Improvements in the abatement technologies are based on the results of both the IIASA questionnaire for the implementation of RAINS scenarios in 2003 and an *ad hoc* survey conduct in the 2005 by CRPA (CRPA, 2006 [a], [b]) and on ISTAT statistics such as 2010 Agricultural Census and 2013 Farm Structure Survey.

Compared to the previous IIR, further information on dairy cattle category was added as required by the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

#### **Swine** (3B3)

Activity data of swine population (3B3) reported in the IIR/NFR are different from data reported in the NIR/CRF. In fact, piglets (swine less than 20 kg) are included in the swine population in the NIR/CRF for the estimation of CH<sub>4</sub> emission from enteric fermentation, while they are not included in the number of the NFR templates because the NH<sub>3</sub> EF used for sows takes into account the emissions from piglets, thus ensuring the comparability of the implied emission factors. For NH<sub>3</sub> estimations average weighted emission factors for each category (other swine and sows) are calculated taking in account the relevant emission factors of the abatement technologies for each manure system. The implemented abatement technologies for the years 1990, 2003 and 2005 are reported in Table 6.6.

Table 6.6 Abatement technologies for the swine category

Livestock category	1990	2003	2005	2010	2013
			Housing		
			26% FSF;		
			39% PSF;		
			12% FSF + vacuum system		
	55% Partly-slatted		(VS);		
	floor (PSF);	55% PSF;	4% FSF + with flush canals;		Same
	20% Fully-slatted	25% FSF;	7% FSF + with flush tubes;	Same	distribution
fattening	floor (FSF);	20% solid	5% PSF + VS;	distribution for	for the year
swine	25% solid floor	floor	6% PSF + with flush canals;	the year 2005	2005

Livestock					
category	1990	2003	2005	2010	2013
			Housing		
			1% PSF + with flush tubes		
			26% FSF;		
			52% PSF;		
			5% FSF + vacuum system		
			(VS);		
			5% FSF + with flush canals;		
gestating			7% FSF + with flush tubes;		Same
sows (75%			2% PSF + VS;	Same	distribution
of the total	65% FSF; 35%	50% FSF; 50%	2% PSF + with flush canals;	distribution for	for the year
sows)	PSF	PSF	1% PSF + with flush tubes	the year 2005	2005
		65% FSF+			
lactating		deep collection	52% FSF + deep collection pit;		Same
sows (25%	75% FSF+ deep	pit;	39% sloping floor;	Same	distribution
of the total	collection pit;	35% sloping	3% with flush;	distribution for	for the year
sows)	25% sloping floor	floor	6% mechanical removal	the year 2005	2005
			63% FSF + deep collection pit;		
			14% sloping floor;		
		70% FSF+	7% FSF + VS;		
		deep collection	11% FSF with flush tubes;		Same
	80% FSF + deep	pit;	2% FSF + scraper;	Same	distribution
weaners 6-20	collection pit;	30% sloping	2% PSF + VS;	distribution for	for the year
kg	20% sloping floor	floor	1% PSF + deep collection pit	the year 2005	2005
			Storage		
	60% lagoons;	50% lagoons;		10% lagoons;	7% lagoons;
	35% tanks;	40% tanks;	46% lagoons;	79% tanks;	67% tanks;
	5% covered	10% covered	51% tanks;	11% covered	25% covered
swine	storage	storage	3% covered storage	storage	storage
		L	and spreading		
		80%		70%	44%
swine	100%	broadcasting	78% broadcasting	broadcasting	broadcasting
		10% low		17% low	32% low
		efficiency	11% low efficiency	efficiency	efficiency
				6% medium	12% medium
				efficiency	efficiency
		10% high		7% high	11% high
		efficiency	11% high efficiency	efficiency	efficiency

On the basis of ISTAT statistics on manure storage systems and land spreading techniques as 2010 Agricultural Census and 2013 Farm Structure Survey, an update of emission factors from manure storage and land spreading for swine category has been estimated. A gradual transition to the updated emission factors has been assumed for the intermediate years (for the period 2005-2010 and 2010-2013) taking in account the gradual penetration of the abatement technologies.

Regarding emission factors for swine, the evolution of different abatement technologies along the period is considered in the EFs used for NH<sub>3</sub> estimation for housing, storage and land spreading systems. Improvements in the abatement technologies are based on the results of both the IIASA questionnaire for the implementation of RAINS scenarios in 2003 and an *ad hoc* survey conduct in the 2005 by CRPA (CRPA, 2006 [a], [b]) and on ISTAT statistics such as 2010 Agricultural Census and 2013 Farm Structure Survey.

#### Poultry (3B4g)

As regards 3B4gi (laying hens) and 3B4gii (Broilers) categories, NH<sub>3</sub> emissions show different trends. The different trend for the laying hens category is due to the evolution of different abatement technologies along the period, that are considered in the EFs used for NH<sub>3</sub> estimation for housing, storage and land spreading systems. Emission factors used for each of the different abatement technologies for the laying hens

category (as referenced in CRPA, 2006[a] and CRPA, 2006[b]) are reported in Table 6.7.

**Table 6.7** AWMS distribution, abatement technologies and EF by manure management system for the laying hens category

Emission factors by manure management system	1990	2003	2005	2010
Housing				
open manure storage under cages (for liquid manure) (RS) = 0.220 kg				
NH3/head/year (EC, 2003)	100%	20%	11%	4%
<b>deep pit</b> = 0.162 kg NH3/head/year (ENEA, 2003)		24%		
vertical tiered cages with manure belts and forced air drying $= 0.06 \; kg$				
NH3/head/year (ENEA, 2003) [reduction in ammonia emissions of 73%				
compared to RS]		56%	74%	50%
vertical tiered cages with manure belt and whisk-forced air drying = $0.088 \text{ kg}$				
NH3/head/year (EC, 2003) [reduction in ammonia emissions of 60% compared to			201	
RS]			2%	
aerated open manure storage (deep-pit or high rise systems and canal house) =				
0.154 kg NH3/head/year (EC, 2003) [reduction in ammonia emissions of 30%			1.00/	1.10/
compared to RS]			10%	11%
vertical tiered cages with manure belt and drying tunnel over the cages =				
0.044 kg NH3/head/year (EC, 2003) [reduction in ammonia emissions of 80% compared to RS]			3%	
<b>Loose hoousing with outdoor access</b> (RS) = 0.3 kg NH3/head/year (Bittman S.			3%	
et al, 2014)				7%
<b>Loose hoousing without outdoor access</b> = 0.18 kg NH3/head/year (Bittman S. et				7 70
al, 2014; our assumptions)				28%
Storage				2070
liquid manure = 16% (percentage of nitrogen to storage) (Nicholson et al, 2004)	100%	20%	11%	4%
solid manure = 7.3% (ENEA, 2003)	10070	80%	89%	96%
Land spreading			0,7,0	
liquid manure = 37.1% of TAN applied (TAN/TKN = 35%) (CRPA, 2006[a])				
[broadcasting]	100%	5%	9%	9%
low efficiency = 7.8% (bandspreading and incorporation within 6 hours for liquid	100%	3%	9%	9%
manure) [reduction of 40% compared to broadcasting]		50%	65%	65%
high efficiency = 2.6% (shallow and deep injection for liquid manure) [reduction		3070	0370	0370
of 80% compared to broadcasting]		45%	26%	26%
solid manure = 67% of TAN applied (TAN/TKN = 21%) (Nicholson et al, 2004;		4370	2070	2070
CRPA, 2006[a]) [broadcasting]		10%	9%	10%
low efficiency = 11.0% (incorporation within 12-24 hours for solid manure)		1070	770	1070
[reduction of 20% compared to broadcasting]		40%	37%	43%
high efficiency = 2.8% (incorporation within 4 hours for solid manure) [reduction		1070	2770	1570
of 80% compared to broadcasting]		50%	54%	46%
1				

Emission factors used for each of the different techniques for housing are derived from ILF BREF of IPPC (EC, 2003) and a study at national level on ammonia emissions from laying hens (ENEA, 2003). In 2010, on the basis of the housing distribution collected from the 2010 Agricultural Census and emission factors and abatement systems data reported in the Guidance from the UNECE Task Force on Reactive Nitrogen (Bittman S. et al, 2014) emission factors have been updated. Between 2005 and 2010 a gradual transition to the updated distribution of housing systems has been assumed for the intermediate years taking in account the gradual penetration of systems to ensure animal welfare.

As regards the manure storage, emission factors are expressed as a percentage of the nitrogen contained in manure to storage. Emission factors used for liquid manure is derived from Nicholson et al (Nicholson et al, 2004) and emission factors for solid manure is from ENEA (ENEA, 2003). On the basis of the 2010 Agricultural Census conducted by ISTAT, an update of emission factors from manure storage for laying hens category has been estimated. A gradual transition to the updated emission factors has been assumed for the intermediate years (for the period 2005-2010) taking in account the gradual penetration of the abatement

technologies. EFs for manure storage reported in Table 6.7 have been multiplied by the amount of nitrogen remaining after housing emissions.

For land spreading, emissions have been estimated by CRPA (CRPA, 2006[a] and CRPA, 2006[b]). As regards the liquid manure, the amount of N-NH<sub>4</sub> emissions, in percentage of the applied ammoniacal nitrogen, have been assumed equal to those of the cattle slurry due to the lack of data (CRPA, 2006[a]). As regards the solid manure, the amount of N-NH<sub>4</sub> emissions, in percentage of the applied ammoniacal nitrogen, were equal to 67% (Nicholson et al, 2004; CRPA, 2006[a]). In 2003 and 2005 the evolution of different improvements technologies based on the results of both the IIASA questionnaire for the implementation of RAINS scenarios and a survey conduct by CRPA, has been implemented in the EFs used. For the period 1900-2003, a linear emission reduction has been estimated and applied. The efficiency of reduction techniques has been estimated on the basis of the UNECE document Control techniques for preventing and abating emissions of ammonia (as referenced in CRPA, 2006[a] and CRPA, 2006[b]). EFs for land spreading reported in Table 6.7 have been multiplied by the amount of nitrogen remaining after storage emissions.

Compared to the previous IIR, further information on laying hens category was added as required by the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

As regards broilers, only a slight improvement on spreading system has occurred. From 1995 a chickendung drying process system has been introduced for laying hens and improved along the period.

Regarding emission factors for poultry, the evolution of different abatement technologies along the period is considered in the EFs used for NH<sub>3</sub> estimation for housing, storage and land spreading systems. Improvements in the abatement technologies are based on the results of both the IIASA questionnaire for the implementation of RAINS scenarios in 2003 and an *ad hoc* survey conduct in the 2005 by CRPA (CRPA, 2006 [a], [b]) and on ISTAT statistics such as 2010 Agricultural Census and 2013 Farm Structure Survey.

Average emission factors for NH<sub>3</sub> per head are reported in Table 6.8.

**Table 6.8** NH<sub>3</sub> emission factors for manure management for the year 2016

Category	Housing	Storage	Land spreading	Total
		kg .	NH3 head <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	
Non-dairy cattle	7.57	8.10	4.76	20.43
Dairy cattle	16.73	17.54	10.18	44.44
Buffalo	13.59	15.11	12.17	40.87
Other swine (*)	2.38	1.45	1.25	5.09
Sow (*)	4.86	3.03	2.63	10.52
Sheep	0.22		0.46	0.68
Goats	0.22		0.46	0.68
Horses	3.24		2.75	5.99
Mules and asses	3.24		2.75	5.99
Laying hens	0.13	0.05	0.05	0.22
Broilers	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.15
Other poultry	0.18	0.11	0.06	0.35
Rabbit	0.34	0.13	0.07	0.54
Fur animals	1.37		0.34	1.70

<sup>(\*)</sup> Other swine and sows are sources that represent the 'swine' category

NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from digesters biogas facilities (in particular due to different phases of the process: during storage of feedstock on the premises of the biogas facility, during the liquid–solid separation of the digestate, during storage of the digestate) have been estimated on the basis of the quantity of excreted nitrogen feeding anaerobic digesters and the tier 1 emission factor derived by the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from digesters biogas facilities have been subtracted from manure management category (only for cattle and swine categories) and allocated in the anaerobic digestion at biogas facilities (5B2 of the waste sector).

The percentage of nitrogen lost through N-NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from anaerobic digesters was subtracted from the percentage of nitrogen left after emissions during housing and storage, reducing the amount of nitrogen

used at the spreading. The amount of nitrogen used at the spreading also includes the digestate.

For NO<sub>X</sub> emissions (during storage) tier 2 method reported in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016) was used for calculations. EFs by livestock category and manure type derived from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016) are based on nitrogen mass-flow approach built from country specific data on nitrogen excretion and solid/liquid distribution of manure. Data have been updated as consequence of the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

For NMVOC emissions a tier 1 method was used for calculations. EFs used are constant for the whole time series for the different livestock categories. NMVOC EFs are those reported in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). Data have been updated as consequence of the NECD review process (EEA, 2017). However, the emission factors are very high and therefore more detailed analyzes will be carried out.

For particulate matter emissions a tier 1 method was used for calculations. EFs for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> are derived from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016; EMEP/CORINAIR, 2006), modified on the basis of the Italian animal breeding characteristics and weight parameters (Cóndor *et al.*, 2008; Cóndor, 2011). For swine and poultry, emission factors have been updated from 2010, estimating a gradual transition to the updated emission factors from 2005, reflecting changes in manure management systems recorded by ISTAT surveys (FSS and the agricultural census). From 2010 PM emission estimates are based on emission factors provided by the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. These emission factors are based on studies conducted between 2006 and 2016 which include scientific works conducted in Italy. These studies have suggested that Takai's emission factors suggested in the 2006 EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook are too high and do not represent current particulate emission levels. A gradual transition to the updated emission factors has been assumed for the intermediate years (2004-2010) taking in account the gradual penetration of the abatement technologies. Compared to the previous IIR, further information on PM emissions was added as required by the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

PM emissions from turkeys, sheep, goats, mules and asses and fur animals are also estimated.

Average emission factors for PM per head are reported in Table 6.9.

 Table 6.9 PM emission factors for manure management for the year 2016

Category	$PM_{10}$	$PM_{2.5}$
	kg P	M head <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>
Non-dairy cattle	0.315	0.208
Dairy cattle	0.654	0.426
Buffalo	0.485	0.317
Other swine (*)	0.192	0.008
Sow (*)	0.229	0.012
Sheep	0.053	0.016
Goats	0.050	0.015
Horses	0.242	0.154
Mules and asses	0.137	0.086
Laying hens	0.033	0.002
Broilers	0.024	0.002
Other poultry	0.053	0.010
Rabbit	-	-
Fur animals	0.053	0.002

<sup>(\*)</sup> Other swine and sows are sources that represent the 'swine' category

#### Agricultural soils (3D)

For agricultural soils, estimations of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions account for the direct application of synthetic N-fertilizers (3Da1), animal manure applied to soils (3Da2a), sewage sludge applied to soils (3Da2b), other organic fertilisers applied to soil (3Da2c), animal grazing (3Da3) and N fixed by cultivated crops, leguminous cultivation (3De). For the same sources, emissions of NO<sub>X</sub> were estimated (except for 3De *Cultivated crops*). Crop residues applied to soils (3Da4) and Indirect emissions from managed soils (3Db) emissions have not been estimated as in the guidelines there is insufficient information. PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>

emissions from the Farm-level agricultural operations including storage, handling and transport of agricultural products have been estimated and reported in 3Dc category. NMVOC emissions from cultivated crops have been estimated and reported in 3De category. HCB emissions from the use of pesticides have been estimated and reported in 3Df category.

NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from synthetic N-fertilizer (3Da1) are based on the guidebook methodology (EMEP/EEA, 2016), which provides different EFs by type of fertilizers taking into account climatic conditions and pH of the soil (EFs in Table 6.2). A tier 2 method has been implemented for 3Da1 source. NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from synthetic N-fertilizers are obtained with the amount of the N content by type of fertilizer multiplied by the specific EFs. Emissions have been calculated on the basis of EFs for temperate climate and normal pH factors according to the IPCC climate zones classification and the definition available in the 2002 EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook for which Italy is defined with large areas of acidic soils (soil pH below 7.0) and with some calcareous soils (or managed with soil pH above 7.0). Data have been updated as consequence of the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

In 2011 a validation of EFs and estimations was carried out considering the results of a research study that estimated, at NUTS 2 level, emissions for the use of synthetic N-fertilizers considering type of cultivation, altitude, and climatic conditions (CRPA, 2010[b]; Cóndor and Valli, 2011).

 $NO_X$  emission factor for synthetic N-fertilizer is equal to 0.04 kg  $NO_2$ /kg fertiliser N applied (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2016). Data have been updated as consequence of the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

The method for estimating NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from animal manure applied to soils (3Da2a) is described in 3B (tier 2). On the basis of ISTAT statistics on spreading systems such as 2010 Agricultural Census and 2013 Farm Structure Survey, an update of emission factors from land spreading for cattle, swine, laying hens and broilers categories have been estimated. A gradual transition to the updated emission factors has been assumed for the intermediate years (for the period 2005-2010 and 2010-2013) taking in account the gradual penetration of the abatement technologies. For NO<sub>X</sub> emissions (during spreading) a tier 2 method was used for calculations. EFs by livestock category and manure type derived from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016) are based on nitrogen mass-flow approach. Data have been updated as consequence of the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

Concerning the sludge spreading (3Da2b), the total production of sludge from urban wastewater plants, as well as the total amount of sludge used in agriculture and some parameters such as N content, are communicated from 1995 by the Ministry for the Environment, Land and Sea from 1995 (MATTM, several years[a]) in the framework of the reporting commitments fixed by the European Sewage Sludge Directive (EC, 1986) transposed into the national Legislative Decree 27 January 1992, n. 99. From 1990 to 1994 activity data and parameters were reconstructed, as reported in detail in the Chapter 8 of the National Inventory Report on the Italian greenhouse gas inventory (ISPRA, several years [a]).

The amount of sewage N applied was calculated using the amount of sewage sludge (expressed in t dry matter) and the N content of sludge. The dry matter contained in sludge at national level is assumed to be 25% of total sludge. In Table 6.10, the total amount of sewage sludge production as well as sludge used in agriculture and nitrogen content in sludge is reported. The default NH<sub>3</sub> EF (0.13 kg NH<sub>3</sub>/kg N applied) and NOx EF (0.04 kg NO<sub>2</sub>/kg N applied) are from EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). Data have been updated as consequence of the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

**Table 6.10** *Sludge spreading activity data and parameters, 1990 – 2016* 

Year	Sewage sludge production (t)  Sewage sludge used in agriculture (t)		Sewage sludge used in agriculture (t of dry matter)	N concentration in sludge (% dry matter)	Total N in sludge
1990	3,272,148	392,658	98,164	5.2	5,071
1995	2,437,024	630,046	157,512	5.2	8,137
2000	3,402,016	869,696	217,424	5.0	10,954
2005	4,298,576	862,970	215,742	4.1	8,874

Year	Sewage sludge production (t)	production used in used in used in agriculture agricult		N concentration in sludge (% dry matter)	Total N in sludge
2010	3,358,900	992,859	248,215	4.0	10,040
2011	3,407,040	1,196,634	299,159	3.7	11,119
2012	2,616,094	1,096,380	274,095	4.7	12,864
2013	2,487,244	814,178	203,545	4.0	8,142
2014	2,544,291	804,623	201,156	4.0	8,046
2015	2,581,974	861,149	215,287	4.0	8,611
2016	2,619,351	881,711	220,428	4.0	8,817

As regards the other organic fertilisers applied to soil (3Da2c) category, the use of other organic N fertilisers, including compost and organic amendments, and N content are provided by ISTAT (as reported in the paragraph 6.1). The default NH<sub>3</sub> EF (0.08 kg NH<sub>3</sub>/kg waste N applied) and NOx EF (0.04 kg NO<sub>2</sub>/kg N waste applied) are from EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). Data have been updated as consequence of the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

For 3Da3 the time series of the quantity of N from animal grazing is the same as that reported in the NIR and in the relevant CRF tables. The method for estimating  $NH_3$  emissions is described in 3B (tier 2). The default  $NO_X$  EF is from EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

Nitrogen input from N-fixing crops (3De) has been estimated starting from data on surface and production for N-fixing crops and forage legumes; nitrogen input from N-fixing crops (kg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) is calculated with a country-specific methodology. Peculiarities that are present in Italy were considered: N-fixing crops and legumes forage. Nitrogen input is calculated with two parameters: cultivated surface and nitrogen fixed per hectare (Erdamn 1959 in Giardini, 1983). Emissions are calculated using the default emission factor 1 kg N-NH<sub>3</sub>/ha (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2006). In Table 6.11, cultivated surface from N-fixing species (ha yr<sup>-1</sup>) and N fixed by each species (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) are shown.

NMVOC emissions from cultivated crops have been estimated and reported in 3De category. The method (tier 1) for estimating NMVOC emissions from cultivated crops (3De) is described in 3D chapter of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). The default NMVOC EF is from EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). Hectares of wheat, rape, rye crops surface and total grass surface were considered as activity data according to the methodology EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). Emissions have been added as requested by the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

**Table 6.11** Cultivated surface (ha) and nitrogen fixed by each variety (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)

	N fixed	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016
	(kg N							
	ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )				ha			
Bean, f,s.	40	1,164	958	938	926	761	682	747
Bean, d.s.	40	920	578	456	350	280	235	236
Broad bean, f,s.	40	663	567	480	379	339	317	304
Broad bean, d.s.	40	4,162	2,530	1,914	1,940	2,084	1,686	2,007
Pea, f,s.	50	1,410	1,079	570	582	435	747	813
Pea, d.s.	72	729	477	324	802	842	805	1,016
Chickpea	40	185	121	160	210	273	447	558
Lentil	40	42	42	41	71	98	124	129
Vetch	80	461	523	544	612	640	658	658
Lupin	40	132	123	132	100	160	185	185
Soya bean	58	30,228	11,321	14,886	8,835	9,252	17,921	16,707
Alfalfa	194	191,478	159,824	157,308	151,209	144,555	129,461	131,440
Clover grass	103	23,081	12,876	11,829	10,679	10,577	12,354	12,861
Total		254,654	191,018	189,581	176,697	170,296	165,622	167,660

(\*) f.s.=fresh seed; d.s.=dry seed

PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions from the Farm-level agricultural operations including storage, handling and

transport of agricultural products have been estimated and reported in 3Dc category. The method (tier 1) for estimating  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions is described in 3D chapter of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). The default  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  EFs are from EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). Hectares of total arable crop surface have been used as activity data for PM emissions according to the methodology EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). Emissions have been added as requested by the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

HCB emissions from the use of pesticides (3Df) have been estimated. HCB emissions result from the use of HCB as pesticide but also by the use of other pesticides which contain HCB as an impurity. For the period 1996-2001, data are from the database of pesticides contained in the National agricultural information system (Sistema informativo agricolo nazionale - SIAN<sup>3</sup>). For the period 2002-2008, SIAN data have been elaborated by Provincial Agency for the Protection of the Environment of the Autonomous Province of Trento<sup>4</sup>. From 2009 activity data have been processed by the Service for risks and environmental sustainability of technologies, chemical substances, production cycles and water services and for inspection activities of ISPRA on the basis of data provided by ISTAT related to substances clorotalonil, picloram, lindane and clortal-dimetile which are the active ingredients of pesticides containing HCB.

The availability of data allows estimating emissions from pesticides where HCB is found as an impurity, as in lindane, DCPA, clorotalonil and Picloram. Emissions from the use of HCB as a pesticide were not estimated. On the basis of the amount of HCB contained in these pesticides (lindane: 0.01%; DCPA: 0.1%; clorotalonil: 0.005%; Picloram: 0.005%) and applying the HCB emission factor provided from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook, HCB emissions result in 23.49 kg for 1990 and 1.70 kg in 2016 for Italy. An international research work at European level (Berdowski et al., 1997) estimated 400 kg of HCB emissions from pesticide use for Italy in 1990 while in the last years these emissions should be null.

Detailed information on activity data sources, methods and EFs by pollutant for 3D category is shown in Table 6.12.

**Table 6.12** Activity data sources, methods and emission factors by pollutant for agriculture soils

NFR code	Category	Method	Activity data	<b>Emission Factor</b>
3Da1	Inorganic N-fertilizers (includes also urea application)	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> ), T1 (NO <sub>X</sub> )	NS	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> ), D (NO <sub>X</sub> )
3Da2a	Animal manure applied to soils	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> )	NS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> ), D (NO <sub>X</sub> )
3Da2b	Sewage sludge applied to soils	$T1 (NH_3, NO_X)$	NS	$D(NH_3, NO_X)$
3Da2c	Other organic fertilisers applied to soils (including compost)	T1 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> )	NS	$D(NH_3, NO_X)$
3Da3	Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals	T2 (NH <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>X</sub> )	NS	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> ) , D (NO <sub>X</sub> )
3Da4	Crop residues applied to soils			
3Db	Indirect emissions from managed soils			
3Dc	Farm-level agricultural operations including storage, handling and transport of agricultural products	T1 (PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	NS	D (PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> )
3Dd	Off-farm storage, handling and transport of bulk agricultural products			
3De	Cultivated crops	CS (NH <sub>3</sub> ), T1 (NMVOC)	NS	D (NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC)
3Df	Use of pesticides	T1 (HCB)	NS	D (HCB)

151

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> http://www.sian.it/portale-sian/attivaservizio.jsp?sid=174&pid=6&servizio=Banca+Dati+Fitofarmaci&bottoni=no

http://www.appa.provincia.tn.it/fitofarmaci/programmazione\_dei\_controlli\_ambientali/-Criteri\_vendita\_prodotti\_fitosanitari/pagina55.html

#### Field burning of agricultural residues (3F)

NMVOC, CO, NO<sub>X</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and BC emissions have been estimated, applying the tier 1 approach. A detailed description of the methodology and parameters used is shown in the NIR (ISPRA, several years [a]). The same methodology to estimate emissions from open burning of waste, as reported in paragraph 7.2 of the waste section (see *Small scale waste burning (5C2)* subparagraph), is used on the basis of the amount of fixed residues instead of removable residues. Concerning NO<sub>X</sub>, CO, NMVOC, IPCC emission factors have been used (IPCC, 1997), while for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission factors from the USEPA (EPA, 1995) and BC emission factors from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2013) have been applied. NH<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emission factors are from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016) and emissions have been added as requested by the NECD review process (EEA, 2017).

As concerns  $NO_X$  and CO emission factors, values used are in the range of the tier 1 emission factors from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

As concerns PM emission factors, values used are lower than those (both tier 1 and tier 2) reported in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). The tier 1 emission factors from EMEP/EEA Guidebook are similar but not equal to the average of the values relating to four types of crops reported in the reference scientific publication mentioned in the Guidebook (Jenkins, 1996a). However for field burning of rice cultivation, the emission factor reported in the Guidebook is very different from that in the reference publication of Jenkins. Also in consideration of these issues emission factors have not been changed with those of the Guidebook and further work is planned with the aim to find values more representative of our country.

## 6.3 Time series and key categories

The following sections present an outline of the main key categories in the agriculture sector.

The agriculture sector is the main source of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in Italy; for the main pollutants, in 2016 the sector accounts for:

- 93.8% of national total NH<sub>3</sub> emissions
- 14.5% of national total NMVOC emissions
- 12.2% of national total PM<sub>10</sub> emissions
- 7.8% of national total HCB emissions
- 7.0% of national total NO<sub>X</sub> emissions
- 3.4% of national total PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions

Moreover, the sector comprises 0.6% of total CO emissions, 0.5% of BC and 0.1% of  $SO_2$ . There are no particular differences as compared to the sectoral share in 1990 when the agriculture sector accounted for 96.5% of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions, 11.2% of PM<sub>10</sub>, 3.0% of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, except for NMVOC emissions (7.4%), NO<sub>X</sub> emissions (3.4%) and HCB emissions where agriculture accounted for 54.2% of total national emissions.

Table 6.13 reports the key categories identified in the agriculture sector while the time series of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions by sources is shown in Table 6.14.

Concerning NH<sub>3</sub> emissions, the category *manure management (3B)* represents, in 2016, 59.2% of national total ammonia emissions (58.8% in 1990). In particular, NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from *cattle* (3B1) stand for 59.7% of 3B emissions, while emissions from *swine* (3B3) and *poultry* (3B4g) represent 13.9% and 16.1%, respectively. The category *agricultural soils* (3D) represents, in 2016, 40.6% of national total ammonia emissions (41.1% in 1990). The animal manure applied to soils (3Da2a) and the use of synthetic N-fertilisers (3Da1) represent 45.3% and 43.5% of 3D emissions, respectively.

Regarding  $PM_{10}$  emissions, the category manure management (3B) accounts for 5.7% in 2016 (6.1% in 1990) of national total  $PM_{10}$  emissions. Poultry (3B4g), cattle (3B1) and swine (3B3) represent the major

contributors to the total  $PM_{10}$  emissions from category 3B with 58.1%, 22.6% and 12.6%, respectively. The category Farm-level agricultural operations including storage, handling and transport of agricultural products (3Dc) accounts for 5.3% in 2016 (4.3% in 1990) of national total  $PM_{10}$  emissions. For  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions, the category *manure management* (3B) contributes for 1.7% in 2016 (1.9% in 1990) of national total  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions. *Cattle* (3B1) accounts for 58.6%, while *poultry* (3B4g) stands for 27.7% to the total  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions from category 3B. The category Farm-level agricultural operations including storage, handling and transport of agricultural products (3Dc) accounts for 0.2% in 2016 (0.2% in 1990) of national total  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions.

For  $NO_X$  emissions, the category *agricultural soils* (3D) contributes for 6.4% in 2016 (3.1% in 1990) of national total  $NO_X$  emissions. *Inorganic N-fertilizers* (3Da1) and *Other organic fertilisers applied to soils* (3Da2c) account for 46.3% and 35.7% of total 3D emissions, respectively.

For NMVOC emissions, the category *manure management* (3B) and *agricultural soils* (3D) contributes for 94.1% and 5.9% in 2016 of agricultural NMVOC emissions. Cattle (3B1), Poultry (3B4g) and swine (3B3) represent the major contributors to the total NMVOC emissions from category 3B with 56.2%, 31.5% and 3.7%, respectively. Most of the emissions in the 3D category derive from Cultivated crops category (3De).

**Table 6.13** Key categories in the agriculture sector in 2016

	$SO_{X}$	$NO_X$	NH3	NMVOC	co	PM10	PM2.5	BC	Pb	Cd	Hg	PAH	DIOX	HCB	PCB
							%								
3B1a		0.07	16.33	3.61		0.62	0.48								
3B1b		0.09	16.84	4.04		0.67	0.53								
3B2		0.02	0.42	0.22		0.20	0.07								
3B3		0.00	7.72	0.50		0.72	0.04								
3B4a		0.03	2.89	0.39		0.10	0.08								
3B4d		0.00	0.06	0.07		0.03	0.01								
3B4e		0.01	0.33	0.33		0.05	0.04								
3B4f		0.00	0.06	0.02		0.01	0.00								
3B4gi		0.04	1.83	0.72		0.67	0.06								
3B4gii		0.10	4.24	1.53		1.59	0.19								
3B4giv		0.06	2.87	2.05		1.05	0.23								
3B4h		0.03	1.94	0.13		0.00	0.00								
3Da1		2.98	16.57												
3Da2a		2.30	17.27												
3Da2b		0.05	0.30												
3Da2c		0.31	1.25												
3Da3		0.80	2.34												
3Dc						5.28	0.24								
3De			0.39	0.78											
3Df														7.76	
3F	0.08	0.07	0.14	0.07	0.59	1.23	1.47	0.54							

Note: key categories are shaded in blue

**Table 6.14** *Time series of ammonia emissions in agriculture (Gg)* 

NFR SECTOR 3	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
3B1a Manure management - Dairy											
cattle	98.32	76.00	74.15	65.20	63.50	62.61	65.39	63.95	62.64	62.58	62.42
3B1b Manure management - Non-											
dairy cattle	84.63	84.38	80.27	69.77	66.48	65.51	63.02	62.62	60.99	61.54	64.37
3B2 Manure management - Sheep	1.91	2.33	2.42	1.74	1.73	1.74	1.53	1.57	1.57	1.56	1.59
3B3 Manure management - Swine	36.21	34.31	34.59	36.84	34.05	33.26	31.58	29.76	30.10	30.13	29.50
3B4a Manure management - Buffalo	2.92	4.44	5.54	6.12	11.24	10.76	10.05	11.39	10.78	10.71	11.05
3B4d Manure management - Goats	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.22
3B4e Manure management - Horses	0.93	1.02	0.91	0.90	1.21	1.21	1.28	1.28	1.27	1.25	1.26
3B4f Manure management - Mules											
and asses	0.27	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.17	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.23	0.24
3B4gi Manure management - Laying											
hens	13.87	12.68	9.56	7.69	9.32	9.45	7.58	6.74	6.57	6.74	6.99
3B4gii Manure management -											
Broilers	12.37	12.96	12.17	12.33	13.80	14.08	15.03	15.18	15.18	15.47	16.19

NFR SECTOR 3	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
3B4giv Manure management - Other											
poultry	9.05	11.30	10.33	11.12	10.68	10.51	10.79	10.54	10.39	10.48	10.96
3B4h Manure management - Other											
animals (*)	7.51	8.41	8.79	10.00	8.69	8.54	8.51	8.08	8.03	7.79	7.43
3Da1 Inorganic N-fertilizers (includes											
also urea application)	70.44	73.59	73.27	71.57	48.34	50.03	72.29	58.26	52.11	53.71	63.35
3Da2a Animal manure applied to soils	102.34	90.58	84.90	75.66	75.13	72.15	68.86	65.82	64.72	64.83	65.99
3Da2b Sewage sludge applied to soils	0.66	1.06	1.42	1.15	1.31	1.45	1.67	1.06	1.05	1.12	1.15
3Da2c Other organic fertilisers applied											
to soils											
(including compost)	1.22	1.34	1.81	1.96	3.47	8.27	4.07	4.79	6.10	4.86	4.77
3Da3 Urine and dung deposited by											
grazing animals	10.23	11.36	11.58	8.83	9.11	9.14	8.61	8.82	8.75	8.74	8.93
3De Cultivated crops	2.38	1.58	1.59	1.42	1.38	1.37	1.17	1.37	1.42	1.48	1.50
3F Field burning of agricultural											
residues	0.49	0.48	0.48	0.52	0.50	0.50	0.52	0.49	0.49	0.51	0.54
Total	456.02	428.26	414.19	383.11	360.28	360.93	372.36	352.12	342.58	343.93	358.47


Note: (\*) 3B4h includes rabbits and fur animals

The largest and most intensive agricultural area in Italy is the Po River catchment with the following characteristics: high crop yields due to climatic factors, double cropping system adopted by livestock farms, flooded rice fields, high livestock density and animal production that keep animals in stables all the year (Bassanino et al 2011, Bechini and Castoldi 2009). 64%, 76% and 84% of cattle, poultry and swine production are located in Piedmont, Lombardy, Emilia-Romagna, and Veneto Regions (Northern Italy/Po River Basin). At regional level, the presence of large cattle, poultry and swine farms in the Po basin assume a particular relevance for air quality issues, especially, for the specific meteorological conditions of this area.

The reduction of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from 3B is mainly related to the reduction in the number of animals. Between 1990 and 2016 total NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from 3B have reduced by 20.9%. Cattle livestock decreased by 23.5% (from 7,752,152 to 5,929,767 heads). Dairy cattle and non-dairy cattle have decreased by 31.0% and 19.6%, respectively. The so-called first pillar of the EU Common Agriculture Policy (CAP), dealing with market support, had a strong impact through the milk quota system by reducing animal numbers in the dairy sector to compensate for increasing animal productivity (EEA, 2016). On the contrary, swine and poultry have increased between 1990 and 2016 by 2.2% and 18.4%, respectively (see Table 6.1). Abatement technologies are considered in the EFs used for NH<sub>3</sub> estimations. Research studies funded by ISPRA, such as the MeditAiraneo project, or by the Ministry of Environment have allowed us to collect information on the inclusion of abatement technologies in Italy, especially those related to the swine and poultry recovery and treatment of manure and to land spreading (CRPA, 2006[b]; Cóndor et al., 2008; CRPA, 2010[b]).

NH<sub>3</sub> emissions of 3D category are driven by the animal manure applied to soils and the use of inorganic N-fertilizers. Between 1990-2016 emissions have decreased by 35.5% and 10.1% mainly due to the reduction of the number of animals and the use of inorganic N-fertilizers, that are decreased overall by 36.0% (although the urea increased by 13.4%). According to the Italian Fertilizer Association (AIF, Associazione Italiana Fertilizzanti) the use of fertilisers is determined by their cost and particularly by the price of agricultural products. In the last years, as a consequence of agriculture product price decreasing, minor amount of fertilisers has been used by farmers to reduce costs (Perelli, 2007). Furthermore, the EU Nitrates Directive which aims at reducing and preventing water pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources has addressed the lower use of synthetic and nitrogen-based fertilisers (EEA, 2016).

Every 5 years the national emission inventory is disaggregated at NUTS3 level as requested by CLRTAP (Cóndor *et al.*, 2008). A database with the time series for all sectors and pollutants has been published (ISPRA, 2018; ISPRA, 2009; ISPRA, several years [c]; ISPRA, several years [d]). The disaggregation of 2015 agricultural emissions has also been finalised and figures are available at the following web site: <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/inventaria">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/inventaria</a>. The disaggregation (NUTS3) of the NH<sub>3</sub> agricultural emissions is shown in Figure 6.2. In 2015, four regions contributed with more than 60% of agricultural NH<sub>3</sub> emissions: Lombardia, Veneto, Emilia Romagna and Piemonte.



**Figure 6.2** NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from Agriculture in 2015 (t)

# 6.4 QA/QC and verification

QA/QC procedures for the agriculture sector are in line with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines and consistent with the EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Italy has drawn up a QA/QC procedure manual and elaborates annually a QA/QC plan both for the UNFCCC and UNECE/CLRTAP inventories. In the QA/QC Agriculture section GHG and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions improvements are specified (ISPRA, several years [b]). Furthermore, feedbacks for

the agricultural emission inventory derive also from communication of data to different institutions (ISTAT, UNA, CRPA etc.) and/or at local level (regional environmental institutions). In addition, ISPRA participates in a technical working group on agriculture within the National Statistical System, composed by producers and users of agricultural statistics.

Data used to estimate emissions were verified with census data. Slight differences in the livestock number (cattle and other swine) are found between conjunctural surveys (used for emissions estimation) and Agricultural Census for the year 2010; while for the other categories the differences are more significant. In the conjunctural surveys, the number of heads of the sows, sheep, goats, mules and asses, broilers, hens categories is on average 15% higher than the census, whereas for other poultry the difference is 30% and for horses and rabbits is more than double.

Ammonia emissions for swine and poultry manure management from housing and storage were compared with data reported in the E-PRTR registry for the year 2014, which represent 62.1% and 19.5%, respectively, of national NH3 emissions for the same categories (3B).

Data on national sales of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers (by type of fertilizers) as provided by Assofertilizzanti – Federchimica<sup>5</sup> (personal communication) for the period 2012-2016 have been compared to official statistics provided by ISTAT. Differences were mainly found for the amount of simple mineral nitrogen fertilizers, where data from Assofertilizzanti are higher by 20%, on average, for the years 2013-2016. This could be due to a possible double counting of some product which could be considered as a single product and as a compound with other fertilizers. Further investigations will be conducted.

#### 6.5 Recalculations

In 2018, recalculations were implemented for the agricultural emission inventory.

NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> emissions have been updated on the basis of the emission factors for the synthetic nitrogen fertilizers, sewage sludge and other organic fertilisers categories (3D) reported in the last version of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

On the basis of the results of the 2010 General Agricultural Census and Farm Structure Survey 2013 data on the manure management systems, the emission factors of NH<sub>3</sub> from housing (dairy cattle and laying hens from 2006), storage (cattle, swine and laying hens from 2006) and spreading (cattle, swine, laying hens and broilers from 2006) have been updated. Based on these variations, the distribution of manure and contained nitrogen between liquid/slurry and solid for dairy cattle and laying hens were also updated.

 $NO_X$  emissions from storage, spreading and grazing have been updated according to the tier 2 methodology reported in the last version of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016).

NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from digesters biogas facilities have been estimated and subtracted from 3B manure management category (cattle and swine) and allocated in the anaerobic digestion at biogas facilities (5B2 of the waste sector).

The portion of nitrogen lost through N-NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from the digesters (during storage of feedstock, during the liquid–solid separation of the digestate, during storage of the digestate) was subtracted from the percentage of nitrogen left after emissions during housing and storage, reducing the amount of nitrogen used at the spreading. The amount of nitrogen used at the spreading also includes the digestate.

NMVOC emissions from manure storage have been updated on the basis of the emission factors for livestock categories (3B) reported in the last version of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). NMVOC emissions for poultry and other animals (rabbits and fur animals) have also been included.

Updating activity data for HCB emissions the period 2009-2015.

<sup>5</sup> Federchimica is the National Association of the Chemical Industry and Assofertilizzanti represents the production companies of the fertilizer industry.

156

 $NH_3$  and  $SO_2$  emissions from field burning of agricultural residues have been added to the estimates of the agriculture sector.

The number of sows has been update for the year 2015. Updating data on rice production for the year 2015. Updating data on sewage N applied for the period 2013-2016.

## **6.6** Planned improvements

Since the 2006 submission, results from a specific project on Mediterranean area, the *MeditAIRaneo Agriculture* project, have been included in the preparation of the UNFCCC/UNECE-CLRTAP agricultural emission inventory (CRPA, 2006[a]). Moreover, outcomes from the convention signed between APAT (now ISPRA) and the Ministry for the Environment, Land and Sea on NH<sub>3</sub> emission scenarios have been incorporated to the agricultural emissions inventory (CRPA, 2006 [a], [b]; ENEA, 2006, CRPA, 2010[b]).

Currently, uncertainty analysis, for the agricultural emission sector, is carried out only for the GHG emission inventory. We plan to estimate uncertainties also for the other pollutants, including NH<sub>3</sub> and PM. Monte Carlo analysis has also been performed for one key category of the GHG agricultural emission inventory; initial results are shown in the NIR (ISPRA, several years [a]).

No emissions are estimated for 3Da4 Crop residues applied to soils, 3Db Indirect emissions from managed soils and 3Dd off-farm storage, handling and transport of bulk agricultural products. However, Italy will assess the availability of AD and EFs for these categories.

In the coming years, specific surveys on agricultural sector such as 2016 Farm Structure Survey (FSS) and the Permanent census of agriculture will provide valuable information on animal and agronomic production methods. The focus of the Permanent census is to provide a comprehensive information framework on the structure of the agricultural system and the livestock at national, regional and local level. by integrating archive data and carrying out statistical support surveys. Statistical registers will be created with the aim of increasing the quantity and quality of information in order to reduce the response burden and the overall production cost of official statistics<sup>6</sup>.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> http://www.istat.it/en/permanent-census/agriculture

## 7 WASTE (NFR SECTOR 5)

### 7.1 Overview of the sector

Italy estimates the categories of the waste sector, as reported in the following box. Under category 5D, no emissions are reported as only CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O occurred, but following the encouragements derived from the review process NMVOC emissions from wastewater treatment plants are under investigations. Conversely, Italy does not consider NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from latrines because this activity does not occur or it can be considered negligible. In the framework of the Urban Wastewater Treatment Directive (UWWTD, 2011) regarding agglomerations  $\geq 2,000$  p.e. (population equivalent) and referred to reporting year 2007, Italy reported the following data: 3,246 agglomerations  $\geq 2,000$  p.e. and 97.8% of all agglomerations have a collecting system in place; in unsewered areas, onsite systems, such as Imhoff tanks, must be used. The biogas collected from the anaerobic digestion of wastewaters is burned with heat/energy recovery and relevant emissions are reported in Category 1 while emissions from the exceeding biogas which is flared are not estimated at the moment because emission factors are under investigation, but anyway it should be negligible.

NFR		SNAP	
5A	Solid waste disposal on land	09 04 01 09 04 02	Managed waste disposal on land Unmanaged waste disposal on land
5B	Biological treatment of waste	09 10 05 09 10 06	Compost production Anaerobic digestion at biogas facilities
5C1a	Municipal waste incineration	09 02 01	Incineration of municipal wastes
5C1b	Other waste incineration	09 02 02 09 02 05 09 02 07 09 02 08	Incineration of industrial wastes Incineration of sludge from wastewater treatment Incineration of hospital wastes Incineration of waste oil
5C1bv	Cremation	09 09 01	Cremation of corpses
5C2	Small scale waste burning	09 07 00	Open burning of agricultural wastes
5D	Wastewater handling	09 10 01 09 10 02	Waste water treatment in industry Waste water treatment in residential and commercial sector
5E	Other waste		Car and building fires

Concerning air pollutants, emissions estimated for each sector are reported in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 Air pollutant emissions estimated for each sector

Main pollutants	5A	5B	5C1a	5C1bi	5C1bii	5C1biii	5C1biv	5C2	5C1bv	5E
NO <sub>x</sub>			X	X	X	X	X	X	Х	
CO			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
NMVOC	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
$SO_x$			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
$NH_3$	X	X								
Particulate matter										
TSP			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
PM10			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
PM2.5			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BC			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Priority heavy

Main pollutants	5A	5B	5C1a	5C1bi	5C1bii	5C1biii	5C1biv	5C2	5C1bv	5E
metals										
Pb			X	X	X	X	X		X	
Cd			X	X	X	X	X		X	
Hg			X	X	X	X	X		X	
POPs Annex II										
PCB			X	X		X	X		X	
POPs Annex										
III										
Dioxins			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
PAH			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
HCB			X	X		X	X		X	
Other heavy										
metals										
As			X	X	X	X	X		X	
Cr			X	X	X	X	X		X	
Cu			X	X	X	X	X		X	
Ni			X	X	X	X	X		X	
Se			X	X		X			X	
Zn			X	X	X		X		X	

In 2016, sewage sludge incineration (5C1b iv), is key category for HCB emissions and other waste (5E) is key category for PM10 and PM2.5. In 1990, industrial waste incineration (5C1b i) and municipal waste incineration (5C1a) are key categories for dioxins emissions whereas sewage sludge incineration (5C1b iv) is key category for HCB. As regard the trend, municipal waste incineration (5C1a) is key category for dioxins emissions whereas sewage sludge incineration (5C1b iv) is key category for HCB and anaerobic digestion at biogas facilities (5B2) is key category for NH<sub>3</sub> emissions.

The waste sector, and in particular Waste incineration (5C), is a source of different pollutants; for the main pollutants, in 2016, the sector accounts for:

- 62.1 % in national total HCB emissions;
- 8.5 % in national total PAH emissions;
- 7.2 % in national total BC emissions;
- 3.8% and 3.4% in national total PM2.5 and PM10 emissions respectively;
- 2.9 % in national total Dioxin emissions.

Moreover, the sector comprises 2.8% of total  $NH_3$  emissions, 2.1% of CO, 1.2% of NMVOC, and for what concerns all remaining pollutants are below 1%.

# 7.2 Methodological issues

#### Solid waste disposal on land (5A)

Solid waste disposal on land is a major source concerning greenhouse gas emissions but not concerning air pollutants. Notwithstanding, NMVOC and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions are estimated, as a percentage of methane emitted, calculated using the IPCC Tier 2 methodology (IPCC, 1997; IPCC, 2000), through the application of the First Order Decay Model (FOD). A detailed description of the model and its application to Italian landfills is reported in the National Inventory Report on the Italian greenhouse gas inventory (ISPRA, 2018 [a]).

Following the suggestion of NEC review (EEA, 2017 [a]) more info about the extraction and use of biogas is provided below.

The amount of biogas recovery in landfills has increased as a result of the implementation of the European Directive on the landfill of waste (EC, 1999); the amounts of biogas recovered and flared have

been estimated taking into account the amount of energy produced, the energy efficiency of the methane recovered, the captation efficiency and the efficiency in recovering methane for energy purposes assuming that the rest of methane captured is flared.

Emissions for all the relevant pollutants from biogas recovered from landfills and used for energy purposes are reported in the energy sector in "1A4a biomass" category together with wood, the biomass fraction of incinerated waste and biogas from wastewater plants. In the following scheme consumptions and low calorific values are reported for the year 2016.

1A4a biomass detailed activity data. Year 2016

Fuels	•	Consumption (Gg)	LCV (TJ/Gg)
Wood and Wood		257.09	10.47
similar	similar Steam Wood		31.40
Incinerated waste	(biomass)	2939.78	9.20
Biogas from landf	ills	298.90	53.23
Biogas from waste	ewater plants	18.86	53.23

It is assumed that landfill gas composition is 50% VOC. The percentage by weight of CH<sub>4</sub> compared to the total VOC emitted is 98.7%. The remaining 1.3% (NMVOC) consists of paraffinic, aromatic and halogenated hydrocarbons (Gaudioso et al., 1993): this assumption refers to US EPA data (US EPA, 1990). As regard ammonia, emission factor has been assumed equal to 1 volume per cent of VOC too (Tchobanoglous et al., 1993).

Methane, and consequently NMVOC and NH<sub>3</sub> air pollutants, is emitted from the degradation of waste occurring in municipal landfills, both managed and unmanaged (due to national legislation, from 2000 municipal solid wastes are disposed only into managed landfills). The main parameters that influence the estimation of emissions from landfills are, apart from the amount of waste disposed into managed landfill: the waste composition (which vary through the years in the model); the fraction of methane in the landfill gas (included in VOC, which has been assumed equal to 50%) and the amount of landfill gas collected and treated. These parameters are strictly dependent on the waste management policies throughout the waste streams which consist of: waste generation, collection and transportation, separation for resource recovery, treatment for volume reduction, stabilisation, recycling and energy recovery and disposal at landfill sites.

Basic data on waste production and landfills system are those provided by the national Waste Cadastre, basically built with data reported through the Uniform Statement Format (MUD). The Waste Cadastre is formed by a national branch, hosted by ISPRA, and by regional and provincial branches.

These figures are elaborated and published by ISPRA yearly since 1999: the yearbooks report waste production data, as well as data concerning landfilling, incineration, composting, anaerobic digestion and generally waste life-cycle data (APAT-ONR, several years; ISPRA, several years [a]).

For inventory purposes, a database of waste production, waste disposal in managed and unmanaged landfills and sludge disposal in landfills was created and it has been assumed that waste landfilling started in 1950.

For the year 2016, the non hazardous landfills in Italy disposed 7,432 kt of MSW and 2,513 kt of industrial wastes, as well as 173 kt of sludge from urban wastewater treatment plants.

In Table 7.2, the time series of AMSW and domestic sludge disposed into non hazardous landfills from 1990 is reported.

**Table 7.2** Trend of MSW production and MSW, AMSW and domestic sludge disposed in landfills (Gg)

ACTIVITY DATA (Gg)	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
MSW production	22,231	25,780	28,959	31,664	32,479	31,386	29,994	29,573	29,652	29,524	30,117
MSW disposed in landfills for non hazardous waste	17,432	22,459	21,917	17,226	15,015	13,206	11,720	10,914	9,332	7,819	7,432
Assimilated MSW disposed in landfills for non hazardous waste	2,828	2,978	2,825	2,914	3,508	2,883	2,292	2,512	2,913	3,222	2,513
Sludge disposed in managed landfills for non hazardous waste	2,454	1,531	1,326	544	301	292	214	193	188	180	173
Total Waste to managed landfills for non hazardous waste	16,363	21,897	26,069	20,684	18,825	16,380	14,226	13,619	12,433	11,221	10,117
Total Waste to unmanaged landfills for non hazardous waste	6,351	5,071	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Waste to landfills for non hazardous waste	22,714	26,968	26,069	20,684	18,825	16,380	14,226	13,619	12,433	11,221	10,117

#### Biological treatment of waste (5B)

Under this category, NMVOC and  $NH_3$  emissions from compost production and from anaerobic digestion are reported.

The amount of waste treated in biological treatments has shown a great increase from 1990 to 2016 (from 283,879 Mg to 7,414,861 Mg for composting and from 0 to 140,166Mg of N-excreted from manure management).

Information on input waste to composting plants is published yearly by ISPRA since 1996, including data for 1993 and 1994 (ANPA, 1998; APAT-ONR, several years; ISPRA, several years [a]), while for 1987 and 1995 only data on compost production are available (MATTM, several years [a]; AUSITRA-Assoambiente, 1995); on the basis of this information the whole time series has been reconstructed.

The composting plants are classified in two different kinds: the plants that treat a selected waste (food, market, garden waste, sewage sludge and other organic waste, mainly from the agro-food industry); and the mechanical-biological treatment plants, that treat the unselected waste to produce compost, refuse derived fuel (RDF), and a waste with selected characteristics for landfilling or incinerating system.

It is assumed that 100% of the input waste to the composting plants from selected waste is treated as compost, while in mechanical-biological treatment plants 30% of the input waste is treated as compost on the basis of national studies and references (Favoino and Cortellini, 2001; Favoino and Girò, 2001). NMVOC emission factor (51g NMVOC kg-1 treated waste) is from international scientific literature too (Finn and Spencer, 1997).

NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from digesters biogas facilities (in particular for different phases: during storage of feedstock on the premises of the biogas facility, during the liquid–solid separation of the digestate; during storage of the digestate) have been estimated on the basis of the quantity of excreted nitrogen feeding anaerobic digesters and the tier 1 emission factor derived from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from digesters biogas facilities have been subtracted from manure management category (3B) and allocated in the anaerobic digestion at biogas facilities (5B2).

#### Waste Incineration (5C1a – 5C1b)

Regarding waste incineration, methodology used for estimating emissions is based on and consistent with the EMEP/CORINAIR Guidebook (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2016).

In this sector only emissions from facilities without energy recovery are reported, whereas emissions from waste incineration facilities with energy recovery are reported in the Energy Sector 1A4a. In 2016, about 99% of the total amount of waste incinerated is treated in plants with energy recovery system.

Existing incinerators in Italy are used for the disposal of municipal waste, together with some industrial waste, sanitary waste and sewage sludge for which the incineration plant has been authorized by the competent authority. Other incineration plants are used exclusively for industrial and sanitary waste, both hazardous and not, and for the combustion of waste oils, whereas there are plants that treat residual waste from waste treatments, as well as sewage sludge.

A complete database of the incineration plants is now available, updated with the information reported in the yearly report on waste production and management published by ISPRA (APAT-ONR, several years; ISPRA, several years). For each plant a lot of information is reported, among which the year of the construction and possible upgrade, the typology of combustion chamber and gas treatment section, energy recovery section (thermal or electric), and the type and amount of waste incinerated (municipal, industrial, etc.). A specific emission factor is therefore used for each pollutant combined with plant specific waste activity data.

In Table 7.3, emission factors for each pollutant and waste typology are reported. Emission factors have been estimated on the basis of a study conducted by ENEA (De Stefanis P., 1999), based on emission data from a large sample of Italian incinerators (FEDERAMBIENTE, 1998; AMA-Comune di Roma, 1996), legal thresholds (Ministerial Decree 19 November 1997, n. 503 of the Ministry of Environment; Ministerial Decree 12 July 1990) and expert judgements. For PCB and HCB emission factors published on the Guidebook EMEP/EEA (EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007) in the relevant chapters are used, a survey on HCB emission factor from sludge incineration is currently underway.

Since 2010, emission factors for urban waste incinerators have been updated on the basis of data provided by plants (ENEA-federAmbiente, 2012; De Stefanis P., 2012) concerning the annual stack flow, the amount of waste burned and the average concentrations of the pollutants at the stack.

**Table 7.3** *Emission factors for waste incineration* 

Air Pollutant	u.m	Municipal 1990-2009	Municipal Since 2010	Industrial	Clinical	Sludge	Oil
NO <sub>x</sub>	kg/t	1.15	0.62	2	0.603624	3	2
CO	kg/t	0.07	0.07	0.56	0.07542	0.6	0.07542
NMVOC	kg/t	0.46046	0.46046	7.4	7.4	0.25116	7.4
$SO_2$	kg/t	0.39	0.02	1.28	0.02594	1.28	1.28
PM10	g/t	46	6.06	240	25.676	180	240
PM2.5	g/t	46	6.06	240	25.676	180	240
BC	g/t	1.61	0.21	8.40	0.90	6.30	8.40
As	g/t	0.05	0.02	0.12	0.0042	0.5	0.12
Cu	g/t	1	0.001	1.2	0.564	10	1.2
Se	g/t	0.013	0.013	0.006	0.03736	-	0.006
Zn	g/t	0.017	0.017	12.6	-	10	12.6
Cd	g/t	0.25	0.01	0.8	0.001128	1.2	0.8
Cr	g/t	0.45	0.002	1.6	0.01168	3	1.6
Hg	g/t	0.15	0.033	0.8	0.03684	1.2	0.8
Ni	g/t	16.35	0.001	0.8	0.02504	3	0.8
Pb	g/t	1.35	1.04	24	0.0246	3	24
PAH	g/t	0.05	0.00054	0.48	0.00014126	0.6	0.48
PCB	g/t	0.005	0.00005	0.005	0.02	0.005	-
НСВ	g/t	0.001	0.00002	0.0001	0.019	0.500	-

Concerning dioxin emissions, clinical and industrial emission factors are also derived from data collected

from a large sample of Italian incinerators and legal thresholds, as well as expert judgement; in particular for municipal solid waste, emission factors vary within the years and the facility on the basis of plant technology (i.e. typology of combustion chamber and gas treatment section) and the year of the upgrade. This site specific evaluation has been possible thanks to a study conducted in the past for a sample of municipal waste incinerators located in Regione Lombardia in order to produce an assessment of field-based values applicable to other facilities with the same characteristics (Pastorelli et al., 2001) and, since 2010 urban waste data, thanks to the abovementioned survey (ENEA-federAmbiente, 2012). Moreover, for the incineration plants reported in the national EPER/PRTR register, verification of emissions has been carried out.

In Table 7.4 dioxin emission factors for waste incineration are reported for 1990 and 2016.

**Table 7.4** Dioxin emission factors for 1990 and 2016

Waste Typology	u.m	1990	2016
Municipal	μg/t	115 - 1.6	0.1
Clinical	μg/t	200	0.5
Industrial	μg/t	80 - 135	0.5
Sludge	μg/t	77	0.5
Oil	μg/t	200	0.5

In Table 7.5 activity data are reported by type of waste.

**Table 7.5** *Amount of waste incinerated by type (Gg)* 

Waste incinerated	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
					Gg						
Total waste	1,656.2	2,149.1	3,061.7	4,964.2	6,977.3	6,797.3	6,709.4	7,002.5	7,426.4	7,533.5	7,635.8
with energy recovery without	911.2	1,557.8	2,749.7	4,720.6	6,795.9	6,614.6	6,517.8	6,794.2	7,308.1	7,430.3	7,531.3
energy recovery	745.0	591.3	312.0	243.5	181.4	182.7	191.6	208.3	118.3	103.2	104.5
Municipal waste (5C1a)	1,025.6	1,436.6	2,324.9	3,219.9	4,336.9	4,733.0	4,256.6	4,314.0	4,711.7	4,698.4	4,522.7
with energy recovery	626.4	1,185.5	2,161.4	3,168.0	4,284.0	4,695.0	4,255.1	4,314.0	4,711.7	4,698.4	4,522.7
without energy recovery	399.2	251.1	163.5	51.9	52.9	38.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial waste (5C1b i-ii-iv)	496.1	560.7	626.5	1,618.1	2,505.3	1,961.1	2,334.6	2,590.5	2,616.1	2,733.5	3,010.2
with energy recovery without	259.5	331.2	511.6	1,447.0	2,399.4	1,849.0	2,192.5	2,431.2	2,552.2	2,674.9	2,950.8
energy recovery	236.6	229.6	114.8	171.1	105.9	112.2	142.0	159.3	63.9	58.6	59.3
Clinical waste (5C1biii)	134.5	151.7	110.3	126.2	135.1	103.1	118.2	97.9	98.7	101.6	102.9
with energy recovery	25.3	41.1	76.7	105.7	112.5	70.6	70.1	49.0	44.2	57.0	57.7

without	109.2	110.6	33.6	20.5	22.6	32.5	48.1	49.0	54.5	44.6	45.2
energy	109.2	110.0	33.0	20.5	22.0	32.3	40.1	49.0	54.5	44.0	45.2
recovery											

## Cremation of corpses (5C1bv)

Emissions from incineration of human bodies in crematoria have been carried out for the entire time series. The methodology used for estimating emissions is based on and conform to the EMEP/EEA Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2009).

Activity data have been supplied by a specific branch of Federutility, which is the federation of energy and water companies (SEFIT, several years), whereas emission factors are those reported in the Guidebook.

Up to some years ago cremation was not so popular in Italy also because the Catholic Church encouraged burial. Partly because cemeteries are becoming overcrowded, the number of cremations in Italy has risen from 5,809 in 1990 to 141,555 in 2016. Moreover, it is practice to cremate also mortal remains: activity data have been supplied too by SEFIT, from 1999, whereas mortal remains from 1990 to 1998 have been reconstructed on the basis of an expert judgment (SEFIT, several years).

In Table 7.6 time series of number of cremations, mortal remains, as well as annual deaths and crematoria in Italy are reported. The major emissions from crematoria are nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide, particulate matter, mercury, hydrogen fluoride (HF), hydrogen chloride (HCl), NMVOCs, other heavy metals, and some POPs. In Table 7.7 emission factors for cremation are reported.

**Table 7.6** *Cremation time series (activity data)* 

Cremation of corpses	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Cremations	5,809	15,436	30,167	48,196	77,379	87,871	101,842	110,712	118,323	137,168	141,555
Deaths	543,700	555,203	560,241	567,304	587,488	593,404	612,883	600,744	598,364	653,000	615,261
Mortal remains	1,000	1,750	1,779	9,880	18,899	23,353	29,009	29,588	30,242	34,178	36,608
% of cremation	1.07	2.78	5.38	8.50	13.17	14.81	16.62	18.43	19.77	21.01	23.01
Crematoria	ND	31	35	43	53	56	58	63	67	70	77

Table 7.7 Emission factors for cremation of corpses

Air pollutant	u.m.	Cremation
$NO_X$	kg/body	0.309
CO	kg/body	0.141
NMVOC	kg/body	0.013
$SO_X$	kg/body	0.544
PM10	g/body	14.6
PM2.5	g/body	14.6
Pb	mg/body	0.0186
Cd	mg/body	0.00311
Hg	mg/body	0.934
As	mg/body	0.011
Cr	mg/body	0.00844
Cu	mg/body	0.00771
Ni	mg/body	0.0107
PAH (benzo(a)pyrene)	μg/body	0.0103
Dioxins	μg/body	0.0168

#### Small scale waste burning (5C2)

Emissions from burning of agriculture residues burnt off-site are not key categories. Moreover, Dioxins,

TSP, PM10, PM2.5, BC, CO, NMVOC, PAH, SO<sub>X</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> emissions have been estimated. No estimations were performed for NH<sub>3</sub> emissions as well as HMs and other POPs.

A country-specific methodology has been used. Parameters taken into consideration are the following:

- 1. Amount of removable residues (t), estimated with annual crop production (ISTAT, several years [a], [b]; ISTAT, 2017 [a], [b]) and removable residues/product ratio (IPCC, 1997; CESTAAT, 1988; Borgioli E., 1981).
- 2. Amount of dry residues in removable residue (t dry matter), calculated with amount of removable fixed residues and fraction of dry matter (IPCC, 1997; CESTAAT, 1988; Borgioli E., 1981).
- 3. Amount of removable dry residues oxidized (t dry matter), assessed with amount of dry residues in the removable residues, burnt fraction of removable residues (CESTAAT, 1988) and fraction of residues oxidized during burning (IPCC, 1997).
- 4. Amount of carbon from removable residues burning release in air (t C), calculated with the amount of removable dry residue oxidized and the fraction of carbon from the dry matter of residues (IPCC, 1997; CESTAAT, 1988).
- 5. C-CH<sub>4</sub> from removable residues burning (t C-CH<sub>4</sub>), calculated with the amount of carbon from removable residues burning release in air and default emissions rate for C-CH<sub>4</sub>, equal to 0.005 (IPCC, 1997).
- 6. C-CO from removable residues burning (t C-CO), calculated with the amount of carbon from removable residues burning release in air and default emissions rate for C-CO, equal to 0.06 (IPCC, 1997).
- 7. Amount of nitrogen from removable residues burning release in air (t N), calculated with the amount of removable dry residue oxidized and the fraction of nitrogen from the dry matter of residues. The fraction of nitrogen has been calculated considering raw protein content from residues (dry matter fraction) divided by 6.25.
- 8. N-NO<sub>X</sub> from removable residues burning (t N-NO<sub>X</sub>), calculated with the amount of nitrogen from removable residues burning release in air and the default emissions rate for N- NO<sub>X</sub>, equal to 0.121 (IPCC, 1997).

NMVOC emissions have been considered equal to CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. As regards the other pollutants, the following emission factors have been used to estimate PAH, PM, BC and dioxins (Table 7.8).

Air pollutant	u.m.	Removable residues	References
PAH	g/t	8.58	TNO, 1995
PM10	g/t	3.3	EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007
PM2.5	g/t	2.8	EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007
Dioxins	μg/t	10	EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007
BC	σ/t	1.2	FMFP/FFA 2013

 Table 7.8 Emission factors for burning of agriculture residues

Removable residues from agriculture production are estimated for each crop type (cereal, green crop, permanent cultivation) taking into account the amount of crop produced, from national statistics (ISTAT, several years [a], [b]; ISTAT, 2017 [a], [b]), the ratio of removable residue in the crop, the dry matter content of removable residue, the ratio of removable residue burned, the fraction of residues oxidised in burning, the carbon and nitrogen content of the residues. Most of these wastes refer especially to the prunes of olives and wine, because of the typical national cultivation. Activity data (agricultural production) used for estimating burning of agriculture residues are reported in Table 7.9. Emissions due to stubble burning, which are emissions only from the agriculture residues burned on field, are reported in the agriculture sector, under 4.F. Under the waste sector the burning of removable agriculture residues that are collected and could be managed in different ways (disposed in landfills, used to produce compost or used to produce energy) is reported. Different percentages of the removable agriculture residue burnt for different residues are assumed, varying from 10% to 90%, according to national and international literature. Moreover, these removable

wastes are assumed to be all burned in open air (e.g. on field), taking in consideration the highest available CO, NMVOC, PM, PAH and dioxins emission factors as reported in the table above. The amount of biomass from pruning used for domestic heating is reported in the energy sector in the 1A4b category as biomass fuel.

**Table 7.9** *Time series of crop productions (Gg)* 

Production	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
					Gg						
Cereals											
Wheat	8,108.5	7,946.1	7,427.7	7,717.1	6,849.9	6,641.8	7,654.2	7,312.0	7,141.9	7,394.5	8,037.9
Rye	20.8	19.8	10.3	7.9	13.9	14.4	16.1	14.3	11.5	13.2	13.2
Barley	1,702.5	1,387.1	1,261.6	1,214.1	944.3	950.9	940.2	875.6	848.7	955.1	988.3
Oats	298.4	301.3	317.9	429.2	288.9	297.1	292.4	246.9	241.1	261.4	260.8
Rice	1,290.7	1,320.9	1,245.6	1,444.8	1,574.3	1,560.1	1,601.5	1,433.1	1,415.9	1,505.8	1,587.3
Maize	5,864	8,454	10,140	10,428	8,496	9,752	7,889	7,900	9,250	7,074	6,839
Sorghum	114.2	214.8	215.2	184.9	275.6	299.9	157.8	316.9	368.8	294.2	313.8
Woody crops											
Grapes	8,438.0	8,447.7	8,869.5	8,553.6	7,839.7	7,054.7	6,918.0	8,010.4	6,930.8	7,915.0	8,044.1
Olives	912.5	3,323.5	2,810.3	3,774.8	3,117.8	3,168.3	3,017.5	2,940.5	1,913.7	2,732.9	2,016.0
Citrus Orchards	2,868.8	2,607.7	3,100.2	3,518.1	3,820.6	3,434.8	2,925.1	2,720.6	2,705.5	3,151.5	2,766.4
Orchards	5,793.5	5,406.6	5,952.2	6,034.5	5,777.3	6,287.3	5,124.8	5,605.9	5,820.2	5,988.8	5,927.5
Carobs	29.2	44.4	38.1	31.7	25.3	44.7	30.8	9.4	31.5	31.5	28.9
Total	35,441	39,474	41,388	43,339	39,023	39,506	36,567	37,385	36,680	37,318	36,824

#### *Wastewater treatments (5D)*

The biogas collected from the anaerobic digestion of wastewaters is burned with heat/energy recovery and relevant emissions are reported in Category 1. As regards NMVOC emissions from wastewater handling, consequently to the NEC review (EEA, 2017 [a]) Italy started a survey to find reliable EFs, trying to consider the distribution of waste water treatments. In a first and conservative attempt, using the EF derived from the Guidebook and the population served by treatment plants, it results in 116, 144 and 169 Mg of NMVOC in 2005, 2010 and 2015 respectively. This survey is essential for estimates because also the 2016 Guidebook stated that "A default emission factor for NMVOC emissions from waste water handling has been derived from a Turkish study (Atasoy et al., 2004). This emission factor should be handled with care, since it may not be applicable to all waste water treatment plants. Furthermore, the emission factors reported in literature show a high variation. More specific information is available in the references indicated in subsection 2.3 of the present chapter."

#### Other waste (5E)

On the basis of the Final review report of the 2017 Comprehensive technical review of national emission inventories (EEA, 2017 [a]) emissions from category 5E – Car and Building Fires have been estimated. Buildings have been subdivided into 4 subcategories: detached house, undetached house, apartment

buildings and industrial buildings and the distribution of population in the different typology of building has been derived from Eurostat . Data regarding the number of car and building fires have been derived from the Annually statistics of fire service in Italy (Annually statistics of fire service in Italy , several years) while EFs are coherent with the Guidebook EMEP/EEA 2016 deriving from Aasestad, 2007 for particulate matter (TSP=PM10=PM2.5) while BC EF has been derived from IIASA report (IIASA, 2004) . No data about car and building fires are available before 2000 so 90's data have been reconstructed on the basis of the national population and the resulting time series are reported in Tab. 7.10.

**Table 7.10** PM2.5 and BC emissions from the category 5E

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
					Gg						
PM2.5	3.09	3.10	3.13	3.18	2.80	3.20	3.19	3.21	3.27	3.27	3.84
BC	0.57	0.57	0.58	0.59	0.52	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.60	0.61	0.71

## 7.3 Time series and key categories

The following Table 7.11 presents an outline of the weight of the different categories for each pollutant in the waste sector for the year 2016. Key categories are those shaded.

**Table 7.11** Key categories in the waste sector in 2016

	5A	5B1	5B2	5C1a	5C1bi %	5C1biii	5C1biv	5C1bv	5C2	5E
SO <sub>x</sub>					0.04	0.00	0.04	0.08	0.08	
$NO_x$					0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.26	
$NH_3$	1.52	0.05	1.27							
NMVOC	0.79	0.04			0.03	0.04	0.001	0.00	0.27	
CO					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.11	
$PM_{10}$					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.37	1.99
$PM_{2.5}$					0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.41	2.38
BC					0.00	0.00	0.00		4.15	3.09
Pb					0.30	0.00	0.03	0.00		
Cd					0.40	0.00	0.45	0.00		
Hg					0.30	0.02	0.34	0.00		
PAH					0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	8.47	
Dioxins					0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	2.89	
HCB					0.02	3.93	58.11			
PCB					0.09	0.48	0.07			

Note: key categories are shaded in blue

In addition, dioxins emissions from municipal waste are key categories at trend assessment. In particular, from 1990 dioxins emissions from waste incineration (5C1) have decreased by about 100% as a consequence of the introduction of more stringent limits of these emissions for incineration plants (see Table 7.4, Figure 7.2 and Figure 7.5).

The following pie charts show, for the main pollutants, the contribution of each sub-category to the total

emissions from the waste sector, both for 1990 and 2016 (Figure 7.1, Figure 7.2, Figure 7.3 and Figure 7.4).

It is important to point out that industrial waste incineration is the major source of HCB emissions (62% of the national total), in particular the waste water sludge incineration (58% of the national total), 12.71 Kg in 2016, which shows an increase of 22.6% with respect to the reference year (Figure 7.2).

Finally, in Table 7.12, emissions time series for each pollutant of the waste sector are reported. In the period 1990-2016, total emissions from incineration plants increase, but whereas emissions from plants with energy recovery show a strong growth, emissions from plants without energy recovery decreased because of the legal constraints which impose the energy production. For 2016, about 99% of the total amount of waste incinerated is treated in plants with energy recovery system reported in 1A4a.




Figure 7.1 Contribution of CO, NO<sub>X</sub> and NMVOC sub-category emissions to waste sector total emissions









Figure 7.2 Contribution of POPs Annex III sub-category emissions to waste sector total emissions














Figure 7.3 Contribution of priority heavy metals sub-category emissions to waste sector total emissions




Figure 7.4 Contribution of PM10 and PM2.5 sub-category emissions to waste sector total emissions




Figure 7.5 Time series of dioxin emissions of the waste sector by category (g I-Teq)

**Table 7.12** Time series emissions in the waste sector by category and pollutant

WASTE SECTOR	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Solid waste disposal											
(5A)											
NMVOC (Gg)	6.43	7.97	9.06	8.96	8.20	7.91	7.95	7.30	7.26	7.36	7.18
$NH_3$ (Gg)	5.21	6.46	7.35	7.26	6.65	6.41	6.44	5.92	5.89	5.97	5.82
Biological treatment											
of waste (5B)											
NMVOC (Gg)	0.01	0.03	0.14	0.28	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.38	0.41	0.37	0.38
$NH_3$ (Gg)	0.01	0.19	0.17	0.81	1.37	2.54	2.68	4.70	5.23	4.99	5.05
Waste incineration											
(5C)											
CO (Gg)	40.68	46.90	45.38	50.47	47.18	46.79	47.66	44.86	42.03	47.05	48.81
$NO_x$ (Gg)	2.68	2.80	2.34	2.55	2.29	2.31	2.35	2.31	2.00	2.19	2.23
NMVOC (Gg)	4.55	4.75	3.26	3.78	3.25	3.27	3.52	3.57	2.79	2.90	3.00
$SO_x(Gg)$	0.55	0.49	0.31	0.35	0.27	0.29	0.34	0.37	0.25	0.26	0.26
PM10 (Gg)	2.31	2.55	2.45	2.68	2.55	2.54	2.54	2.45	2.34	2.55	2.67
PM2.5 (Gg)	1.99	2.19	2.10	2.31	2.19	2.18	2.19	2.10	2.01	2.19	2.29
BC (Gg)	0.81	0.89	0.87	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.90	0.87	0.84	0.91	0.96
PAH (t)	5.94	6.57	6.34	6.95	6.62	6.59	6.60	6.34	6.08	6.61	6.93
Dioxins (g I-Teq))	103.22	79.68	28.76	8.18	7.73	7.69	7.70	7.41	7.10	7.73	8.10
HCB (kg)	12.86	13.96	9.87	8.26	3.43	8.81	14.29	13.95	13.58	13.40	13.57
PCB (kg)	5.36	4.61	2.06	1.52	0.98	1.21	1.67	1.78	1.41	1.19	1.20
As (t)	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02
Cd (t)	0.30	0.26	0.14	0.16	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.06	0.06	0.06
Cr (t)	0.59	0.51	0.28	0.32	0.18	0.20	0.27	0.29	0.14	0.13	0.13
Cu (t)	0.93	0.79	0.48	0.41	0.19	0.30	0.43	0.45	0.33	0.32	0.32
Hg (t)	0.26	0.23	0.12	0.15	0.09	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.06	0.06	0.06
Ni (t)	6.76	4.34	2.81	1.02	0.10	0.13	0.17	0.19	0.11	0.10	0.10
Pb (t)	5.78	5.36	2.60	3.85	2.47	2.39	2.85	3.28	1.01	0.88	0.89
Se (t)	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Zn (t)	2.93	2.84	1.40	2.12	1.32	1.37	1.72	1.94	0.74	0.67	0.68
Other waste (5E)											
PM2.5 (Gg)	3.09	3.10	3.13	3.18	2.80	3.20	3.19	3.21	3.27	3.27	3.84
BC (Gg)	0.57	0.57	0.58	0.59	0.52	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.60	0.61	0.71

# 7.4 Recalculations

In the following table the recalculations occurred in the 2018 submission with respect the last year submission are reported at category level for the whole time series

 Table 7.13 Recalculations in the waste sector by category and pollutant

WASTE SECTOR	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Solid waste disposal (5A)										
NMVOC	-32.8%	-10.7%	-11.3%	-13.6%	-11.2%	-11.4%	-11.2%	-9.4%	-8.1%	-0.9%
$NH_3$	-32.8%	-10.7%	-11.3%	-13.6%	-11.2%	-11.4%	-11.2%	-9.4%	-8.1%	-0.9%
Biological treatment of waste (5B) NMVOC NH <sub>3</sub>	0%	1082%	153%	509%	715%	1378%	1464%	2515%	2589%	2754%
Waste incineration (5C) CO NO <sub>x</sub> NMVOC SO <sub>x</sub> PM10 PM2.5 BC	15.6%	17.9%	31.2%	26.6%	0.0% -1.2% 25.8%	34.7%	27.7%	25.2%	42.0%	-0.3% -1.0% -3.8% 36.0% -0.4% -0.4% -0.3%

WASTE SECTOR	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
PAH										-0.4%
Dioxins										-0.4%
HCB										-0.7%
PCB										-15.3%
As										-3.3%
Cd										-6.4%
Cr										-5.6%
Cu										-3.0%
Hg Ni										-6.7%
Ni										-3.5%
Pb										-12.2%
Se										-17.1%
Zn										-8.5%
Other waste (5E)										
PM2.5 (Gg)	NA									
BC (Gg)	NA									

According with the discussion during the ESD review about CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from landfills and the consequent technical correction (EEA, 2017 [b]), Italy revised the half life values considering the distribution of dry and wet regions in Italy. New data (CREA, 2017) regarding raining and evapotranspiration have been elaborated allowing to distinguish between dry and wet region and estimates have been splitted in two components considering the location of SWDS. Consequently, emissions of NMVOC and NH<sub>3</sub> undergo a recalculation decreasing by 32.8% in 1990 and by 1.0% in 2015. Minor recalculations occur from 2013 because of the update of sludge activity data.

About biological treatment, NH<sub>3</sub> emissions recalculations occurred due to the estimates of emissions from anaerobic digestion.

As regards incineration, recalculations occur for the introduction of SOx emissions from 5C2 for the entire time series while recalculations in 2016 depend on the update of activity data. Minor recalculations occur in 2010 due to a correction on CO, NOx and SOx EFs.

The analysis regarding incineration plants has been conducted through verifications and comparisons with data reported in E-PRTR registry, Emissions Trading Scheme and updated data of incinerated waste amount by plants.

# 7.5 Planned improvements

Emissions from flaring of exceeding biogas in landfills and wastewater treatment plants are under investigation and will be included in further submissions.

Following the encouragement derived from the review process NMVOC emissions from wastewater treatment plants are under investigations.

As regards incineration, a survey on HCB emission factor from sludge incineration is ongoing as well as further investigation on emission factors from cremation collecting the relevant data at plant level.

### 8 RECALCULATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS

### 8.1 Recalculations

To meet the requirements of transparency, consistency, comparability, completeness and accuracy of the inventory, the entire time series is checked and revised every year during the annual compilation of the inventory. Measures to guarantee and improve these qualifications are undertaken and recalculations should be considered as a contribution to the overall improvement of the inventory.

Recalculations are elaborated on account of changes in the methodologies used to carry out emission estimates, changes due to different allocation of emissions as compared to previous submissions, changes due to error corrections and in consideration of new available information.

The complete NFR files from 1990 to 2016 have been submitted. The percentage difference between the time series reported in the 2017 submission and the series reported this year (2018 submission) are shown in Table 8.1 by pollutant.

Improvements in the calculation of emission estimates have led to a recalculation of the entire time series of the national inventory. Considering the total emissions, the emission levels for the year 2015 show a decrease for some pollutants and an increase for others; in particular a significant decrease of NH3 emissions, equal to 6.4%, is due to the update of the information on existing technology applied for storage and land spreading of manure on the basis of elaboration of 2010 agriculture census and 2013 farm structure survey, where specific question were introduced, the increase of HCB emissions, equal to 8.0% is due to the update of use of pesticides activity data, the increase of PM10, PM2.5 and BC of 10.0%, 3.6% and 2.4% respectively is due to additional categories estimated and included in the transport, waste and agriculture sectors as a follow up of the review process, the increase of Hg emissions equal to 13.0% is due to the addition of emissions from geothermal energy production, the increase of NMVOC and NO<sub>X</sub> of 9.0% and 2.6% respectively are due to the update of emission factors for most of the agriculture categories on the basis of the EMEP/EEA 2016 Guidebook as required by the review process. Moreover Pb and Cd emissions increased of 1.3% and 1.5% respectively due to the update of the road transport model, COPERT 5 instead of COPERT 4.11. For the other pollutants recalculations for 2015 are less than 1%.

In 2018 a recalculation which involves both the *energy* and the *waste* sector is related to the update of activity data from 2014 for some industrial waste incineration plant used in incinerators with and without energy recovery. Recalculations involved especially HMs and POPs and resulted in a slight decrease of emission levels.

In the *energy* sector a further revision of the emission estimates regarded the road transport sector. Specifically, the upgraded version of COPERT model, COPERT 5 (EMISIA SA, 2016), has been applied to calculate emissions of all pollutants for the whole period 1990-2015. It resulted in a recalculation of the time series for all the pollutants. The complete time series, from 1990 to 2014, of natural gas fuel consumptions, for heating system categories for stationary combustion (1.A.4), have been updated on the basis of figures submitted by the Ministry of Economic Development to the Joint Questionnaire IEA/OECD/EUROSTAT resulting in recalculation of stationary combustion emissions. The energy conversion factor from Toe to TJ has been changed according to the international statistics resulting in a general update of energy and emission values for the whole sector. Concerning the aviation category (1.A.3.a), an overall assessment and comparison with emission estimates provided for Italy by Eurocontrol lead to the update of NMVOC and CO emission factors from 2005, and a consequent recalculation of emissions from this category. Ammonia and heavy metals emissions from geothermal production have been estimated and added to the inventory. For the stationary fuel combustion categories, minor update occurred for the last years, in the sector 1A1, 1A2, 1A4, affecting EF and activity data on the basis of new information.

In the *industrial processes* sector, minor recalculations occurred for NMVOC, for 2015, because of the update of bread, wine and beer production activity data.

For the *solvent* sector minor recalculation involved category paint application and 3D with respect to NMVOC emissions, due to the update of some activity data and updated emission factors for 2014 and 2015.

Major recalculations were implemented for the agriculture sector, due to the update of time series of

parameters for housing, manure storage and land spreading, on the basis of the data elaboration of 2010 agriculture census and 2013 farm structure survey, where specific questions on agriculture practice and technologies were introduced resulting in a decreasing of NH3 emissions in the last years. As requested by the review process, NOX and NMVOC emissions from manure management and agriculture soil have been recalculated on the basis of methodology and emission factor available in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EMEP/EEA, 2016). HCB from the use of pesticides have been updated from 2009. NH<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from field burning of agricultural residues have been estimated and added to the sector. Minor recalculation regarded the update for 2015 of some activity data.

In the *waste* sector, in addition to the annual revision that regards incineration plants activity data, recalculations occurred in consideration of the update of some industrial waste incinerators from 2014. SO<sub>X</sub> emissions from open burning have been estimated and reported under 5C2 category. As a consequence of the review process of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from landfills, Italy revised some parameters of the model in terms of wet and dry part of the national territory where landfills are located resulting also in the recalculation of NMVOC and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions which decreased by 32.8% in 1990 and 0.9% in 2015. NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from digesters biogas facilities during storage of feedstock on the premises of the biogas facility, during the liquid–solid separation of the digestate and during storage of the digestate have been estimated and allocated to the waste sector in 5B category. On the basis of the review process (EEA, 2017 [a]) emissions from car and building fires have been estimated and reported under the 5E category.

Table 8.1 Recalculation between 2017 and 2018 submissions

1.81 1.75 1.64 1.79	0.33	3.14 2.78 2.03	-0.50 -0.62	7.29	3.44	1.13	-1.38	30.82	0.40	-0.03	0.01	0.00	0.22
1.75	-0.09	2.78	-0.62			1.13	-1.38	30.82	0.40	-0.03	0.01	0.00	0.22
1.64	0.27			7.13					0.70	-0.03	0.01	-0.00	-0.22
		2.03			3.36	1.13	-1.24	31.49	0.39	-0.04	0.01	-0.00	-0.12
1.79			-0.62	7.46	3.52	1.20	-1.27	35.69	0.47	-0.05	0.00	-0.00	-0.07
	0.24	2.14	-0.66	7.69	3.50	1.18	-1.43	39.84	0.60	-0.05	0.00	-0.00	-0.08
1.89	0.09	2.14	-0.59	7.60	3.51	1.16	-1.63	37.82	0.71	-0.05	0.00	-0.00	-0.07
1.93	0.37	3.11	-0.54	7.90	3.51	1.15	-1.79	36.95	0.76	-0.04	0.01	0.01	0.14
2.02	0.97	3.43	-0.49	8.28	3.62	1.20	-1.91	42.68	0.86	-0.04	0.01	0.00	0.06
2.26	0.92	3.76	-0.40	8.29	3.48	1.24	-2.20	43.50	0.91	-0.04	0.01	0.00	0.07
2.42	1.80	4.42	-0.37	8.30	3.47	1.20	-2.50	49.05	1.03	-0.05	0.00	0.00	0.06
2.62	1.81	4.70	-0.35	8.35	3.52	1.21	-2.89	55.27	1.08	-0.11	-0.01	0.01	0.15
2.65	0.51	4.91	-1.31	8.46	3.16	-0.24	-0.11	56.22	0.90	-0.05	0.02	0.01	0.07
3.10	-0.03	6.84	0.92	9.04	3.74	0.65	0.06	53.10	0.86	-0.07	0.01	0.00	0.09
3.35	0.06	7.34	1.05	10.47	4.44	0.51	1.22	55.94	1.09	-0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00
3.25	0.35	7.00	1.30	9.57	4.16	0.99	1.18	64.34	0.99	-0.05	0.02	0.00	0.00
3.49	1.23	8.58	1.20	11.12	5.09	1.42	1.16	30.09	0.93	-0.03	0.01	0.03	0.67
3.83	0.63	8.62	0.53	10.01	4.61	1.93	1.12	24.00	1.05	-0.01	0.05	0.13	0.26
3.60	-0.15	8.62	0.41	9.45	4.30	2.07	1.09	27.01	1.02	-0.01	0.05	0.10	0.25
3.02	-1.34	9.74	0.56	8.20	3.42	0.70	0.93	16.99	0.77	-0.07	0.02	0.09	0.19
	1.89 1.93 2.02 2.26 2.42 2.62 2.65 3.10 3.35 3.25 3.49 3.83 3.60	1.89     0.09       1.93     0.37       2.02     0.97       2.26     0.92       2.42     1.80       2.62     1.81       2.65     0.51       3.10     -0.03       3.25     0.35       3.49     1.23       3.83     0.63	1.89     0.09     2.14       1.93     0.37     3.11       2.02     0.97     3.43       2.26     0.92     3.76       2.42     1.80     4.42       2.62     1.81     4.70       2.65     0.51     4.91       3.10     -0.03     6.84       3.35     0.06     7.34       3.25     0.35     7.00       3.49     1.23     8.58       3.83     0.63     8.62       3.60     -0.15     8.62	1.89     0.09     2.14     -0.59       1.93     0.37     3.11     -0.54       2.02     0.97     3.43     -0.49       2.26     0.92     3.76     -0.40       2.42     1.80     4.42     -0.37       2.62     1.81     4.70     -0.35       2.65     0.51     4.91     -1.31       3.10     -0.03     6.84     0.92       3.35     0.06     7.34     1.05       3.25     0.35     7.00     1.30       3.49     1.23     8.58     1.20       3.83     0.63     8.62     0.53       3.60     -0.15     8.62     0.41	1.89     0.09     2.14     -0.59     7.60       1.93     0.37     3.11     -0.54     7.90       2.02     0.97     3.43     -0.49     8.28       2.26     0.92     3.76     -0.40     8.29       2.42     1.80     4.42     -0.37     8.30       2.62     1.81     4.70     -0.35     8.35       2.65     0.51     4.91     -1.31     8.46       3.10     -0.03     6.84     0.92     9.04       3.35     0.06     7.34     1.05     10.47       3.25     0.35     7.00     1.30     9.57       3.49     1.23     8.58     1.20     11.12       3.83     0.63     8.62     0.53     10.01       3.60     -0.15     8.62     0.41     9.45	1.89       0.09       2.14       -0.59       7.60       3.51         1.93       0.37       3.11       -0.54       7.90       3.51         2.02       0.97       3.43       -0.49       8.28       3.62         2.26       0.92       3.76       -0.40       8.29       3.48         2.42       1.80       4.42       -0.37       8.30       3.47         2.62       1.81       4.70       -0.35       8.35       3.52         2.65       0.51       4.91       -1.31       8.46       3.16         3.10       -0.03       6.84       0.92       9.04       3.74         3.35       0.06       7.34       1.05       10.47       4.44         3.25       0.35       7.00       1.30       9.57       4.16         3.49       1.23       8.58       1.20       11.12       5.09         3.83       0.63       8.62       0.53       10.01       4.61         3.60       -0.15       8.62       0.41       9.45       4.30	1.89       0.09       2.14       -0.59       7.60       3.51       1.16         1.93       0.37       3.11       -0.54       7.90       3.51       1.15         2.02       0.97       3.43       -0.49       8.28       3.62       1.20         2.26       0.92       3.76       -0.40       8.29       3.48       1.24         2.42       1.80       4.42       -0.37       8.30       3.47       1.20         2.62       1.81       4.70       -0.35       8.35       3.52       1.21         2.65       0.51       4.91       -1.31       8.46       3.16       -0.24         3.10       -0.03       6.84       0.92       9.04       3.74       0.65         3.35       0.06       7.34       1.05       10.47       4.44       0.51         3.25       0.35       7.00       1.30       9.57       4.16       0.99         3.49       1.23       8.58       1.20       11.12       5.09       1.42         3.83       0.63       8.62       0.53       10.01       4.61       1.93         3.60       -0.15       8.62       0.41       9.45	1.89       0.09       2.14       -0.59       7.60       3.51       1.16       -1.63         1.93       0.37       3.11       -0.54       7.90       3.51       1.15       -1.79         2.02       0.97       3.43       -0.49       8.28       3.62       1.20       -1.91         2.26       0.92       3.76       -0.40       8.29       3.48       1.24       -2.20         2.42       1.80       4.42       -0.37       8.30       3.47       1.20       -2.50         2.62       1.81       4.70       -0.35       8.35       3.52       1.21       -2.89         2.65       0.51       4.91       -1.31       8.46       3.16       -0.24       -0.11         3.10       -0.03       6.84       0.92       9.04       3.74       0.65       0.06         3.35       0.06       7.34       1.05       10.47       4.44       0.51       1.22         3.25       0.35       7.00       1.30       9.57       4.16       0.99       1.18         3.49       1.23       8.58       1.20       11.12       5.09       1.42       1.16         3.83       0.63 <th>1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27           2.65         0.51         4.91         -1.31         8.46         3.16         -0.24         -0.11         56.22           3.10         -0.03         6.84         0.92         9.04         3.74         0.65         0.06         53.10           3.25         0.35         7.00         1.30         9.57         4.16         0.99         1.18         64.34           3.49         1.23         8</th> <th>1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82         0.71           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95         0.76           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68         0.86           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50         0.91           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05         1.03           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27         1.08           2.65         0.51         4.91         -1.31         8.46         3.16         -0.24         -0.11         56.22         0.90           3.10         -0.03         6.84         0.92         9.04         3.74         0.65         0.06         53.10         0.86           3.25         0.35         7.00         1.30         <td< th=""><th>1.89       0.09       2.14       -0.59       7.60       3.51       1.16       -1.63       37.82       0.71       -0.05         1.93       0.37       3.11       -0.54       7.90       3.51       1.15       -1.79       36.95       0.76       -0.04         2.02       0.97       3.43       -0.49       8.28       3.62       1.20       -1.91       42.68       0.86       -0.04         2.26       0.92       3.76       -0.40       8.29       3.48       1.24       -2.20       43.50       0.91       -0.04         2.42       1.80       4.42       -0.37       8.30       3.47       1.20       -2.50       49.05       1.03       -0.05         2.62       1.81       4.70       -0.35       8.35       3.52       1.21       -2.89       55.27       1.08       -0.11         2.65       0.51       4.91       -1.31       8.46       3.16       -0.24       -0.11       56.22       0.90       -0.05         3.10       -0.03       6.84       0.92       9.04       3.74       0.65       0.06       53.10       0.86       -0.07         3.25       0.35       7.00       1.30</th><th>1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82         0.71         -0.05         0.00           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95         0.76         -0.04         0.01           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68         0.86         -0.04         0.01           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50         0.91         -0.04         0.01           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05         1.03         -0.05         0.00           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27         1.08         -0.11         -0.01           2.65         0.51         4.91         -1.31         8.46         3.16         -0.24         -0.11         56.22         0.90         -0.05         0.02           3.10</th><th>1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82         0.71         -0.05         0.00         -0.00           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95         0.76         -0.04         0.01         0.01           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68         0.86         -0.04         0.01         0.00           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50         0.91         -0.04         0.01         0.00           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05         1.03         -0.05         0.00         0.00           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27         1.08         -0.11         -0.01         0.01           3.10         -0.03         6.84         0.92         9.04         3.74         0.65         0.06</th></td<></th>	1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27           2.65         0.51         4.91         -1.31         8.46         3.16         -0.24         -0.11         56.22           3.10         -0.03         6.84         0.92         9.04         3.74         0.65         0.06         53.10           3.25         0.35         7.00         1.30         9.57         4.16         0.99         1.18         64.34           3.49         1.23         8	1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82         0.71           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95         0.76           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68         0.86           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50         0.91           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05         1.03           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27         1.08           2.65         0.51         4.91         -1.31         8.46         3.16         -0.24         -0.11         56.22         0.90           3.10         -0.03         6.84         0.92         9.04         3.74         0.65         0.06         53.10         0.86           3.25         0.35         7.00         1.30 <td< th=""><th>1.89       0.09       2.14       -0.59       7.60       3.51       1.16       -1.63       37.82       0.71       -0.05         1.93       0.37       3.11       -0.54       7.90       3.51       1.15       -1.79       36.95       0.76       -0.04         2.02       0.97       3.43       -0.49       8.28       3.62       1.20       -1.91       42.68       0.86       -0.04         2.26       0.92       3.76       -0.40       8.29       3.48       1.24       -2.20       43.50       0.91       -0.04         2.42       1.80       4.42       -0.37       8.30       3.47       1.20       -2.50       49.05       1.03       -0.05         2.62       1.81       4.70       -0.35       8.35       3.52       1.21       -2.89       55.27       1.08       -0.11         2.65       0.51       4.91       -1.31       8.46       3.16       -0.24       -0.11       56.22       0.90       -0.05         3.10       -0.03       6.84       0.92       9.04       3.74       0.65       0.06       53.10       0.86       -0.07         3.25       0.35       7.00       1.30</th><th>1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82         0.71         -0.05         0.00           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95         0.76         -0.04         0.01           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68         0.86         -0.04         0.01           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50         0.91         -0.04         0.01           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05         1.03         -0.05         0.00           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27         1.08         -0.11         -0.01           2.65         0.51         4.91         -1.31         8.46         3.16         -0.24         -0.11         56.22         0.90         -0.05         0.02           3.10</th><th>1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82         0.71         -0.05         0.00         -0.00           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95         0.76         -0.04         0.01         0.01           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68         0.86         -0.04         0.01         0.00           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50         0.91         -0.04         0.01         0.00           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05         1.03         -0.05         0.00         0.00           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27         1.08         -0.11         -0.01         0.01           3.10         -0.03         6.84         0.92         9.04         3.74         0.65         0.06</th></td<>	1.89       0.09       2.14       -0.59       7.60       3.51       1.16       -1.63       37.82       0.71       -0.05         1.93       0.37       3.11       -0.54       7.90       3.51       1.15       -1.79       36.95       0.76       -0.04         2.02       0.97       3.43       -0.49       8.28       3.62       1.20       -1.91       42.68       0.86       -0.04         2.26       0.92       3.76       -0.40       8.29       3.48       1.24       -2.20       43.50       0.91       -0.04         2.42       1.80       4.42       -0.37       8.30       3.47       1.20       -2.50       49.05       1.03       -0.05         2.62       1.81       4.70       -0.35       8.35       3.52       1.21       -2.89       55.27       1.08       -0.11         2.65       0.51       4.91       -1.31       8.46       3.16       -0.24       -0.11       56.22       0.90       -0.05         3.10       -0.03       6.84       0.92       9.04       3.74       0.65       0.06       53.10       0.86       -0.07         3.25       0.35       7.00       1.30	1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82         0.71         -0.05         0.00           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95         0.76         -0.04         0.01           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68         0.86         -0.04         0.01           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50         0.91         -0.04         0.01           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05         1.03         -0.05         0.00           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27         1.08         -0.11         -0.01           2.65         0.51         4.91         -1.31         8.46         3.16         -0.24         -0.11         56.22         0.90         -0.05         0.02           3.10	1.89         0.09         2.14         -0.59         7.60         3.51         1.16         -1.63         37.82         0.71         -0.05         0.00         -0.00           1.93         0.37         3.11         -0.54         7.90         3.51         1.15         -1.79         36.95         0.76         -0.04         0.01         0.01           2.02         0.97         3.43         -0.49         8.28         3.62         1.20         -1.91         42.68         0.86         -0.04         0.01         0.00           2.26         0.92         3.76         -0.40         8.29         3.48         1.24         -2.20         43.50         0.91         -0.04         0.01         0.00           2.42         1.80         4.42         -0.37         8.30         3.47         1.20         -2.50         49.05         1.03         -0.05         0.00         0.00           2.62         1.81         4.70         -0.35         8.35         3.52         1.21         -2.89         55.27         1.08         -0.11         -0.01         0.01           3.10         -0.03         6.84         0.92         9.04         3.74         0.65         0.06

<b>2008</b> 0.29 2.98 -1.38 10.22 0.60 7.69 3.09 0.58 0.90 17.38 0.71 -0.07 0.05 <b>2009</b> 0.35 2.59 -1.18 11.14 0.56 7.99 3.18 0.98 1.11 16.15 0.78 -0.10 0.05	0.10 0.15 2 16.36 0.14
	2 16.36 0.14
	19.53 0.26
<b>2011</b> •••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• •••	32.15 0.77
2012 01.0 2107 1102 12100 01.1 01.00 01.00 01.00 01.1 01.1	2 22.13 0.48
<b>2013</b> 0.56 2.48 -6.21 13.12 0.51 9.50 3.52 1.44 0.85 18.69 0.62 -0.07 -0.05	5 4.95 0.89
<b>2014</b> 0.47 2.25 -7.03 12.81 0.46 10.53 3.93 1.28 0.92 27.53 0.79 -0.11 -0.04	7.16 0.98
<b>2015</b> 0.88 2.63 -6.43 9.05 0.94 9.97 3.60 2.38 1.35 12.97 1.49 0.20 -0.00	

# **8.2** Planned improvements

Specific improvements are specified in the QA/QC plan (ISPRA, 2018[b]); they can be summarized as follows.

For the *energy* and *industrial processes* sectors, a major progress regards the harmonisation of information collected in the framework of different obligations, Large Combustion Plant, E-PRTR and Emissions Trading, thus highlighting the main discrepancies in data and detecting potential errors, and for POPs emissions the use of the results of a national research in the potential update of emission factors and methodologies. For the *agriculture* and *waste* sectors, improvements will be related to the availability of new information, on emission factors, activity data as well as parameters necessary to carry out the estimates; specifically, a study on the best available technologies used in agriculture practices and the elaboration of data from the 2016 farm structure survey and availability of information on the landfill gas combustion in landfills flaring and emissions from the exceeding biogas flared at wastewater treatment plants are under investigation.

The EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2016 chapters (EMEP/EEA, 2016) has started to be considered, for PM2.5 and BC, and update emission factors will be applied in the next year submission of the inventory with a focus to PAH, dioxin and heavy metals estimates in order to improve the accuracy and reduce the uncertainty.

The comparison between local inventories and national inventory and the meetings and exchange of information with local environmental agencies will continue.

Further analyses will concern the collection of statistical data and information to estimate uncertainty in specific sectors.

## 9 PROJECTIONS

#### 9.1 The national framework

The emission scenarios have become increasingly important in the definition of international, European and national policies on atmospheric pollution.

At national level, the Legislative Decree n. 155 of 2010, art. 22 paragraph 4 provides that ISPRA has to develop the energy scenario and the scenario of national production activities (Caputo et al., 2015) and, based on these scenarios, ENEA has to calculate the emission projections using the methodology developed for these purposes at the European level. Same provisions will be set in the forthcoming national implementation of the NEC directive.

In this framework, ENEA and ISPRA have jointly developed the new national baseline emission scenario using the GAINS-Italy model (Ciucci et al., 2016; D'Elia et al., 2009). GAINS-Italy is part of the MINNI model (National Integrated Model to support the International Negotiation on atmospheric pollution, Mircea et al., 2014; <a href="www.minni.org">www.minni.org</a>), an ENEA project, funded by MATTM (the Italian Ministry for the Environment, the Territory and the Sea), that reflects on a national scale the structure of the GAINS-Europe model (Amann et al., 2011), developed by the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), but customizes the Italian case by adopting the division of the territory into regions and using a spatial resolution of 20 km.




Figure 9.1 – The scheme of data used and produced by the GAINS-Italy model

The development of an emission scenario with the GAINS-Italy model requires the definition of anthropogenic activity levels, both energy and non-energy, and of a control strategy with a 5-year interval for the period 1990-2050 (Figure 9.1). Starting from these information, GAINS-Italy produces alternative future emission and air quality scenarios and abatement costs at a 5-year interval starting from 1990 to 2050.

For the preparation of national emission scenarios an acceptable harmonization, at a given base year, between the national emission inventory and the GAINS-Italy emissions (D'Elia and Peschi, 2013) has been carried out. More details about the procedure to build an emission scenario could be found in D'Elia and Peschi, 2016.

The GAINS-Italy model is accessible online at http://gains-it.bologna.enea.it/gains/IT/index.login

(registration needed).

## 9.2 Input scenarios


#### The energy scenario

The Energy scenario used as input to the GAINS-Italy model has been produced by ISPRA with the TIMES (The Integrated MARKAL-EFOM1 System / EFOM Energy Flow Optimization Model, Loulou et al., 2004; Loulou et al., 2005) model developed as part of the IEA-ETSAP (Energy Technology Systems Analysis Program). TIMES is a technology rich, bottom-up model generator, which uses linear-programming to produce a least-cost energy system, optimized according to a number of user constraints, over medium to long-term time horizons.

The model has been developed considering the detailed energy input needed by GAINS-Italy so that the two models are fully integrated and all the information needed by GAINS-Italy can be found in the TIMES output, that describes, for each sector, the amount of energy carriers, raw materials used, and goods or services produced. The national energy scenario has been approved by all the competent administrations in November 2016 and is coherent with the latest available PRIMES 2016 scenario (Capros et al., 2016).

In 2017 the new National Energy Strategy has been approved, but up to this moment it has not been considered in the calculation of scenario because the implementing acts have not been yet been set. Moreover in the current year activities on Energy and Climate National Plans and National Emissions Control Programme will probably further affect energy projections, so a new scenario will probably be available before the end of 2018.

According to the present scenario national total fuel consumption can be summarized in figure 9.2.




**Figure 9.2** – *Projected total fuel consumptions (PJ).* 

#### The scenario of non-energy activities

In order to develop an emission scenario, the GAINS-Italy model requires the definition also of non-energy activities level. The definition of such scenario is based on economic variables, like GDP (gross domestic product) or added value derived from the energy scenario, population data or specific sector statistics.

Livestock projection has been carried out with a statistical model where the number of animals has been linked to the projections of other variables, like meat consumption and production, or milk consumption and production. All the details about this methodology are provided in D'Elia and Peschi, 2013.

The evolution of the main livestock is summarized in fig. 9.3.



**Figure 9.3** – *National livestock trend for the baseline scenario.* 

### The control strategy definition

In addition to energy, climate and agricultural policies assumed in the energy, non energy and agricultural input scenarios, in the baseline emission projections a detailed inventory of national emission control legislation is considered (Amann et al., 2011). In the baseline scenario it is assumed that all the European and national regulations will be fully complied according to the foreseen time schedule. Examples of the legislations considered are the Directive on Industrial Emissions for large combustion plants, the Directives on Euro standards, Solvent Directive, the Code of Agricultural Good Practice.


#### 9.3 The emission scenario

The result of the input scenarios and of the harmonization process is an emission scenario.

In Figures 9.9-9.13 the projections for the years 2020, 2025 and 2030 are presented.


The projections do not consider policies and measures that will be defined under the Energy and Climate National Plans and National Emissions Control Programme that should be set out in the present year.

A huge decrease in  $SO_2$  emissions from the energy and the maritime sector is projected for the year 2020 and 2030 while the industrial sector represents the main emitter (fig. 9.9).




**Figure 9.9** – Projected emissions of  $SO_2$  for the year 2020, 2025 and 2030.

The road transport sector still represents the principle  $NO_X$  source (fig. 9.10) even though a huge decrease is estimated due to the diffusion of Euro 6 vehicles.




**Figure 9.10** – *Projected emissions of*  $NO_X$  *for the year 2020, 2025 and 2030.* 




**Figure 9.11** – *Projected emissions of PM2.5 for the year 2020, 2025 and 2030.* 

The civil sector continues to represent the main emitting sector for PM2.5 emissions whose contribution remains around 60% both for 2020 and 2030 (fig. 9.11). The main emitting sector for NMVOC is still represented by domestic and industrial solvent use (fig. 9.12) whose emissions are not projected to decrease. NH<sub>3</sub> scenario does not present a significant variation in emissions where the contribution of the agricultural sector to total NH<sub>3</sub> emissions is around 95% (fig. 9.13). Cattle continue to be the most emitting sector followed by the use of urea and pigs.



**Figure 9.12** – *Projected emissions of NMVOC for the year 2020, 2025 and 2030.* 



**Figure 9.13** – *Projected emissions of*  $NH_3$  *for the year 2020, 2025 and 2030.* 

In table 9.2 the national emission reductions in the year 2020 and 2030 respect to the base year 2005 and the comparison with the new National Emission Ceilings Directive (NECD) targets (EC, 2016) are reported.

**Table 9.2** – *National emission reductions in the year 2020 and 2030 respect to the base year 2005 and comparison with the new National Emission Ceilings Directive (NECD) targets.* 

	Emission reductions from 2005			
	2020		2030	
	NECD target	National emission scenario	NECD target	National emission scenario
SO <sub>2</sub>	-35%	-61%	-71%	-73%
$NO_x$	-40%	-48%	-65%	-66%
PM2.5	-10%	-17%	-40%	-33%
NMVOC	-35%	-35%	-46%	-43%
$NH_3$	-5%	-7%	-16%	-11%

According to the present emission projections, all the targets should be met in 2020 even though attention should be paid to the NMVOC emission reduction, while for the 2030 targets additional measures should be adopted to respect the PM2.5, NMVOC and NH $_3$  targets. The measures will be identified in the National Emissions Control Programme and National Energy and Climate Plan.

#### 10 REFERENCES

### 10.1 Introduction

EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007. Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report No 16/2007.

EMEP/EEA, 2009. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. EEA. Technical report No 9/2009.

EMEP/EEA, 2013. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. EEA. Technical report No 12/2013.

EMEP/EEA, 2016. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. EEA. Technical report No 21/2016.

EU, 2016. National Emissions Ceilings Directive 2016/2284/EU.

IPCC, 1997. Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventories. Three volumes: Reference Manual, Reporting Manual, Reporting Guidelines and Workbook. IPCC/OECD/IEA. IPCC WG1 Technical Support Unit, Hadley Centre, Meteorological Centre, Meteorological Office, Bracknell, UK.

IPCC, 2000. Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Technical Support Unit, Hayama, Kanagawa, Japan.

IPCC, 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan.

ISPRA, 2009. La disaggregazione a livello provinciale dell'inventario nazionale delle emissioni. Anni 1990-1995-2000-2005. ISPRA, 92/2009. URL: <a href="http://www.apat.gov.it/site/it-IT/APAT/Pubblicazioni/Rapporti/Documento/rapporti">http://www.apat.gov.it/site/it-IT/APAT/Pubblicazioni/Rapporti/Documento/rapporti</a> 92 2009.html.

ISPRA, 2014. Quality Assurance/Quality Control plan for the Italian Emission Inventory. Procedures Manual. Report 112/2014. http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie storiche emissioni.

ISPRA, 2016. National Greenhouse Gas Inventory System in Italy. March 2016, <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni</a>.

ISPRA, 2018[a]. Italian Greenhouse Gas Inventory 1990-2016. National Inventory Report 2018. March 2018, http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie storiche emissioni.

ISPRA, 2018[b]. Quality Assurance/Quality Control plan for the Italian Emission Inventory. Year 2018. March 2018, <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni</a>.

Romano D., Bernetti A., De Lauretis R., 2004. Different methodologies to quantify uncertainties of air emissions. Environment International vol 30 pp 1099-1107.

UNECE, 2008. Guidelines for Reporting Emission Data under the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution, Emission Reporting Guidelines. ECE/EB.AIR/2008/4 23 September 2008.

UNECE, 2010. Report for the Stage 3 in-depth review of emission inventories submitted under the UNECE LRTAP Convention and EU National Emissions Ceilings Directive for Italy. CEIP/S3.RR/2010/ITALY (25/11/2010).

UNECE, 2013. Report for the Stage 3 in-depth review of emission inventories submitted under the UNECE LRTAP Convention and EU National Emissions Ceilings Directive for Italy. CEIP/S3.RR/2013/ITALY (20/08/2013)

UNECE, 2014. Guidelines for Reporting Emissions and Projections Data under the Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollution, ECE/EB.AIR/125 13 March 2014.

# 10.2 Analysis of key trends by pollutant

Decree of President of the Republic 24 May 1988, n. 203. Attuazione delle direttive CEE numeri 80/779, 82/884, 84/360 e 85/203 concernenti norme in materia di qualità dell'aria, relativamente a specifici agenti inquinanti, e di inquinamento prodotto dagli impianti industriali, ai sensi dell'art. 15 della L. 16 aprile 1987, n. 183 (2) (2/a). Gazzetta Ufficiale del 16 giugno 1988, n. 140, S.O.

EC, 1975. Council Directive 75/716/EEC of 24 November 1975 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the sulphur content of certain liquid fuels.

EC, 1988. Council Directive 88/609/EEC of 24 November 1988 on the limitation of emissions of certain pollutants into the air from large combustion plants.

EC, 1991. Council Directive 91/441/EEC of 26 June 1991 amending Directive 70/220/EEC on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to measures to be taken against air pollution by emissions from motor vehicles.

EC, 1994. Directive 94/12/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 23 March 1994 relating to measures to be taken against air pollution by emissions from motor vehicles and amending Directive 70/220/EEC.

EC, 1996. Council directive 96/61/EC of 24 September 1996 concerning integrated pollution prevention and control. Official Journal L 257, 10/10/1996 P. 0026-0040.

EC, 1997 [a]. Directive 97/68/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 1997 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to measures against the emission of gaseous and particulate pollutants from internal combustion engines to be installed in non-road mobile machinery.

EC, 1997 [b]. Directive 97/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 1997 on certain components and characteristics of two or three-wheel motor vehicles.

EC, 1998. Directive 98/69/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 October 1998 relating to measures to be taken against air pollution by emissions from motor vehicles and amending Council Directive 70/220/EEC.

EC, 1999. Council Directive 1999/13/EC of 11 March 1999 on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents in certain activities and installations.

EC, 2001. National Emission Ceilings Directive: Directive 2001/81/EC.

EC, 2004. Council Directive 2004/26/EC of 21 April 2004 amending Directive 97/68/EC on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to measures against the emission of gaseous and particulate pollutants from internal combustion engines to be installed in non-road mobile machinery.

EU, 2016. National Emissions Ceilings Directive 2016/2284/EU.

ISTAT, 2014. I consumi energetici delle famiglie, anno 2013. http://www.istat.it/it/archivio/142173

Ministerial Decree 8 May 1989. Limitazione delle emissioni in atmosfera di taluni inquinanti originati dai grandi impianti di combustione. Gazzetta Ufficiale Italiana n. 124 del 30/05/1989.

Ministerial Decree 12 July 1990. Linee Guida per il contenimento delle emissioni inquinanti degli impianti industriali e la fissazione dei valori minimi di emissione. G.U. 30 luglio 1990, n. 176.

Ministerial Decree 16 May 1996, n. 392. Regolamento recante norme tecniche relative alla eliminazione degli olii usati. G. U. n. 173 del 25/07/1996.

Ministerial Decree 21 January 2000, n. 107. Regolamento recante norme tecniche per l'adeguamento degli impianti di deposito di benzina ai fini del controllo delle emissioni dei vapori. G. U. 02/05/2000 n. 100.

MSE, several years [a]. Bilancio Energetico Nazionale (BEN). Ministero delle Attività Produttive, Direzione Generale delle Fonti di Energia ed industrie di base. <a href="http://dgerm.sviluppoeconomico.gov.it/dgerm/ben.asp">http://dgerm.sviluppoeconomico.gov.it/dgerm/ben.asp</a>.

# 10.3 Energy (NRF sector 1)

ACI, several years. Annuario statistico. Automobile Club d'Italia, Roma. http://www.aci.it/index.php?id=54.

AISCAT, several years. Aiscat in cifre. Data and reports available on website at: <a href="http://www.aiscat.it/pubb\_cifre.htm?ck=1&sub=3&idl=4&nome=pubblicazioni&nome\_sub=aiscat%20in%2">http://www.aiscat.it/pubb\_cifre.htm?ck=1&sub=3&idl=4&nome=pubblicazioni&nome\_sub=aiscat%20in%2</a> Ocifre.

ANCMA, several years. Data available on website at: http://www.ancma.it/statistiche-/-statistics.

ANPA, 2001. Redazione di inventari nazionali delle emissioni in atmosfera nei settori del trasporto aereo e marittimo e delle emissioni biogeniche. Rapporto finale. Gennaio 2001

ARPA, 2007 – Stima dei consumi di legna da ardere ed uso domestico in Italia. Ricerca commissionata da APAT e ARPA Lombardia, Rapporto finale, marzo 2007.

ASSOTERMICA, several years. Studio Statistico, http://www.anima.it/contenuti/10670/studi-di-mercato.

CESI, 2005. Caratterizzazione delle emissioni di caldaie residenziali, CESI, 2005.

CONFETRA, several years. Il trasporto merci su strada in Italia. Data and reports available on website at: http://www.confetra.it/it/centrostudi/statistiche.htm.

Decree of President of the Republic 24 May 1988, n. 203. Attuazione delle direttive CEE numeri 80/779, 82/884, 84/360 e 85/203 concernenti norme in materia di qualità dell'aria, relativamente a specifici agenti inquinanti, e di inquinamento prodotto dagli impianti industriali, ai sensi dell'art. 15 della L. 16 aprile 1987, n. 183 (2) (2/a). Gazzetta Ufficiale del 16 giugno 1988, n. 140, S.O.

EC, 2004. Council Directive 2004/26/EC of 21 April 2004 amending Directive 97/68/EC on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to measures against the emission of gaseous and particulate pollutants from internal combustion engines to be installed in non-road mobile machinery.

EEA, several years, Monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from passenger cars and vans, EEA technical reports.

EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007. Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report No 16/2007.

EMEP/EEA, 2013. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. EEA. Technical report No 12/2013.

EMEP/EEA, 2016. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. EEA. Technical report No 21/2016.

EMISIA SA, 2017. COPERT 5 v 5.1, Computer programme to calculate emissions from road transport, December 2017. <a href="http://www.emisia.com/copert/">http://www.emisia.com/copert/</a>.

ENAC/MIT, several years. Annuario Statistico. Ente Nazionale per l'Aviazione Civile, Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti.

ENEA, several years. Rapporto Energia Ambiente. Ente per le Nuove tecnologie, l'Energia e l'Ambiente, Roma.

ENEA-federAmbiente, 2012. Rapporto sul recupero energetico da rifiuti urbani in Italia. 3° ed.

EUROCONTROL, several years. EUROCONTROL Fuel and Emissions Inventory. Personal Communication to EU Member States. Last communication November 2017.

Fuel Experimental Station (Innovhub), several years, Report on the physico-chemical characterization of fossil fuels used in Italy.

Gerardi V., Perrella G., 2001 - I consumi energetici di biomasse nel settore residenziale in Italia nel 1999. ENEA, Ente Nazionale per l'Energia e l'Ambiente, 2001, ENEA RT/ERG/2001/07.

Giordano R., 2007. Trasporto merci: criticità attuali e potenziali sviluppi nel contesto europeo. National road transporters central committee.

INNOVHUB, 2016. Studio comparativo sulle emissioni di apparecchi a gas, GPL, gasolio e pellet. INNOVHUB, Stazioni sperimentali per l'industria.

IPCC, 1997. Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventories. Three volumes: Reference Manual, Reporting Manual, Reporting Guidelines and Workbook. IPCC/OECD/IEA. IPCC WG1 Technical Support Unit, Hadley Centre, Meteorological Centre, Meteorological Office,

Bracknell, UK.

IPCC, 2000. Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Technical Support Unit, Hayama, Kanagawa, Japan.

IPCC, 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan.

ISPRA, 2009. La disaggregazione a livello provinciale dell'inventario nazionale delle emissioni. Anni 1990-1995-2000-2005. ISPRA, 92/2009. URL: <a href="http://www.apat.gov.it/site/it-IT/APAT/Pubblicazioni/Rapporti/Documento/rapporti\_92\_2009.html">http://www.apat.gov.it/site/it-IT/APAT/Pubblicazioni/Rapporti/Documento/rapporti\_92\_2009.html</a>.

ISPRA, 2016. Annuario dei dati ambientali 2016. Report 69/2016. <a href="http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/stato-dellambiente/annuario-dei-dati-ambientali-edizione-2016">http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/stato-dellambiente/annuario-dei-dati-ambientali-edizione-2016</a>.

ISPRA, 2017[a]. Italian Greenhouse Gas Inventory 1990-2015. National Inventory Report 2017. March 2017, <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie</a> storiche emissioni.

ISPRA, 2017[b]. Quality Assurance/Quality Control plan for the Italian Emission Inventory. Year 2017. March 2017, <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni</a>.

ISPRA, several years. Fuel Quality Monitoring Annual Report.

ISTAT, 2009. Personal communication.

ISTAT, 2014. I consumi energetici delle famiglie, 2013. Nota metodologica. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica www.istat.it .

ISTAT, several years [a]. Annuario Statistico Italiano. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica

ISTAT, several years [b]. Trasporto merci su strada. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica. <a href="http://www3.istat.it/dati/dataset/20110729\_00/">http://www3.istat.it/dati/dataset/20110729\_00/</a>.

Katsis P., Mellios G., Ntziachristos L., 2012. Description of new elements in COPERT 4 v 10.0, December 2012.

Kouridis C., Gkatzoflias D., Kioutsioukis I., Ntziachristos L., Pastorello C., Dilara P., 2010. Uncertainty Estimates and Guidance for Road Transport Emission Calculations, European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, 2010, http://www.emisia.com/docs/COPERT%20uncertainty.pdf.

Ministerial Decree 12 July 1990. Linee Guida per il contenimento delle emissioni inquinanti degli impianti industriali e la fissazione dei valori minimi di emissione. G.U. 30 luglio 1990, n. 176.

MIT, several years. Conto Nazionale delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti (CNT). Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti. http://www.mit.gov.it/mit/site.php?o=vc&lm=2&id\_cat=148.

MSE, several years [a]. Bilancio Energetico Nazionale (BEN). Ministero delle Attività Produttive, Direzione Generale delle Fonti di Energia ed industrie di base. <a href="http://dgerm.sviluppoeconomico.gov.it/dgerm/ben.asp">http://dgerm.sviluppoeconomico.gov.it/dgerm/ben.asp</a>.

MSE, several years [b]. Bollettino Petrolifero Trimestrale (BPT). Ministero dello sviluppo economico.  $\underline{ http://dgerm.sviluppoeconomico.gov.it/dgerm/bollettino.asp} \ .$ 

Romano D., Gaudioso D., De Lauretis R., 1999. Aircraft Emissions: a comparison of methodologies based on different data availability. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. Vol. 56 pp. 51-74.

SCENARI/ISPRA, 2013. Indagine sull'uso e la disponibilità delle biomasse in Italia. 2013.

SSC, 2012. Final report on emissions from biomass combustion for heating. Stazione Sperimentale dei Combustibili. Prot. ENEA/2009/34883/APU-UGA March 2012.

TECHNE, 2009. Stima delle emissioni in atmosfera nel settore del trasporto aereo e marittimo. Final report.

TECHNE Consulting, March 2009.

TERNA, several years. Dati statistici sugli impianti e la produzione di energia elettrica in Italia, Gestore Rete Trasmissione Nazionale. www.terna.it.

Trozzi C., Vaccaro R., De Lauretis R., Romano D., 2002 [a]. Air pollutant emissions estimate from global air traffic in airport and in cruise: methodology and case study. Presented at Transport and Air Pollution 2002.

Trozzi C., Vaccaro R., De Lauretis R., 2002 [b]. Air pollutant emissions estimate from global ship traffic in port and in cruise: methodology and case study. Presented at Transport and Air Pollution 2002.

UCINA, several years. La nautica in cifre. Analisi annuale del mercato. Unione Nazionale Cantieri Industrie Nautiche ed Affini.

UP, several years. Previsioni di domanda energetica e petrolifera in Italia. Unione Petrolifera.

### **10.4 IPPU - Industrial processes (NRF sector 2)**

APAT, 2003. Il ciclo industriale dell'acciaio da forno elettrico. Agenzia per la Protezione dell'Ambiente e per i servizi tecnici, Rapporti 38/2003.

AITEC, 2016. Relazione annuale 2016. Associazione italiana tecnico economica del cemento. <a href="https://www.aitecweb.com">www.aitecweb.com</a>.

CORINAIR, 1994. Default emission factors handbook. EUR 12586/2, Belgium.

EEA, 2017 [a]. Final Review Report. 2017 Comprehensive Technical Review of National Emission Inventories pursuant to the Directive on the Reduction of National Emissions of Certain Atmospheric Pollutants (Directive (EU) 2016/2284). Italy 30 November 2017.

EMEP/CORINAIR, 2006. Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report No 11/2006.

EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007. Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report No 16/2007.

EMEP/EEA, 2013. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report n. 12/2013.

ENEA-AIB-MATT, 2002. "Valutazione delle emissioni di inquinanti organici persistenti da parte dell'industria metallurgica secondaria".

EUROCHLOR, 1998. Mercury process for making chlorine.

EUROCHLOR, 2001. Reduction of Mercury Emissions from the West European Chlor-Alkali Industry, June 2001 available on the web http://www.chem.unep.ch/mercury/2001-ngo-sub/eurochlor/sub1ngoatt8.pdf.

FEDERACCIAI, several years. La siderurgia in cifre. Federazione Imprese Siderurgiche Italiane.

ILVA, 1997. Personal communication.

IPCC, 1997. Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventories. Three volumes: Reference Manual, Reporting Manual, Reporting Guidelines and Workbook.

IPCC, 2000. Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Technical Support Unit, Hayama, Kanagawa, Japan.

IPCC, 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan.

IPPC, 2001. Best Available Techniques Reference Document on the Production of Iron and Steel. Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control. European Commission. December 2001.

ISPRA, 2009. La disaggregazione a livello provinciale dell'inventario nazionale delle emissioni. Anni 1990-1995-2000-2005. ISPRA, 92/2009. <a href="http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti/la-">http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti/la-</a>

disaggregazione-a-livello-provinciale.

ISTAT, several years. Annuario Statistico Italiano. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica.

Legambiente, 2007. Lo stato dell'arte sulle riconversioni degli impianti cloro soda in Italia, Ottobre 2007 available on the web:

http://risorse.legambiente.it/docs/Lo\_stato\_dell\_arte\_sulle\_riconversioni\_degli\_impianti\_clorosoda\_in\_Italia\_ott\_2007.0000001526.pdf

MATTM, 2011. Autorizzazione integrata ambientale per l'esercizio dello stabilimento siderurgico della società ILVA s.p.a. ubicato nel comune di Taranto. IPPC permit.

MSE, several years. Statistiche produzione cementi. <a href="http://www.sviluppoeconomico.gov.it/index.php/it/per-i-media/statistiche/2009708-statistiche-produzione-cementi">http://www.sviluppoeconomico.gov.it/index.php/it/per-i-media/statistiche/2009708-statistiche-produzione-cementi</a>.

TNO, 1992. Emission factors manual PARCOM – ATMOS. Emission factors for air pollutants 1992.

# 10.5 IPPU - Solvent and other product use (NRF sector 2)

ACI, several years. Annuario statistico. Automobile Club d'Italia, Roma. http://www.aci.it/index.php?id=54.

AIA, several years [a]. Personal Communication. Associazione Italiana Aerosol.

AIA, several years [b]. Relazioni annuali sulla produzione italiana aerosol. Associazione Italiana Aerosol.

Assocasa, several years. Personal Communication.

AVISA, several years. Personal Communication.

CEPE, 1999. European guidelines for car repairing.

EC, 1999. Council Directive 1999/13/EC of 11 March 1999 on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents in certain activities and installations.

EC, 2002. Screening study to identify reduction in VOC emissions due to the restrictions in the VOC content of products. Final Report of the European Commission, February 2002.

EC, 2004. Directive 2004/42/ce of the european parliament and of the council of 21 April 2004 on the limitation of emissions of volatile organic compounds due to the use of organic solvents in certain paints and varnishes and vehicle refinishing products and amending Directive 1999/13/EC.

EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007. Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report No 16/2007.

EMEP/EEA, 2016. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. EEA. Technical report No 21/2016.

ENEA, 1997. Piano nazionale di tutela della qualità dell'aria. Technical report produced for the Italian Ministry of Environment in the framework of a national project on air quality issue.

ENEA/USLRMA, 1995. Lavanderie a secco.

FAO, several years. Food balance. <a href="http://faostat.fao.org">http://faostat.fao.org</a> .

Federchimica, several years. Personal Communication.

FIAT, several years. Rendiconto Ambientale. Gruppo Fiat.

GIADA, 2006. Progetto Giada and Personal Communication. ARPA Veneto – Provincia di Vicenza.

ISPRA, 2009. La disaggregazione a livello provinciale dell'inventario nazionale delle emissioni. Anni 1990-1995-2000-2005. ISPRA, 92/2009. URL: <a href="http://www.apat.gov.it/site/it-IT/APAT/Pubblicazioni/Rapporti/Documento/rapporti\_92\_2009.html">http://www.apat.gov.it/site/it-IT/APAT/Pubblicazioni/Rapporti/Documento/rapporti\_92\_2009.html</a>

ISTAT, several years [a]. Annuario Statistico Italiano.

ISTAT, several years [b]. Bollettino mensile di statistica.

ISTAT, several years [c]. Statistica annuale della produzione industriale. http://www.istat.it/it/archivio/73150

MICA, 1999. L'industria Italiana delle vernici. Ministero dell'Industria del Commercio e dell'Artigianato. Dicembre 1999.

Offredi P., several years. Professione Verniciatore del Legno. Personal communication.

Regione Campania, 2005. Inventario regionale delle emissioni di inquinanti dell'aria della Regione Campania, marzo 2005.

Regione Toscana, 2001. Inventario regionale delle sorgenti di emissione in aria ambiente, febbraio 2001.

Techne, 1998. Personal communication.

Techne, 2004. Progetto MeditAiraneo. Rassegna dei fattori di emissione nazionali ed internazionali relativamente al settore solventi. Rapporto Finale, novembre 2004.

Techne, 2008. Fattori di emissione per l'utilizzo di solventi. Rapporto Finale, marzo 2008.

UNIPRO, several years. Rapporto Annuale - Consumi cosmetici in Italia.

Vetrella G., 1994. Strategie ottimali per la riduzione delle emissioni di composti organici volatili. Thesis in Statistics.

### 10.6 Agriculture (NRF sector 3)

APAT, 2005. Methodologies used in Italy for the estimation of air emission in the agriculture sector. Technical report 64/2005. Rome - Italy. <a href="http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti">http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti</a>

Bassanino M., Sacco D., Zavattaro L., Grignania C., 2011. Nutrient balance as a sustainability indicator of different agro-environments in Italy. Ecol Indic 11(2): 715-723

Bechini L., Castoldi N., 2009. On-farm monitoring of economic and environmental performances of cropping systems: Results of a 2-year study at the field scale in northern Italy. Ecol Indic 9: 1096–1113

Berdowski J.J.M., Baas J, Bloos JP.J., Visschedijk A.J.H., Zandveld P.Y.J., 1997. The European Atmospheric Emission Inventory for Heavy Metals and Persistent Organic Pollutants. Umweltforschungsplan des Bundesministers für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit Luftreinhaltung. Forschungbericht 104 02 672/03. TNO, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands

Bittman S. et al, 2014. Bittman, S., Dedina, M., Howard C.M., Oenema, O., Sutton, M.A., (eds), 2014. Options for Ammonia Mitigation: Guidance from the UNECE Task Force on Reactive Nitrogen, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh, UK <a href="https://www.clrtap-tfrn.org">www.clrtap-tfrn.org</a>

Bonazzi G., Crovetto M., Della Casa G., Schiavon S., Sirri F., 2005. Evaluation of Nitrogen and Phosphorus in Livestock manure: Southern Europe (Italy), presentato al Workshop: Nutrients in livestock manure, Bruxelles, 14 February 2005

Cóndor R.D., De Lauretis R., 2007. Agriculture emission inventory in Italy: synergies among conventions and directives. In: Ammonia emissions in Agriculture. Ed. G.J. Monteny, E. Hartung. Wageningen Academic Publishers. 404 p. The Netherlands

Cóndor R.D., Di Cristofaro E., De Lauretis R., 2008. Agricoltura: inventario nazionale delle emissioni e disaggregazione provinciale. Istituto superiore per la protezione e la ricerca ambientale, ISPRA Rapporto tecnico 85/2008. Roma, Italia. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti

Cóndor R.D., 2011. Agricoltura: emissioni nazionali in atmosfera dal 1990 al 2009. Istituto superiore per la protezione e la ricerca ambientale (ISPRA). Rapporto ISPRA 140/2011. Roma, Italia. <a href="http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti/agricoltura-emissioni-nazionali-in-atmosfera-dal">http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti/agricoltura-emissioni-nazionali-in-atmosfera-dal</a>

Cóndor R.D., Valli L., 2011. Emissioni nazionali di ammoniaca e scenari emissivi derivanti dalla fase di spandimento agronomico e all'uso dei fertilizzanti azotati in Italia. e-book edited by the Centro Ricerche Produzioni Animali (CRPA). <a href="http://www.crpa.it/media/documents/crpa\_www/Pubblicazi/E-book/Ammoniaca2011/EmissioniAmmoniaca.pdf">http://www.crpa.it/media/documents/crpa\_www/Pubblicazi/E-book/Ammoniaca2011/EmissioniAmmoniaca.pdf</a>

CRPA, 1997. Piani Regionali di Risanamento e tutela della qualità dell'aria. Quadro delle azioni degli enti locali per il settore zootecnico delle aree padane. Relazione di dettaglio sulla metodologia adottata per la quantificazione delle emissioni di ammoniaca. Febbraio 1997

CRPA, 2006[a]. Progetto MeditAIRaneo: settore Agricoltura. Relazione finale. Technical report on the framework of the MeditAIRaneo project for the Agriculture sector, Reggio Emilia - Italy

CRPA, 2006[b]. Predisposizione di scenari di emissione finalizzati alla progettazione di interventi per la riduzione delle emissioni nazionali di ammoniaca ed alla valutazione di misure e di progetti per la tutela della qualità dell'aria a livello regionale. Final report. Reggio Emilia - Italy

CRPA, 2010[a]. Personal communication - experts Laura Valli and Maria Teresa Pacchioli from the Research Centre on Animal Production (expert consultation on N excretion and national production systems). Reggio Emilia, Italy

CRPA, 2010[b]. Valutazione dell'entità delle emissioni ammoniacali derivanti dall'applicazione al suolo dei fertilizzanti, delle loro possibilità di riduzione e individuazione degli elementi per un monitoraggio statistico delle tecniche di applicazione utilizzate. Report. Reggio Emilia – Italy

EC, 1986. Council Directive 86/278/EC. Council Directive 86/278/EC of 12 June 1986 on the protection of the environment, and in particular of the soil, when sewage sludge is used in agriculture. Official Journal of the European Communities 4 July 1986

EC, 2003. Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPPC). Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Intensive Rearing of Poultry and Pigs. European Commission, July 2003.

EEA, 2016. Analysis of key trends and drivers in greenhouse gas emissions in the EU between 1990 and 2014. Technical paper, June 2016. <a href="http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/analysis-of-key-trends-ghg/">http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/analysis-of-key-trends-ghg/</a>

EEA, 2017. 2017 Comprehensive Technical Review of National Emission Inventories pursuant to the Directive on the Reduction of National Emissions of Certain Atmospheric Pollutants (Directive (EU) 2016/2284). Final Review Report 30 November 2017.

EMEP/CORINAIR, 2006. Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report No 11/2006

EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007. Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report No 16/2007

EMEP/EEA, 2013. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report n. 12/2013

EMEP/EEA, 2016. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report n. 21/2016

ENEA, 2003. Emissione di ammoniaca e di composti ad effetto serra dagli allevamenti di galline ovaiole. Fattori di emissione e tecniche di riduzione. RT/2003/65/PROT. Italy.

ENEA, 2006. Valutazione della possibilità di sostituzione dell'urea con altri fertilizzanti azotati. Final report. Rome, Italy

EPA, 1995. AP-42 Compilation of Air Emission Factors, 5th edition January 1995. <a href="https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-factors-and-quantification/ap-42-compilation-air-emission-factors">https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-factors-and-quantification/ap-42-compilation-air-emission-factors</a>

Giardini L., 1983. Agronomia Generale, Patron, Bologna

GU, Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana, 2006. Criteri e norme tecniche generali per la disciplina regionale dell'utilizzazione agronomica degli effluenti di allevamento e di acque reflue di cui all'articolo 38 del decreto legislativo 11 maggio 1999 N. 152. G.U. n. 109 del 12/05/06 - Suppl. Ordinario n.120. Ministero delle Politiche Agricole e Forestali. Italy. <a href="http://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/">http://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/</a>

IPCC, 1997. Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventories. Three volumes: Reference Manual, Reporting Manual, Reporting Guidelines and Workbook

IPCC, 2000. Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Technical Support Unit, Hayama, Kanagawa, Japan

IPCC, 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan

ISPRA, 2009. La disaggregazione a livello provinciale dell'inventario nazionale delle emissioni. Anni 1990-1995-2000-2005. ISPRA, 92/2009. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti

ISPRA, 2018. Database della disaggregazione a livello provinciale dell'Inventario nazionale delle emissioni:1990-1995-2000-2005-2010-2015. Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale, ISPRA. <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/inventaria">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sia-ispra/inventaria</a>

ISPRA, several years [a]. Italian Greenhouse Gas Inventory - National Inventory Report. <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni</a>

ISPRA, several years [b]. Quality Assurance/Quality Control plan for the Italian Emission Inventory, <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie</a> storiche emissioni

ISPRA, several years [c]. Annuario dei dati ambientali. http://annuario.isprambiente.it/

ISPRA, several years [d]. Serie storiche delle emissioni nazionali di inquinanti atmosferici, Rete del Sistema Informativo Nazionale Ambientale - SINANET.

http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie storiche emissioni

Jenkins B.M. (1996a). 'Atmospheric Pollutant Emission Factors from Open Burning of Agricultural and Forest Biomass by Wind Tunnel Simulations'. Final report (3 Vols.). CARB Project A932-126, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, California.

MATTM, several years [b]. Personal communication: E-mail request for sewage sludge applied to agricultural soils in Italy. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, Roma –Italia

Nicholson F.A., Chambers B.J., Walker A.W., 2004. Ammonia emissions from broiler litter and laying hen manure management system, Biosystems Engineering (2004) 89 (2), 175-185

Perelli, M., 2007. Prezzi dei prodotti agricoli e fertilizzazione. Fertilizzanti Maggio 2007. Anno IX N3. 10-13pp

Regione Emilia-Romagna, Servizio sviluppo sistema agroalimentare, (2001) L. R. 28/98 – p.s.a. 2000 - n. Prog. 3 tab. D - Tecniche di riduzione delle emissioni in atmosfera originate dagli allevamenti zootecnici, a cura di CRPA, Settembre 2001

Regione Emilia Romagna, 2004 L. R. 28/98 – P.S.A. 2001 - N. PROG. 3 TAB. B3 - Bilancio dell'azoto nelle specie di interesse zootecnico, Relazione finale, a cura di C.R.P.A., September 2004, Reggio Emilia, Italy

Xiccato G., Schiavon S., Gallo L., Bailoni L., Bittante G., 2005. Nitrogen excretion in dairy cow, beef and veal cattle, pig, and rabbit farms in Northern Italy. Ital. J.Anim.Sci. Vol. 4 (Suppl.), 103-111.

### 10.7 Waste (NRF sector 5)

Aasestad, 2007. The Norwegian Emission Inventory 2007. Documentation of methodologies for estimating emissions of greenhouse gases and long-range transboundary air pollutants.

AMA-Comune di Roma, 1996. Nuovo impianto per l'incenerimento dei rifiuti ospedalieri. Rapporto AMA.

ANPA, 1998. Il sistema ANPA di contabilità dei rifiuti, prime elaborazioni dei dati. Agenzia Nazionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente.

APAT-ONR, several years. Rapporto Rifiuti. Agenzia per la Protezione dell'Ambiente e per i servizi Tecnici.

AUSITRA-Assoambiente, 1995. Impianti di trattamento dei rifiuti solidi urbani e assimilabili. Indagine a cura di Merzagora W., Ferrari S.P.

Borgioli E., 1981. Nutrizione e alimentazione degli animali domestici. Ed Agricole, p. 464.

CESTAAT, 1988. Impieghi dei sottoprodotti agricoli ed agroindustriali, Vol. 1. Centro Studi sull'Agricoltura, l'Ambiente e il Territorio, edizione fuori commercio, p. 311.

CREA, 2017. Fornitura dati meteo-climatici georeferenziati nell'ambito della collaborazione CREA-AA/ISPRA. CREA - Consiglio per la Ricerca in Agricoltura e l'Analisi dell'Economia Agraria Centro di ricerca Agricoltura e Ambiente (CREA-AA), delivery data mail 19/10/2017.

De Stefanis P., 1999. Personal communication.

De Stefanis P., 2012. Personal communication (mail 16 November 2012)

EC, 1999. Council Directive 1999/31/EC. Council Directive 99/31/EC of 26 April 1999 on the landfill of waste. Official Journal of the European Communities 16 July 1999.

EEA, 2017 [a]. Final Review Report. 2017 Comprehensive Technical Review of National Emission Inventories pursuant to the Directive on the Reduction of National Emissions of Certain Atmospheric Pollutants (Directive (EU) 2016/2284). Italy 30 November 2017.

EEA, 2017 [b]. Final Review Report. 2017 annual review of national greenhouse gas inventory data pursuant to Article 19(2) of Regulation (EU) No 525/2013. Italy 30 June 2017.

EMEP/CORINAIR, 2007. Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report No 16/2007.

EMEP/EEA, 2009. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report n. 9/2009.

EMEP/EEA, 2013. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report n. 12/2013.

EMEP/EEA, 2016. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. Technical report n. 21/2016.

ENEA-federAmbiente, 2012. Rapporto sul recupero energetico da rifiuti urbani in Italia. 3° ed.

Favoino E., Cortellini L., 2001. Composting and biological treatment in southern European countries: an overview. Conference Proceedings Soil and Biowaste in Southern Europe. Rome 18-19 January, 2001.

Favoino E., Girò F., 2001. An assessment of effective, optimised schemes for source separation of organic waste in Mediterranean districts. Conference Proceedings Soil and Biowaste in Southern Europe. Rome 18-19 January, 2001.

FEDERAMBIENTE, 1998. Impianti di smaltimento: analisi sui termocombustori RSU – prima edizione. Indagine a cura di Motawi A.

Finn L., Spencer R., 1997. Managing biofilters for consistent odor and VOC treatment. Biocycle, January 1997 Vol. 38 Iss.1.

Gaudioso et al., 1993. Emissioni in atmosfera dalle discariche di rifiuti in Italia. RS, Rifiuti Solidi vol. VII n. 5, Sept.-Oct. 1993.

IIASA, 2004. Interim Report IR-04-079. Primary Emissions of Submicron and Carbonaceous Particles in Europe and the Potential for their Control.

IPCC, 1997. Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventories. Three volumes: Reference Manual, Reporting Manual, Reporting Guidelines and Workbook. IPCC/OECD/IEA. IPCC WG1 Technical Support Unit, Hadley Centre, Meteorological Centre, Meteorological Office, Bracknell, UK.

IPCC, 2000. Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Technical Support Unit, Hayama, Kanagawa, Japan.

ISPRA, 2018[a]. Italian Greenhouse Gas Inventory 1990-2016. National Inventory Report 2018. March

2018, http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni.

ISPRA, several years [a]. Rapporto Rifiuti. Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale. http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti

ISTAT, several years [a]. Statistiche dell'agricoltura, zootecnia e mezzi di produzione - Annuari (1990-1993), Istituto Nazionale di Statistica.

ISTAT, several years [b]. Statistiche dell'agricoltura - Annuari (1994-2000), Istituto Nazionale di Statistica.

ISTAT, 2017[a]. Dati congiunturali sulle coltivazioni 2014. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica. <a href="http://agri.istat.it/jsp/Introduzione.jsp">http://agri.istat.it/jsp/Introduzione.jsp</a>.

ISTAT, 2017[b]. Personal communication with R. Moro: E-mail request for last updated information on agricultural surface and production, year 2015. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica.

MATTM, several years [a]. RSA - Rapporto sullo stato dell'ambiente 1989, 1992, 1997, 2001. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare.

Ministerial Decree 19 November 1997, n. 503. Regolamento recante norme per l'attuazione delle Direttive 89/369/CEE e 89/429/CEE concernenti la prevenzione dell'inquinamento atmosferico provocato dagli impianti di incenerimento dei rifiuti urbani e la disciplina delle emissioni e delle condizioni di combustione degli impianti di incenerimento di rifiuti urbani, di rifiuti speciali non pericolosi, nonché di taluni rifiuti sanitari. G.U. 29 gennaio 1998, n. 23.

Ministerial Decree 12 July 1990. Linee Guida per il contenimento delle emissioni inquinanti degli impianti industriali e la fissazione dei valori minimi di emissione. G.U. 30 luglio 1990, n. 176.

Pastorelli et al., 2001. Sviluppo di fattori di emissione da inceneritori di rifiuti urbani lombardi e loro applicazione all'inventario nazionale delle diossine. Ingegneria Ambientale, ANNO XXX N.1 January 2001.

SEFIT, several years. Personal Communication with Daniele Fogli: E-mail request for activity data regarding cremation of corpses in Italy.

Tchobanoglous G. et al., 1993. Tchobanoglous G., Theisen H., Vigil A. Integrated Waste Management, McGraw-Hill, 1993.

TNO, 1995. Technical paper to the OSPARCOM-HELCOM UNECE. Emission inventory of Heavy Metals and Persistent Organic Pollutants. TNO-MEP –R 95/247, 1995.

US EPA, 1990. Air Emissions Species Manual, vol. I: Volatile Organic Compound Species Profiles, Second Edition. EPA-450/2-90-001a (United States Environmental Protection Agency – Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711), January 1990.

UWWTD, 2011. 6th UWWTD implementation report Technical assessment of information on the implementation of Council Directive 91/271/EEC of 21 May 1991 concerning Urban Waste Water Treatment, as amended by Commission Directive 98/15/EC of 27 February 1998 Situation as of 31 December 2007 or 31 December 2008. Final report as of 8 August 2011.

VV.FF., several years. Annually statistics of fire service in Italy, several years.

# **10.8 Recalculations and improvements**

EMEP/EEA, 2016. Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook. EEA. Technical report No 21/2016.

EMISIA SA, 2017. COPERT 5 v 5.1, Computer programme to calculate emissions from road transport, December 2017. http://www.emisia.com/copert/.

ISPRA, 2018[b]. Quality Assurance/Quality Control plan for the Italian Emission Inventory. Year 2018. March 2018, <a href="http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni">http://www.sinanet.isprambiente.it/it/sinanet/serie\_storiche\_emissioni</a>.

ISTAT, 2014. I consumi energetici delle famiglie, 2013. Nota metodologica. Istituto Nazionale di Statistica.

http://www.istat.it.

# 10.9 Projections

Amann, M., Bertok, I., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Cofala, J., Heyes, C., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Klimont, Z., Nguyen, B., Posch, M., Rafaj, P., Sandler, R., Schöpp, W., Wagner, F., Winiwarter, W., 2011. Cost-effective control of air quality and greenhouse gases in Europe: Modeling and policy applications. Environmental Modelling & Software 26, 1489-1501.

Capros P. et al., 2016. EU Reference Scenario 2016 - Energy, transport and GHG emissions - Trends to 2050. European Union, 2016. https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/ref2016\_report\_final-web.pdf

Caputo A., Colaiezzi M., Contaldi M., Pantaleoni M., Peschi E., 2015. Scenari di consumi elettrici al 2050. ISPRA Technical Report 213/2015.

Ciucci, A., D'Elia, I., Wagner, F., Sander, R., Ciancarella, L., Zanini, G., Schöpp, W., 2016. Cost-effective reductions of PM2.5 concentrations and exposure in Italy. Atmospheric Environment, 140, 84-93.

D'Elia, I., Bencardino, M., Ciancarella, L., Contaldi, M., Vialetto, G., 2009. Technical and Non-technical measures for air pollution emission reduction: The integrated assessment of the Regional Air Quality Management Plans through the Italian national model. Atmospheric Environment, 43, 6182-6189.

D'Elia, I., Peschi, E., 2013. Lo scenario emissivo nazionale nella negoziazione internazionale. ENEA Technical Report, RT/2013/10/ENEA (in Italian).

D'Elia, I., Peschi, E., 2016. How National integrated air quality models can be used in defining environmental policies: the revision of the NEC directive. ENEA Technical Report, RT/2016/30/ENEA

EC, 2016. Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC. Official Journal of the European Union L. 344/1 of 17.12.2016.

Loulou, R., Goldstein, G., Noble, K., 2004. Documentation for the MARKAL Family of Models. ETSAP.

Loulou, R., Remne, U., Kanudia, A., Lehtila, A., Goldstein, G., 2005. Documentation for the TIMES Model - PART I 1–78.

Mircea M., Ciancarella L., Briganti G., Calori G., Cappelletti A., Cionni I., Costa M., Cremona G., D'Isidoro M., Finardi, S., Pace G., Piersanti A., Righini G., Silibello C., Vitali L., Zanini G., 2014. Assessment of the AMS-MINNI system capabilities to simulate air quality over Italy for the calendar year 2005. Atmospheric Environment 84, 178-188.